TONY WEST 1 Assistant Attorney General MAAME EWUSI-MENSAH FRIMPONG Acting Deputy Assistant Attorney Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice MICHAEL S. BLUME, Director KENNETH L. JOST, Deputy Director CAROL L. WALLACK, Trial Attorney, MATTHEW S. EBERT, Trial Attorney, 6 Consumer Protection Branch U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 386 7 Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 616-0219 (phone) (202) 514-8742 (fax) 8 Carol. Wallack@usdoj.gov 9 Matthew.Ebert@usdoi.gov 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff the United States of America 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 15 Plaintiff. COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL 16 PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER 17 ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an **EQUITABLE RELIEF:** ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK. DEMAND FOR JURY 18 TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND LOCAL RULE 38-1 19 20 21 22 a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., a foreign corporation, CAPITAL 23 SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., a foreign corporation, TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, 24 S.A., a foreign corporation, PUBLIC SERVICE, a foreign corporation, and MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, a 25 26 foreign corporation. 27 Defendants. 28

Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 16(a)(1) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 56(a)(1), for its Complaint alleges:

1. Plaintiff brings this action under Sections 5(a), 5(m)(1)(A), 13(b), and 16(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b), and 56(a), and Section 6 of the Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act (the "Telemarketing Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 6105, to obtain monetary civil penalties, and permanent injunctive relief, and other equitable relief from Defendants for their violations of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and the Commission's Telemarketing Sales Rule ("TSR"), 16 C.F.R. Part 310, as amended.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), 1345, and 1355, and 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b), and 56(a). This action arises under 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).
- 3. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) (c) and 1395(a), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

4. The Commission is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41 - 58. The Commission enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The Commission also enforces the Telemarketing Act. 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101 - 6108. Pursuant to the Telemarketing Act, the Commission promulgated and enforces the TSR, 16 C.F.R. Part 310, which prohibits deceptive and abusive telemarketing acts or practices.

DEFENDANTS

- 5. Defendant Castle Rock Capital Management, Inc. ("Castle Rock, Inc.") is a Nevada for-profit corporation with its principal place of business at 28202 Cabot Road, Suite 650, Laguna Niguel, California. Castle Rock, Inc., is a telemarketer that initiates outbound telephone calls to induce consumers to purchase goods or services from its client sellers. Castle Rock, Inc., transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.
- 6. Defendant Castle Rock Capital Management, S.A., ("Castle Rock, S.A.") is a foreign for-profit corporation with its principal place of business at Avenida Ricardo J. Alfaro, The Century Tower, Piso 4, Oficina 401-46, Panama City, Panama. Castle Rock, S.A., is a telemarketer that initiates outbound telephone calls to induce consumers to purchase goods or services from its client sellers. Castle Rock, S.A., transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.
- 7. Defendant Capital Solutions Group, S.A., ("Capital Solutions") is a foreign for profit corporation with its principal place of business at Avenida Ricardo J. Alfaro, The Century Tower, Piso 4, Oficina 401-46, Panama City, Panama. Capital Solutions is a telemarketer that initiates outbound telephone calls to induce consumers to purchase goods or services from its client sellers. Capital Solutions transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.
- 8. Defendant Transfers Argentina, S.A. ("Transfers Argentina") is a foreign for profit corporation with its principal places of business at Avenida Ricardo J. Alfaro, The Century Tower, Piso 4, Oficina 401-46, Panama City, Panama and Azcuenaga 1592 PB-B, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Transfers Argentina is a telemarketer that initiates outbound telephone calls to induce consumers to purchase goods or services from its client sellers. Transfers

1.8

-10

- 13

Argentina transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.

