In the Matter of

Union Oil Company of California, a corporation.

Docket No. 9305

COMPLAINT COUNSEL’S REQUEST FOR THE COMMISSION TO DEFER RULING ON THE PETITION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE’S EXERCISE OF DELEGATED AUTHORITY PURSUANT TO 16 C.F.R. SECTION 0.7 AND REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER ON REMAND

Complaint Counsel respectfully request the Commission to defer ruling on Complaint Counsel’s Petition for Expedited Review of Administrative Law Judge’s Exercise of Delegated Authority Pursuant to 16 C.F.R. Section 0.7 and Request for Supplemental Order on Remand, until after the Scheduling Conference with Administrative Law Judge Chappell on September 8, 2004.

On August 26, 2004, Complaint Counsel filed a Petition requesting that the Commission order an Administrative Law Judge to schedule an adjudicative hearing to commence as soon as practicable, but no earlier than ten business days from the Administrative Law Judge’s order scheduling the hearing date and no later than October 12, 2004. Complaint Counsel further petitioned the Commission for an order requiring an Administrative Law Judge to issue trial subpoenas within two business days of submission of revised trial subpoenas by the parties.

On August 27, 2004, Unocal filed a response to Complaint Counsel’s Petition.

On August 27, 2004, Administrative Law Judge Chappell issued an Order setting a
scheduling conference for September 8, 2004 at 3:00 p.m.

In light of Judge Chappell’s Order Setting Scheduling Conference, Complaint Counsel respectfully request that the Commission defer ruling on Complaint Counsel’s Petition pending the outcome of the September 8, 2004 Scheduling Conference.
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Counsel Supporting the Complaint

Dated: September 1, 2004
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Guru Raj, hereby certify that on September 01, 2004, I caused one original and twelve copies of "Complaint Counsel's Request for The Commission to Defer Ruling on the Petition for Expedited Review of Administrative Law Judge's Exercise of Delegated Authority Pursuant to 16 C.F.R. Section 0.7 and Request for Supplemental Order on Remand" to be served upon the following by hand delivery:

The Commissioners
U.S. Federal Trade Commission
Via Office of the Secretary, Room H-159
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580

I, Guru Raj, hereby certify that on September 01, 2004, I caused one copy of "Complaint Counsel's Request for The Commission to Defer Ruling on the Petition for Expedited Review of Administrative Law Judge's Exercise of Delegated Authority Pursuant to 16 C.F.R. Section 0.7 and Request for Supplemental Order on Remand" to be served upon the following by facsimile and Federal Express delivery:

Joseph Kattan, Esq.
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036-5306

David W. Beehler, Esq.
Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi LLP
2800 LaSalle Plaza
800 LaSalle Avenue
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015
I, Guru Raj, hereby certify that on September 01, 2004, I caused two copies of “Complaint Counsel’s Request for The Commission to Defer Ruling on the Petition for Expedited Review of Administrative Law Judge’s Exercise of Delegated Authority Pursuant to 16 C.F.R. Section 0.7 and Request for Supplemental Order on Remand” to be served upon the following by hand delivery:

The Honorable Stephen J. McGuire  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
U.S. Federal Trade Commission  
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20580

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell  
Administrative Law Judge  
U.S. Federal Trade Commission  
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20580

Guru Raj