	Case 2:10-cv-00696-LOA Document 1 Filed 03/26/10 Page 1 of 7		
1	DANIEL K. CRANE-HIRSCH		
2	Trial Attorney Office of Consumer Litigation		
3	Civil Division United States Department of Justice		
4	PO Box 386 Washington, DC 20044-0386 Talanharay 202 (16 8242		
5	Washington, DC 20044-0386 Telephone: 202-616-8242 Facsimile: 202-514-8742 daniel.crane-hirsch@usdoj.gov		
6	damei.crane-nirsch@usdoj.gov		
7	Attorney for Plaintiff United States of America		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA – PHOENIX DIVISION		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
12	Plaintiff,		
13	DIRECT MARKETING ASSOCIATES, CORP .,) and JOHN M. RAINEY, JR.		
14			
15	Defendants.		
16	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT		
17	INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF		
18	Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization		
19	to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for		
20	its Complaint alleges as follows:		
21	1. Plaintiff brings this action under sections 5(a), 13(b), and 16(a) of the		
22	Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), and 56(a), and		
23	the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1681x, to obtain monetary		
24	civil penalties and injunctive or other relief for Defendants' violations of the FTC Act and		
25	the FCRA.		
26	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
27	2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter under 28 U.S.C.		
28	§§ 1331, 1337(a), 1345, and 1355, and under 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), and 56(a).		

Case 2:10-cv-00696-LOA Document 1 Filed 03/26/10 Page 2 of 7 1 3. Venue is proper in this District under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and under 28 2 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) - (c) and 1395(a). 3 **DEFENDANTS** 4. Defendant Direct Marketing Associates, Corp. ("DMA") is a for-profit 4 corporation organized, existing, and doing business under the laws of the State of 5 Arizona. Its principal place of business is located at 1829 W. Drake St., Tempe, Arizona 6 7 85283. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant DMA has transacted business 8 in this district. 9 5. Defendant John M. Rainey, Jr. ("Rainey") is an owner and director of 10 DMA. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting individually or in concert with 11 others, Rainey has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the policies, acts, or 12 practices of DMA, including the various acts and practices set forth herein. Rainey 13 transacts or has transacted business in this District. 14 COMMERCE 6. The acts and practices of Defendants as alleged in this Complaint are and 15 have been in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in section 4 of the FTC 16 17 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44. 18 THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT 19 7. The FCRA was enacted in 1970, became effective on April 25, 1971, and has been in force since that date. 20 21 8. Section 621 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s, authorizes the Commission to 22 use all of its functions and powers under the FTC Act to enforce compliance with the 23 FCRA by all persons subject thereto except to the extent that enforcement specifically is 24 committed to some other governmental agency, irrespective of whether the person is 25 engaged in commerce or meets any other jurisdictional tests set forth by the FTC Act. 26 The Defendants in this case are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. 27 28 2

1

DEFENDANTS' COURSE OF CONDUCT

9. DMA is a marketing company that provides, *inter alia*, direct mail
 marketing services to automobile dealers located throughout the United States. DMA
 contracts with the automobile dealers to mail one or more solicitations for the purchase of
 automobiles to consumers who meet certain demographic and/or credit history criteria.
 These solicitations are intended to induce consumers to visit the dealers' showrooms to
 purchase vehicles.

8 10. DMA is responsible for the content of the solicitations that are used in the
9 marketing programs that it offers to dealers. Each dealer, working with DMA, selects the
10 solicitation or solicitations to be used in DMA's mailings for that dealer.

11 11. The solicitations prepared and disseminated by DMA state that a named
12 finance entity, such as "Evergreen Automotive Acceptance," has "pre-approved" the
13 consumer receiving the solicitation for a loan to purchase a vehicle at the automobile
14 dealer identified in the solicitation. In numerous instances these solicitations are signed
15 by Defendant Rainey. The solicitations instruct consumers to contact or visit the
16 automobile dealers identified in the solicitations.

17 12. DMA, in consultation with the dealers, establishes the number of consumers
18 to receive solicitations and the demographic and/or credit history criteria by which the
19 consumers are selected to receive the solicitations. DMA obtains names and addresses of
20 consumers who meet those criteria, prints the sales solicitations for the dealers, stuffs the
21 envelopes, and mails the solicitations to the consumers according to the schedule
22 established with each dealer.

13. In many instances, DMA has obtained the names of consumers who meet
the selected criteria to receive solicitations from a "consumer reporting agency" as that
term is defined in section 603(f) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). The information
provided by the consumer reporting agency constitutes a "consumer report" as defined by
section 603(d)(1) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1).

28

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT

3 14. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits unfair or
4 deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce, including false or misleading
5 statements or representations in advertising or sales solicitations.

6 15. Through the means described in Paragraphs 9 to 13, Defendants have
7 represented, expressly or by implication, that the consumers receiving the solicitations
8 have been pre-approved for automobile financing.