- 9. Defendant Public Service is a foreign for-profit corporation with its principal place of business at Suite 15, 1st Floor Oliaji Trade Centre, Francis Rahel Street, Victoria, Mahe, Seychelles. Public Service is a telemarketer that initiates outbound telephone calls to induce consumers to purchase goods or services from its client sellers. Public Service transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.
- 10. Defendant Marketing Strategy Group is a foreign for-profit corporation with its principal place of business at Attila u. 50, Budapest, Hungary. Marketing Strategy Group is a telemarketer that initiates outbound telephone calls to induce consumers to purchase goods or services from its client sellers. Marketing Strategy Group transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.
- 11. Roy M. Cox, Jr., ("Cox") is an individual residing in Santa Ana, California, which is located in this District. Cox is an officer, director, and/or owner of, and has exercised ownership and control over, Castle-Rock, Inc., Castle Rock, S.A., Capital Solutions, Transfers Argentina, Public Service, and Marketing Strategy Group (collectively, the "Corporate Defendants"). In connection with the matters alleged herein, defendant Cox transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, defendant Cox has had the authority and responsibility to prevent or correct unlawful telemarketing practices of each of the Corporate Defendants, and has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of each of the Corporate Defendants, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint.
 - 12. The Corporate Defendants have operated as a common enterprise

while engaging in the unlawful acts and practices alleged below. Defendants have conducted the business practices described below through an interrelated network of companies that have common ownership, officers, managers, business functions, employees, and office locations and have commingled funds. Because these Corporate Defendants have operated as a common enterprise, each of them is jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices alleged below. Individual defendant Cox has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of the Corporate Defendants that constitute the common enterprise.

13. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE

- 14. Congress directed the Commission to prescribe rules prohibiting abusive and deceptive telemarketing acts or practices pursuant to the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6108. The Commission adopted the original TSR in 1995, extensively amended it in 2003, and amended certain provisions thereafter. 16 C.F.R. Part 310.
- 15. Among other things, the 2003 amendments to the TSR established a do-not-call registry maintained by the Commission (the "National Do Not Call Registry" or "Registry") of consumers who do not wish to receive certain types of telemarketing calls. Consumers can register their telephone numbers on the Registry without charge either through a toll-free telephone call or over the Internet at <u>donotcall.gov</u>.
- 16. Consumers who receive telemarketing calls to their registered numbers can complain of Registry violations the same way they registered, through a toll-free telephone call or over the Internet at <u>donotcall.gov</u>, or by

otherwise contacting law enforcement authorities.

- 17. The FTC allows sellers, telemarketers, and other permitted organizations to access the Registry on the Internet at <u>telemarketing.donotcall.gov</u>, to pay the fee(s) if required, and to download the numbers not to call.
- 18. Under the TSR, a "telemarketer" means any person who, in connection with telemarketing, initiates or receives telephone calls to or from a customer or donor. "Telemarketing," under the TSR, means a plan, program, or campaign which is conducted to induce the purchase of goods or services or a charitable contribution, by use of one or more telephones and which involves more than one interstate telephone call. 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(cc) and (dd).
- 19. Under the TSR, an "outbound telephone call" means a telephone call initiated by a telemarketer to induce the purchase of goods or services or to solicit a charitable contribution. 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(v).
- 20. The TSR prohibits sellers and telemarketers from initiating an outbound telephone call to numbers on the Registry in violation of the TSR. 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B).
- 21. The TSR also prohibits sellers and telemarketers from initiating an outbound telephone call to any person when that person previously has stated that he or she does not wish to receive an outbound telephone call made by or on behalf of the seller whose goods or services are being offered. 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(A).
- 22. The TSR requires that sellers and telemarketers transmit or cause to be transmitted the telephone number of the telemarketer and, when made available by the telemarketer's carrier, the name of the telemarketer, to any caller identification service in use by a recipient of a telemarketing call, or transmit the customer service number of the seller on whose behalf the call is made and, when made available by the telemarketer's seller, the name of the seller. 16 C.F.R.

§ 310.4(a)(8).

-5

- 23. As amended, effective September 1, 2009, the TSR prohibits initiating a telephone call that delivers a prerecorded message to induce the purchase of any good or service unless the seller has obtained from the recipient of the call an express agreement, in writing, that evidences the willingness of the recipient of the call to receive calls that deliver prerecorded messages by or on behalf of a specific seller. The express agreement must include the recipient's telephone number and signature, must be obtained after a clear and conspicuous disclosure that the purpose of the agreement is to authorize the seller to place prerecorded calls to such person, and must be obtained without requiring, directly or indirectly, that the agreement be executed as a condition of purchasing any good or service. 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(v)(A).
- 24. It is a violation of the TSR for any person to provide substantial assistance or support to any seller or telemarketer when that person knows or consciously avoids knowing that the seller or telemarketer is engaged in any practice that violates Sections 310.3(a), (c) or (d), or 310.4 of the TSR. 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(b).
- 25. Pursuant to Section 3(c) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6102(c), and Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), a violation of the TSR constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in or affecting commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

Defendants' Abusive Telemarketing Practices

- 26. Defendants are "telemarketer[s]" engaged in "telemarketing," as defined by the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.2.
- 27. Defendants are telemarketers that initiate outbound telephone calls to consumers in the United States to induce the purchase of goods or services sold by

Defendants' clients.

