
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division



RAMBUS INC.

v.

Plaintiff.

Civil Action No.: 3:00CV524

INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG, et al.

Defendants.

STIPULATED ORDER

WHEREAS, the Court's May 18, 2004 Orders compel Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") to produce to Defendants certain documents as to which Rambus claimed the attorney-client and/or work product privileges; and

WHEREAS, the Court's March 7, 2001 Order compelled Rambus to produce to Defendants certain documents as to which Rambus claimed the attorney-client and/or work product privileges; and

WHEREAS, Rambus's production of such documents pursuant to the March 7, 2001 Order was governed by this Court's Stipulated Order of April 20, 2001; and

WHEREAS, the parties agree that those documents as to which Rambus claimed the attorney-client and/or work product privileges and which Rambus will produce to Infineon pursuant to the Court's May 18, 2004 Orders should be treated and governed in the same fashion as the documents previously produced pursuant to the March 7, 2001 Order; and

WHEREAS, Rambus is identifying to Infineon, on Attachment A hereto, those documents that Rambus has claimed to be subject to the attorney-client and/or work product privileges and that Rambus believes are within the scope of documents whose production to Infineon has been compelled by the Court's May 18, 2004 Orders; and

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that the documents listed on Attachment A to this Stipulated Order, and any depositions related to those documents, may be disclosed to



1

the parties' respective trial teams on an "Outside Counsel Only" basis, but shall not be disclosed to others, either voluntarily or in response to subpoena, without stipulation of the parties hereto or further order of the Court; and

WHEREAS, the parties agree that Infineon may seek to introduce into evidence the documents listed on Attachment A to this Stipulated Order, as well as the depositions related to those documents, subject to any evidentiary objections (which Rambus hereby reserves); and

WHEREAS, the parties agree that all documents listed on Attachment A not introduced into evidence during trial, and all portions of deposition testimony related to the documents listed on Attachment A not introduced into evidence during trial, will remain under seal and shall not be disclosed beyond "Outside Counsel Only" either voluntarily or in response to subpoena, without stipulation of the parties hereto or further order of the Court; and

WHEREAS, the parties agree that nothing in this Stipulation and Order waives Rambus's attorney-client privilege and/or work product objections to the production or introduction into evidence of the documents listed on Attachment A, and that by entering into this Stipulation, Rambus will be deemed to have objected to each document listed on the grounds of the attorney-client and/or word product privileges, and the objection will be deemed to have been overruled on the grounds stated in the Court's May 18, 2004 Orders and accompanying Memorandum Opinions;

THEREFORE, it is ORDERED that Rambus's attorney-client privilege and work product objections to the production or introduction into evidence of the documents listed on Attachment A are deemed to have been made and overruled on the grounds stated in the Court's May 18, 2004 Orders and accompanying Memorandum Opinions; that the documents listed on Attachment A to this Stipulated Order and the related depositions may be disclosed to members of the parties' respective trial teams on an "Outside Counsel Only" basis but shall not be disclosed to others, either voluntarily or in response to subpoena, without stipulation of the parties hereto or further order of the Court; that Infineon shall be permitted

to introduce the documents listed on Attachment A and related depositions into evidence pending any evidentiary objections by Rambus; and that all documents listed on Attachment A not introduced into evidence during trial, and all portions of deposition testimony related to the documents listed on Attachment A not introduced into evidence during trial, will remain under seal and shall not be disclosed beyond "Outside Counsel Only" either voluntarily or in response to subpoena, without stipulation of the parties hereto or further order of the Court.

ENTERED this 24 day of Seylar 2004.

United States District Judge

We ask for this:

Brian C. Riogelle (VSB No. 36454) Robert M. Tyler (VSB No. 37861)

McGUIRE WOODS LLP

One James Center 901 East Cary Street Richmond, Virginia 23219-4030

(804) 775-1000

OF COUNSEL:

John M. Desmarais Gregory S. Arovas Michael P. Stadnick KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Citigroup Center 153 East 53rd Street New York, New York 10022 (212) 446-4800

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

Michael W. Smith (VSB No. 01125) Craig T. Merritt (VSB No. 20281) R. Braxon Hill, IV (VSB No. 41539) CHRISTIAN & BARTON, L.L.P. 909 East Main Street, Suite 1200 Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 697-4112

OF COUNSEL:

Gregory P. Stone Kelly M. Klaus Peter A. Detre MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 (213) 683-9100

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

ATTACHMENT A

Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation and the Court's May 18, 2004 Orders, Rambus is identifying to Infineon the following documents – each of which is identified by Bates number(s) and, where applicable, the entry number on Rambus's privilege log – that Rambus has claimed are protected by the attorney-client and/or work product privileges and that and that Rambus believes are within the scope of documents whose production to Infineon has been compelled by the Court's May 18, 2004 Orders:

Privilege Log Entry	Bates Number
268	R401045-401048
270	R401049-401061
271	R401062-401068
279	R401069-401085
313	R401086-401089
315	R401000-401099
317	
	R401103-401111
319	R401112
325	R401113-401115
326	R401116-401135
327	R401136-401152
358	R401153-401159
363	R401160-401194
364	R401195-401207
367	R401208-401220
371	R401221-401223
373	R401224
374	R401225
375	R401226
376	R401227-401232
528	R401233-401234
644	R401235-401239
1114	R401240
1960	R401241-401242
2331	R401243-401253
2784	R401254-401268
4077	R401269

991	R401270-401290
4030	R401291
4081	R401292-401293
4208	R401294
4287	R401295
*4293	R401296-401297
**4652	R401298-401300
**4653	R401301-401306
4659	R401307-401314
4660	R401315
4661	R401316-401317
4662	R401318-401319
4663	R401320-401321
4664	R401322-401323
4665	R401324-401325
4670	R401326-401328
4671	R401329-401330
4672	R401331-401332
4673	R401333
***	R401339
***	R401340
***	R401341

^{*} These pages were previously produced from the original log entry 4293 as redacted pages bearing Bates Nos. R400638 and R400639.

^{**} Privilege log entries 4652 and 4653 each contain large numbers of privileged files from the Blakely Sokoloff Taylor and Zafman law firm. Within these privilege log entries, Rambus is producing only those documents that Rambus believes to be within the scope of documents whose production to Infineon has been compelled by the Court's May 18, 2004 Orders.

^{***} These documents do not appear on Rambus's privilege log, because they are attorneyclient privilege and/or work product documents related to this litigation, and the parties had previously agreed that neither side need log such documents.