ORIGINAL



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of	
POLYPORE INTERNATIONAL, INC.,) Docket No. 9327
Respondent.)
ORDER ON NON-PARTY ENTEK'S	MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER
for a protective order. ENTEK's motion seeks production of documents that ENTEK had pre Commission, in compliance with a Civil Inves	EK International LLC ("ENTEK") filed a motion is an order directing the Commission to withhold viously produced to the Federal Trade stigative Demand, pending implementation of sclosure ENTEK's highly confidential documents.
Discovery Related to ENTEK. The Stipulation International, Inc. ("Respondent"), and Complete between Respondent and ENTEK. The Stipulation	a Stipulation and Proposed Order Regarding a, signed by ENTEK, Respondent Polypore aint Counsel sets forth the agreement reached ation includes an acknowledgment by Complaint als and stipulations and that Complaint Counsel
ENTEK's motion for a protective order by the parties is hereby entered as attached.	is DENIED as moot. The Stipulation agreed to
ORDERED:	D. Michael Chappell Administrative Levy Judge

Administrative Law Judge

Date: November 18, 2008

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

CASE NO. 9327

Polypore International, Inc. a corporation.

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY RELATED TO ENTEK INTERNATIONAL LLC

WHEREAS, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") provided notice, dated October 27, 2008, that it intended to produce all of ENTEK International LLC's ("ENTEK") documents produced pursuant to the Civil Investigative Demand and Subpoena Duces Tecum issued by the Commission ("CID"), dated April 10, 2008, to Polypore International, Inc. ("Polypore");

WHEREAS, ENTEK filed its Motion for Protective Order Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 15 U.S.C. §§46(f), 57b-2(d)(1)(C) and 57b-2(d)(2), and 16 C.F.R. §4.10 ("Motion for Protective Order"), seeking an order directing the Commission to withhold production of ENTEK's documents pending implementation of certain procedures designed to protect from disclosure ENTEK's highly confidential information;

WHEREAS, on November 6, 2008, subsequent to the filing of ENTEK's Motion for Protective Order, Polypore served a Subpoena Duces Tecum on ENTEK seeking the production of documents responsive to forty (40) specifications, including the documents which are the subject of ENTEK's Motion for Protective Order, on or by November 24, 2008 ("Polypore Subpoena"); and

WHEREAS, to enhance efficiency and, thereby, to conserve private and administrative agency resources, counsel for Polypore and ENTEK have agreed to enter into this stipulation and order ("Stipulation and Order") to attempt in good faith to resolve all discovery issues and disputes that have arisen between them in the above matter;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between counsel for Polypore and counsel for ENTEK:

- 1. Polypore hereby agrees and consents to stay indefinitely its demand that the Commission produce ENTEK documents in the Commission's possession as a result of the CID; provided, however, that nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be deemed a waiver of any right of Polypore, including its right to seek production of such discovery from ENTEK through the Polypore Subpoena or through reinstatement of such demand on the Commission in the event the Parties hereto are unable to resolve any discovery issues and disputes raised in connection with the Polypore Subpoena; it being further understood and agreed that if Polypore reinstates its demand on the Commission to produce ENTEK documents in its possession as a result of the CID, with same day email copy to the undersigned counsel for ENTEK, Polypore shall have five (5) business days from written reinstatement of its demand to the Commission to file and serve any opposition or response to ENTEK's Motion for Protective Order;
- Order, pursuant to the terms of this Stipulation and Order; provided, however, that nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be deemed a waiver of any right of ENTEK, including its right to seek relief in the context of any subsequent motion to quash or for protective order with respect to the Polypore Subpoena, and/or to seek such relief through the Motion for Protective Order, which shall be deemed reinstated without requiring any further action on ENTEK's part in the event Polypore shall renew or reinstate, at any time after the effective date of this Stipulation and

Order, its demand that the Commission produce ENTEK documents in its possession as a result of the CID;

- 3. Polypore agrees to continue the date and time for the filing of any motion seeking to quash or limit the Polypore Subpoena until five (5) business days after the parties determine in good faith that they will not be able to resolve all discovery issues and disputes raised in connection with the Polypore Subpoena;
- 4. ENTEK agrees to limit any motion seeking to quash or limit the Polypore Subpoena solely to those issues that remain unresolved between the Parties and to produce to Polypore in a timely manner documents as to which no objection remains unresolved.

By executing this Stipulation and Order, Complaint Counsel acknowledges that it has received notice of the recitals and stipulations set forth herein and confirms that it has no objection to any of its terms and that it shall refrain from producing to Polypore ENTEK documents produced to it pursuant to the CID until such time as it is directed to do so by order of the tribunal or by direction of both Parties hereto.

Dated: November 17, 2008

By Caro Wilse

Eric. D. Welsh

PARKER POE ADAMS & BERNSTEIN,

LLP

Three Wachovia Center

401 South Tryon Street, Suite 3000

Charlotte, NC 28202

Telephone: (704) 372-9000

Facsimile: (704) 335-9689

ericwelsh@parkerpoe.com

Attorneys for Respondent

Dated: November 17, 2008

By Darius Ogloya / Swn
Darius Ogloza

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111-6538 Telephone: +1.415.391.0600 Facsimile: +1.415.395.8095 hanno.kaiser@lw.com* darius.ogloza@lw.com

* Admitted in New York only. Not admitted in California.

Attorneys for ENTEK International LLC

Dated: November 17, 2008

Dv.

36

J. Robert Robertson
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580
rrobertson@ftc.gov

Complaint Counsel

IT IS SO ORDERED.

D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge