UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
INTEL CORPORATION,	)	DOCKET NO. 9341
Respondent.	)	

ORDER GRANTING SECOND UNOPPOSED MOTION OF NON-PARTIES ONE LAPTOP PER CHILD FOUNDATION AND ONE LAPTOP PER CHILD ASSOCIATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A MOTION TO QUASH OR LIMIT INTEL CORPORATION'S SUBPOENAS *DUCES TECUM*

On April 21, 2010, Non-Parties One Laptop Per Child Foundation ("OLPC Foundation") and One Laptop Per Child Association ("OLPC Association") (collectively "OLPC") submitted a second unopposed motion for extension of time to file a motion to quash or limit a Subpoena *Duces Tecum* from Respondent Intel Corporation ("Intel") received by OLPC Foundation on March 29, 2010 and by OLPC Association on April 5, 2010 (collectively, the "Subpoenas").[1]

OLPC states that OLPC and Intel are in negotiations to resolve OLPC's concerns regarding the scope of the Subpoenas and that additional time is needed to complete those negotiations. Moreover, OLPC states that Intel has agreed to an extension of time to submit a motion to quash or limit the Subpoenas to May 5, 2010. Accordingly, OLPC requests an extension of time through May 5, 2010, without prejudice to seek a further extension of the deadline, and further requests that its obligations to otherwise respond to the Subpoenas be tolled during the period of the extension. OLPC advises that Intel does not oppose the instant motion.

Based on the foregoing, OLPC's Second Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File a Motion to Quash or Limit Intel's Subpoena *Duces Tecum* is GRANTED, and it is hereby ORDERED that OLPC shall have until May 5, 2010 to file a motion to

---

[1] OLPC previously received an extension of time to move to limit or quash Intel's subpoena until April 23, 2010. *See* Order Granting Unopposed Motion of Non-Parties One Laptop Per Child Foundation and One Laptop Per Child Association for Extension of Time to Move to Limit or Quash Intel Subpoenas *Duces Tecum*, April 8, 2010.

quash or limit the Subpoenas, and that OLPC's obligations to otherwise respond to the Subpoenas shall be tolled during the period of the extension.

ORDERED:

D M Chappell

D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: April 22, 2010