- 28. Defendants have engaged in telemarketing by a plan, program, or campaign conducted to induce the purchase of goods or services by use of one or more telephones and which involves more than one interstate telephone call. Specifically, Defendants sell a telemarketing service that delivers prerecorded voice messages through telephone calls. This service is known as "voice broadcasting" or "robocalling."
- 29. Since at least 2008, Defendants have called consumers' telephone numbers on the National Do Not Call Registry.
- 30. In the course of the telemarketing described above, Defendants are aware of the name of the calling party that is transmitted to caller identification services for calls made by Defendants. Defendants, through their clients or agents, are able to alter the name of the calling party that is transmitted to caller identification services for calls made by Defendants.
- 31. In numerous instances, Defendants have made telemarketing calls that transmitted or caused to be transmitted caller names that did not name Defendants or the seller on whose behalf Defendants were making the telephone call. For example, in numerous instances, when providing voice broadcasting services to clients selling credit card interest rate reduction programs, extended automobile warranties, or home security systems, Defendants transmitted or caused to be transmitted to consumers the name "CARD SERVICES," "CREDIT SERVICES," or "PRIVATE OFFICE."
- 32. In numerous instances on or after September 1, 2009, Defendants made outbound calls that delivered prerecorded messages to induce the sale of goods or services when the persons to whom these telephone calls were made had not expressly agreed, in writing, to authorize the seller to place prerecorded calls to such person.

Assisting and Facilitating Abusive Telemarketing Practices

33. Since at least 2008, Defendants have provided substantial assistance and support to their clients, by, among other things, engaging in the conduct set forth in Paragraphs 26-32, even though Defendants knew or consciously avoided knowing that the clients were engaged in violations of Section 310.4 of the TSR.

VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE Count I (Violating the National Do Not Call Registry)

34. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, Defendants engaged in or caused others to engage in initiating an outbound telephone call to a person's telephone number on the National Do Not Call Registry in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B).

Count II (Failing to Transmit Proper Caller ID)

35. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, Defendants have failed to transmit or cause to be transmitted the name of the telemarketer or of the seller to any caller identification service in use by a recipient of a telemarketing call in violation of the TSR,16 C.F.R. § 310.4(a)(8).

Count III (Initiating Unlawful Prerecorded Messages)

- 36. In numerous instances on or after September 1, 2009, Defendants have initiated outbound telephone calls delivering prerecorded messages to induce the purchase of goods or services when the persons to whom these telephone calls were made had not expressly agreed, in writing, to authorize the seller to place prerecorded calls to such person.
- 37. Defendants' acts or practices, as described in Paragraph 35 above, are abusive telemarketing acts or practices that violate the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(v)(A).

26 ///

27 | ///

38. In numerous instances, Defendants have provided substantial assistance or support, as described in Paragraphs 26 through 32, to sellers or telemarketers whom Defendants knew or consciously avoided knowing were engaged in violations of § 310.4 of the TSR.

39. Defendants' substantial assistance or support as alleged in Paragraph 37 above violates the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(b).

CONSUMER INJURY

40. Consumers have suffered and will continue to suffer injury as a result of Defendants' violations of the TSR. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers and harm the public interest.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

- 41. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and other ancillary relief to prevent and remedy any violation of any provision of law enforced by the Commission.
- 42. Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(m)(1)(A), as modified by Section 4 of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. § 2461, as amended, and as implemented by 16 C.F.R. § 1.98(d) (2009), authorizes this Court to award monetary civil penalties of up to \$11,000 for each violation of the TSR committed on or before February 9, 2009, and up to \$16,000 for each violation of the TSR committed after February 9, 2009. Defendants' violations of the TSR were committed with the knowledge required by Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(m)(1)(A).
- 43. This Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief to remedy injury caused by Defendants' violations of the TSR and the FTC Act.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff requests that this Court, as authorized by Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), and pursuant to its own equitable powers:

