

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of

**Lockheed Martin Corporation,**  
a corporation;

**The Boeing Company,**  
a corporation;

and

**United Launch Alliance, L.L.C.,**  
a limited liability company.

Docket No. C-

**AGREEMENT CONTAINING CONSENT ORDER**

The Federal Trade Commission (“Commission”) having initiated an investigation of the proposed formation of United Launch Alliance, L.L.C. (“ULA”), by Lockheed Martin Corporation (“LM”) and The Boeing Company (“Boeing”) (the three entities collectively referred to hereinafter as “Proposed Respondents”), and it now appearing that Proposed Respondents are willing to enter into this Agreement Containing Consent Order (“Consent Agreement”) providing for certain relief:

**IT IS HEREBY AGREED** by and between Proposed Respondents, by their duly authorized officers and attorneys, and counsel for the Commission that:

1. Proposed Respondent LM is a corporation organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Maryland, with its office and principal place of business located at 6801 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20817.
2. Proposed Respondent Boeing is a corporation organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware, with its office and principal place of business located at 100 N. Riverside, Chicago, IL 60606.
3. Proposed Respondent ULA is a limited liability company organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware, with its office and principal place of business located at 12257 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Mailstop T6000, Littleton, CO 80125.

4. Proposed Respondents admit all jurisdictional facts set forth in the draft of Complaint here attached.
5. Proposed Respondents waive:
  - a. any further procedural steps;
  - b. the requirement that the Commission's Decision and Order, attached hereto and made a part hereof, contain a statement of findings of fact and conclusions of law;
  - c. all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise challenge or contest the validity of the Decision and Order entered pursuant to this Consent Agreement; and
  - d. any claim under the Equal Access to Justice Act.
6. This Consent Agreement shall not become part of the public record of the proceeding unless and until it is accepted by the Commission. If this Consent Agreement is accepted by the Commission, it, together with the draft of Complaint contemplated thereby, will be placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days and information in respect thereto publicly released. The Commission thereafter may either withdraw its acceptance of this Consent Agreement and so notify Proposed Respondents, in which event it will take such action as it may consider appropriate, or issue or amend its Complaint (in such form as the circumstances may require) and issue its Decision and Order, in disposition of the proceeding.
7. This Consent Agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by Proposed Respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in the draft of Complaint, or that the facts as alleged in the draft of Complaint, other than jurisdictional facts, are true.
8. This Consent Agreement contemplates that, if it is accepted by the Commission, and if such acceptance is not subsequently withdrawn by the Commission pursuant to the provisions of Commission Rule 2.34, 16 C.F.R. § 2.34, the Commission may, without further notice to the Proposed Respondents: (1) issue and serve its Complaint corresponding in form and substance with the draft of Complaint here attached and its Decision and Order and (2) make information public with respect thereto.
9. When final, the Decision and Order shall have the same force and effect and may be altered, modified or set aside in the same manner and within the same time provided by statute or other orders. The Decision and Order shall become final upon service. Delivery of the Complaint and the Decision and Order to Proposed Respondents by any means provided in Commission Rule 4.4(a), 16 C.F.R. § 4.4(a), shall constitute service. Proposed Respondents waive any right they may have to any other manner of service. Proposed Respondents also waive any right they may otherwise have to service of any Appendices incorporated by reference into the Decision and Order that are in the possession of Proposed Respondents, and agree that

they are bound to comply with and will comply with the Decision and Order to the same extent as if they had been served with copies of the Appendices.

10. The Complaint may be used in construing the terms of the Decision and Order and no agreement, understanding, representation, or interpretation not contained in the Decision and Order or the Consent Agreement may be used to vary or contradict the terms of the Decision and Order.

11. By signing this Consent Agreement, Respondents represent and warrant that they can accomplish the full relief contemplated by the Consent Agreement and the attached Decision and Order, and that all parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, and successors necessary to effectuate the full relief contemplated by this Consent Agreement and Decision and Order are bound thereby as if they had signed this Consent Agreement and were made parties to this proceeding and to the Decision and Order.

12. Proposed Respondents have read the draft of Complaint and the Decision and Order. Proposed Respondents understand that once the Decision and Order has been issued, they will be required to file one or more compliance reports showing that they have fully complied with the Decision and Order.

13. Proposed Respondents agree to comply with the terms of the proposed Decision and Order from the date they sign this Consent Agreement. Proposed Respondents further understand that they may be liable for civil penalties in the amount provided by law for each violation of the Decision and Order after it becomes final.

**For Lockheed Martin Corporation:**

By:

Stephen E. Smith  
Vice President and General Counsel  
Lockheed Martin Space Systems  
Company

Dated:

By:

Raymond A. Jacobsen, Jr.  
Jon B. Dubrow  
McDermott Will & Emery  
Counsel for  
Lockheed Martin Corporation

**For The Boeing Company:**

By:

James C. Johnson  
Assistant General Counsel,  
Vice President and Secretary  
The Boeing Company

Dated:

By:

Benjamin S. Sharp  
Perkins Coie  
Counsel for The Boeing Company

**For United Launch Alliance, L.L.C.:**

By:

Stephen E. Smith  
Vice President and General Counsel  
Lockheed Martin Space Systems  
Company

Dated:

By:

James C. Johnson  
Assistant General Counsel,  
Vice President and Secretary  
The Boeing Company

Dated:

**For Federal Trade Commission:**

By:

Randall A. Long  
Richard H. Cunningham  
Attorneys  
Bureau of Competition

Approved:

Michael R. Moiseyev  
Assistant Director  
Bureau of Competition

David P. Wales  
Deputy Director  
Bureau of Competition

Jeffrey Schmidt  
Director  
Bureau of Competition