9 16. In truth and in fact, the consumers receiving the solicitations have not been
10 pre-approved for automobile financing. The finance companies identified in DMA's
11 solicitations, such as "Evergreen Automotive Acceptance," are not functioning finance
12 companies, are not registered or licensed as required by law in numerous states where
13 DMA has disseminated solicitations, and have not made financing available to the
14 consumers who received the solicitations. Defendants had no arrangement or agreement
15 with any other party to pre-approve the consumers for automobile financing.

16 17. Therefore, the representations set forth in Paragraph 15 were, and are, false
17 or misleading, and constitute deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce in
18 violation of section 5(a) of the FTC Act 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

19

1

2

20

<u>COUNT II</u>

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

21 18. Section 604 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b, prohibits consumer reporting 22 agencies from providing consumer reports to third parties except for certain enumerated 23 "permissible purposes." Section 604(c) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(c), establishes a 24 permissible purpose in connection with the making of a "firm offer of credit or 25 insurance." That term, also known as a "prescreened offer," is defined in section 603(l)26 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(l), as an offer that will be honored (subject to certain 27 exceptions) if the consumer, based on information in his or her consumer report, is 28 determined to meet the criteria pre-specified by the offeror.

Section 604(f) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(f), prohibits any person
 from using or obtaining consumer reports from a consumer reporting agency unless (a)
 the reports are obtained for a permissible purpose, *i.e.*, a purpose for which the consumer
 reporting agency is authorized to provide reports under the FCRA, and (b) each such
 purpose is certified in accordance with section 607 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681e.

6 20. In the course of their business, Defendants have obtained consumer reports
7 from a consumer reporting agency by representing that they have a permissible purpose
8 under section 604(c) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b, to obtain those reports, namely,
9 that DMA would serve as the processor of the information received from the consumer
10 reporting agency on behalf of a finance company, which would use that information to
11 make firm offers of credit to consumers.

12 21. Defendants did not have a permissible purpose to obtain consumer reports. The reports were not used to make firm offers of credit as set forth in section 604(c) of 13 14 the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(c). DMA does not provide financing, and the finance 15 companies identified by Defendants were not functioning finance businesses, did not provide loans to consumers receiving the solicitations, and were unable to honor the 16 17 offers made to consumers in the solicitations. Defendants had no arrangement or agreement with any other party to ensure that consumers who met pre-set criteria would 18 19 receive an offer of credit.

20 22. By obtaining information from a consumer reporting agency without having
21 a permissible purpose for which the information was authorized to be furnished,
22 Defendants have violated section 604(f) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(f).

23 23. Pursuant to section 621(a)(1) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s(a)(1), the
acts and practices alleged herein also constitute unfair or deceptive acts or practices in
violation of section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

26

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR FTC ACT VIOLATIONS

27 24. Defendants have violated section 5 of the FTC Act as described above.
28 Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive

1 relief and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress 2 violations of the FTC Act.

3 CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT 4 Section 621(a)(2)(A) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s(a)(2)(A), authorizes 25. 5 the Court to award monetary civil penalties of not more than \$2,500 per violation of the 6 FCRA in the event of a knowing violation, which constitutes a pattern or practice of 7 violations. Defendants' violations of the FCRA, as alleged in the Complaint, were 8 knowing and constitute a pattern or practice of violations. 9 26. Each instance in which Defendants procured a consumer report in violation 10 of section 604(f) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(f), constitutes a separate violation of 11 the FCRA for the purposes of assessing monetary civil penalties under section 621 of the 12 FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s. Plaintiff seeks monetary civil penalties for every separate 13 violation of the FCRA. 14 27. Under section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), this Court is 15 authorized to issue a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants from violating the 16 FCRA. 17 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 18 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court, pursuant to 15 19 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 1681s, and 1691c, and pursuant to this Court's own equitable 20 powers: 21 Enter judgment against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff for each law 1. 22 violation alleged in this Complaint; 23 Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FCRA and 2. 24 the FTC Act by Defendants; 25 Award Plaintiff monetary civil penalties from Defendants for each violation 3. 26 of the FCRA as alleged in this Complaint; 27 Order Defendants to pay the costs of this action; and 4. 28 6

	Case 2:10-cv-00696-LOA Documen	t 1 Filed 03/26/10 Page 7 of 7
1	5. Award Plaintiff such additional relief as the Court deems just and proper	
2		Desceret fully submitted
3		Respectfully submitted,
4	Dated: March 25, 2010	Attorneys for United States of America
5		TONY WEST Assistant Attorney General
6 7 8 9	Of Counsel: JESSICA RICH Acting Associate Director Division of Privacy and Identity Protection	DENNIS BURKE United States Attorney District of Arizona EUGENE M. THIROLF, Director
10 11	REBECCA E. KUEHN Assistant Director Division of Privacy and Identity Protection	KENNETH L. JOST, Deputy Director Office of Consumer Litigation
12	WILLIAM HAYNES	/s Daniel K. Crane-Hirsch DANIEL K. CRANE-HIRSCH
13 14 15 16	KATRINA A. BLODGETT Attorneys Division of Privacy and Identity Protection Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20580	Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice Office of Consumer Litigation PO Box 386 Washington, DC 20044-0386 Telephone: 202-616-8242 Facsimile: 202-514-8742 daniel.crane-hirsch.@usdoj.gov
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		7