- A. Award Plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of ongoing law violations during the pendency of this action, including, but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions;
- B. Enter judgment against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff for each violation alleged in this Complaint;
- C. Award Plaintiff monetary civil penalties from each Defendant for every violation of the TSR;
- D. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the TSR and the FTC Act by Defendants;
- E. Order Defendants to pay the costs of this action; and
- F. Award Plaintiff such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

December 8, 2011

TONY WEST
Assistant Attorney General,
MAAME EWUSI-MENSAH FRIMPONG
Acting Deputy Assistant Attorney,
Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
MICHAEL S. BLUME, Director
Consumer Protection Branch
KENNETH L. JOST, Deputy Director
Consumer Protection Branch

MATTHEW S. EBERT

Trial Attorneys

Consumer Protection Branch U.S. Department of Justice

P.O. Box 386

Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 616-0219 (phone) (202) 514-8742 (fax) Carol. Wallack@usdoj.gov Matthew.Ebert@usdoi.gov

OF COUNSEL:

C. STEVEN BAKER Director, Midwest Region JAMES H. DAVIS Attorney, Midwest Region MATTHEW H. WERNZ Attorney, Midwest Region Federal Trade Commission 55 West Monroe Street Suite 1825 (312) 960-5634; jdavis@ftc.gov (312) 960-5596; mwernz@ftc.gov

1 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 2 Plaintiff, the United States of America, hereby demands trial by jury. 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 4 December 8.2011TONY WEST 5 Assistant Attorney General, MAAME EWUSI-MENSAH FRIMPONG 6 Acting Deputy Assistant Attorney, Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice 7 MICHAEL S. BLUME, Director Consumer Protection Branch KENNETH L. JOST, Deputy Director Consumer Protection Branch 10 11 CAROL'L. WALLACK MATTHEW S. EBERT 12 Trial Attorneys
Consumer Protection Branch
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 386 13 14 Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 616-0219 (phone) (202) 514-8742 (fax) Carol. Wallack@usdoj.gov 15 16 Matthew.Ebert@usdoi.gov 17 **OF COUNSEL:** 18 C. STEVEN BAKER 19 Director, Midwest Region JAMES H. DAVIS 20 Attorney, Midwest Region MATTHEW H. WERNZ Attorney, Midwest Region Federal Trade Commission 55 West Monroe Street 21 22 Suite 1825 23 (312) 960-5634; jdavis@ftc.gov (312) 960-5596; mwernz@ftc.gov 24 25 26 27 28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box THE UNITED STATES	if you are representing yourself E OF AMERICA])	DEFENDANTS ROY M. COX, JR. ROCK CAPITAL I MANAGEMENT,	MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SO	INC., CASTLE F OLUTIONS GRO	ROCK CAPITAL	CASTLE
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Ad yourself, provide same.)	dress and Telephone Number. If y	ou are representing	(continued on Attack Attorneys (If Known)	enment to Civil Co	ver Sneet)	·	
CAROL L. WALLACK a	nd MATTHEW S. EBERT, U.S. I on Branch, P.O. Box 386, Washin	•					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	N (Place an X in one box only.)		NSHIP OF PRINCIPAL X in one box for plainti			Only	
☑ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of Thi	s State		ncorporated or Pr f Business in this	incipal Place	TF DEF 14 □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)	nship Citizen of And	other State		ncorporated and F f Business in And	Principal Place E other State	15 🗆 5
		Citizen or Sub	ject of a Foreign Countr	y □3 □3 F	oreign Nation]6 □6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in on	e box only.)						
of 1 Original ☐ 2 Remove State Co	od from 3 Remanded from court Appellate Court	☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ Reopened	3 Transferred from and	other district (specif	fy): 🗆 6 Multi- Distric Litiga	ct Judge	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT: JURY DEMAND: 🗹 Y	es 🗆 No (Check 'Y	es' only if demanded in o	complaint.)			
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23: ☐ Yes 💇 No	5	MONEY DEMANDE	D IN COMPLAIN	T: s civil penal	lties	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite See attached.	e the U.S. Civil Statute under which	h you are filing and w	rite a brief statement of o	cause. Do not cite	jurisdictional stat	tutes unless divers	sity.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	e an X in one box only.)						
			Sandaning paragrammanana san arawa ma	an managamentar	Securate posts serior and properties of the	Contraction of the State of Contract Contracts	The Property of the Party of th
☐ 400 State Reapportionment	☐ CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJUI	The state of the s	SIDERLE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		∴ LABO □ 710 Fair Labo	HELENGHIZZIGERARENDE
☐ 410 Antitrust	□ 120 Marine	□310 Airplane	PROPERT	Y □ 510 M	lotions to	Act	•
☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC		☐ 315 Airplane Prod Liability	1000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1	☐ 720 Labor/Mg	mt.
Rates/etc.	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of	☐ 320 Assault, Libel	2371 Truth in L & □ 380 Other Per		abeas Corpus	Relations □ 730 Labor/Mg	mt
☐ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &	Slander	Property I		eath Penalty	Reporting	
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of	☐ 330 Fed. Employe	rs' 🗆 385 Property I			Disclosur	
and Corrupt	Judgment	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	Product L			☐ 740 Railway I	
Organizations	☐ 151 Medicare Act	345 Marine Produ	ct BANKRUPT	Market Imparities and an annual section of the sect		☐ 790 Other Lab	
☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl.	Liability	1 422 Appear 28		rison Condition	Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret	
□ 810 Selective Service	Veterans)	☐ 350 Motor Vehicl	E 122 Withdraw	/al 28 PF	NALTY	Security A	
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/		☐ 355 Motor Vehicl Product Liabi	USC 157	□ 610 A	griculture	PROPERTY	
Exchange	Overpayment of	☐ 360 Other Persona		ITS. □ 620 O		☐ 820 Copyrigh	ts
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	Injury	441 Voting			□ 830 Patent	
USC 3410 ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 362 Personal Injur				☐ 840 Trademar	
□ 891 Agricultural Act	!	Med Malprac				□ 861 HIA (139	
□ 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injus Product Liabi	⁷			□ 862 Black Lui	
Act	☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 368 Asbestos Pers	j—	j ,		☐ 863 DIWC/DI	• • •
☐ 893 Environmental Matters	REAL PROPERTY	Injury Produc	•	l l	.R. & Truck	(405(g))	
□ 894 Energy Allocation Act	☐ 210 Land Condemnation	Liability	Employm		5	□ 864 SSID Titl	
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	220 Foreclosure	IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization			ecupational	□ 865 RSI (405)	Lamping payment transport
1900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	Application	Disabiliti Other	es - S	-	FEDERAL TA	
Access to Justice	245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 463 Habeas Corp		1	· iioi	or Defend	
950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	□ 290 All Other Real Property	Alien Detaine 465 Other Immigi Actions	e Rights		}	□ 871 IRS-Third USC 760	i Party 26
			1-191				

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	this action been prev	riously filed in this court and	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ਓ√No □ Yes
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s): <u>SACV11-</u>	any cases been previ	ously filed in this court that	t are related to the present case? □ No ☑ Yes
s ⁄B. C □ C. F	rise from the same of all for determination or other reasons wou	or closely related transaction of the same or substantiall ald entail substantial duplica	ns, happenings, or events; or y related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.
IX. VENUE: (When completing the f	ollowing informatio	n, use an additional sheet if	necessary.)
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).
County in this District:*	····-		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. f this box is checked, go to item (c).
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Orange County (Castle Rock Cap individually and as an officer, dire		, Inc., Roy M. Cox, Jr.,	Panama: (Castle Rock Capital Management, S.A.; Capital Solutions Group, S.A.; Transfers Argentina, S.A.); Argentina: (Transfers Argentina, S.A.); Seychelles: (Public Service); Hungary: (Marketing Strategy Group).
(c) List the County in this District; C Note: In land condemnation cas	-		f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
ovange canty	·		
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernard Note: In land condemnation cases, use			San Luis Obispo Counties
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (C	R PRO PER):	16 la Rom	Per 7 Date 12/8/2011
or other papers as required by law	. This form, approve	d by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)
Key to Statistical codes relating to Soc	cial Security Cases:		
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action
861	AIH		rance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. ospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the SFF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lun (30 U.S.C. 923)	g" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.
863	DIWC	-	d workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widow Act, as amended. (42 U.S	vs or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security (.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplement Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (U.S.C. (g))	(old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42

CV-71 (05/08)

Attachment to Civil Cover Sheet for United States v. Roy Cox, Jr., et al.

I(a). DEFENDANTS

TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., a foreign corporation, CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., a foreign corporation, TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., a foreign corporation, PUBLIC SERVICE, a foreign corporation, and MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, a foreign corporation.

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 45(a), and the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.P.R. Part 310:

The complaint alleges that defendants engaged in abusive telemarketing sales practices, and also assisted and facilitated in the abusive telemarketing sales practices of telemarketers, and seeks civil penalties, a permanent injunction, and other equitable relief to remedy defendants' violations.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge David O. Carter and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Jean P. Rosenbluth.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV11- 1910 DOC (JPRx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

An discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge	
=======================================	: :
NOTICE TO COUNSEL	
NOTICE TO COUNSEL	

] Western Division [X] Southern Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 411 West Fourth St

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Los Angeles, CA 90012

[X] Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

ORIGINAL Name & Address: Carol L. Wallack and Matthew S. Ebert Consumer Protection Branch U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 386 Washington, D.C. 20044-0386 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CASE NUMBER SACV11-1910D0((JY PLAINTIFF(S) ٧. ROY M. COX, JR., (CONTINUED IN ATTACHMENT 1) **SUMMONS** DEFENDANT(S). TO: DEFENDANT(S): A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \(\overline{\text{Complaint}} \) complaint \(\overline{\text{Lomplaint}} \) amended complaint □ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Carol L. Wallack and Matthew S. Ebert, whose address is Consumer Protection Branch U.S. Dept. of Justice P.O. Box 386 Washington, D.C. 20044. If you fail to do so. judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. Clerk, U.S. District Court DEC 1 2 2011 Dated: [Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)]. CV-01A (10/11 SUMMONS

Attachment to Summons for United States v. Roy Cox, Jr., et al.

individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., a foreign corporation, CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., a foreign corporation, TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., a foreign corporation, PUBLIC SERVICE, a foreign corporation, and MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, a foreign corporation,

Defendants.

1	TONY WEST	
2	Assistant Attorney General MAAME EWUSI-MENSAH FRIMPONG Acting Deputy Assistant Attorney	
3	Civil Division	
4	U.S. Department of Justice MICHAEL S. BLUME, Director	
5	KENNETH L. JOST, Deputy Director CAROL L. WALLACK, Trial Attorney, MATTHEW S. EBERT, Trial Attorney,	
6	Consumer Protection Branch	
7	U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 386	
8	Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 616-0219 (phone)	
9	(202) 514-8742 (fax) <u>Carol.Wallack@usdoj.gov</u> <u>Matthew.Ebert@usdoj.gov</u>	
10		aut a
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff the United States of Am	erica
12	UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA
14	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No.
	TIE ONITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.
15	Plaintiff,	
15 16))) COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL) PENALTIES, PERMANENT
	Plaintiff, v.))) COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL
16	Plaintiff, v. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY
16 17	Plaintiff, v. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND
16 17 18 19	Plaintiff, v. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A.,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO
16 17 18	Plaintiff, v. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND
16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., a	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., a foreign corporation, CAPITAL	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., a foreign corporation, CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., a foreign corporation, TRANSFERS ARGENTINA,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, V. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., a foreign corporation, CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., a foreign corporation, TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., a foreign corporation, PUBLIC SERVICE, a foreign corporation, and	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., a foreign corporation, CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., a foreign corporation, TRANSFERS ARGENTINA,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, V. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., a foreign corporation, CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., a foreign corporation, TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., a foreign corporation, PUBLIC SERVICE, a foreign corporation, and MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, a	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v. ROY M. COX, JR., individually and as an officer, director, or owner of CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., PUBLIC SERVICE, MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, and CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a Nevada corporation, CASTLE ROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, S.A., a foreign corporation, CAPITAL SOLUTIONS GROUP, S.A., a foreign corporation, TRANSFERS ARGENTINA, S.A., a foreign corporation, PUBLIC SERVICE, a foreign corporation, and MARKETING STRATEGY GROUP, a foreign corporation,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND