

Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission ("Commission" or "FTC") filed its complaint pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), and Sections 521(a) and 522(a) of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLB Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 6821(a) and 6822(a). The Commission and Defendants, as hereinafter defined, hereby stipulate to the entry of and request the Court to enter this Stipulated Judgment and Order for Permanent Injunction ("Order")

Defendants.

IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED, AGREED, AND ORDERED as follows:

to resolve all matters of dispute between them in this action.

FINDINGS

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties pursuant

ENTER ON ICMS
MAY - 6 2003

5

11

10

13

14

12 l

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 to 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 6821(a), and 6822(a), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.

- 2. Venue is proper as to all parties in the Central District of California pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).
- 3. The activities of Defendants are in or affecting commerce, as defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.
- 4. The Complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted against Defendants under Sections 5(a) and 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b), and under Sections 521(a) and 522(a) of the GLB Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6821(a) and 6822(a).
- 5. Defendants have entered into this Order freely and without coercion. Defendants further acknowledge that they have read the provisions of this Order and are prepared to abide by them.
- 6. The Plaintiff and Defendants, by and through their counsel, have agreed that the entry of this Order resolves all matters of dispute between them arising from the Complaint in this action, up to the date of entry of this Order.
- 7. Defendants waive all rights to seek appellate review or otherwise challenge or contest the validity of this Order. Defendants further waive and release any claim they may have against the Commission, its employees, representatives, or agents.
- 8. Defendants agree that this Order does not entitle Defendants to seek or to obtain attorneys' fees as a prevailing party under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended by Pub. L. 104-121, 110 Stat. 847, 863-64 (1996), and Defendants further waive any rights to attorneys' fees that may arise under said provision of law.
- 9. This Order is in addition to, and not in lieu of, any other civil or criminal remedies that may be provided by law.
 - 10. Entry of this Order is in the public interest.
 - 11. This Order does not constitute and shall not be interpreted to constitute

12

13 l

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

25

26 27

28

either an admission by Defendants or a finding by the Court that Defendants have engaged in any violations of law.

ORDER

Definitions

- "Assets" means any legal or equitable interest in, right to, or claim to, any real and personal property, including but not limited to chattel, goods, instruments, equipment, fixtures, general intangibles, effects, leaseholds, premises, contracts, mail or other deliveries, shares of stock, lists of consumer names, inventory, checks, notes, accounts, credits, receivables, funds, and all cash, wherever located.
- "Defendants" means Universal IT Solutions, Inc. ("UIT"), Anthony В. Tamraz ("Tamraz"), and their officers, agents, servants, employees, subsidiaries, affiliates, successors, assigns, and all persons or entities in active concert or participation with them who receive notice of this order by personal service or otherwise.
- "Document" is synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage C. of the term in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a), and includes writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, audio and video recordings, computer records, and other data compilations from which information can be obtained and translated, if necessary, into reasonably usable form through detection devices. A draft or nonidentical copy is a separate document within the meaning of the term.
 - "Plaintiff" means Federal Trade Commission. D.
- "Spoofing" means the practice of disguising a commercial email to E. make the email appear to come from an address from which it actually did not originate. Spoofing involves placing in the "From" or "Reply-to" lines, or in other portions of email messages, an email address other than the actual sender's address, without the consent or authorization of the user of the email address whose address is spoofed.

- F. "Customer information of a financial institution" is synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of the term in Section 527(2) of the GLB Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6827(2).
- G. "Assisting and facilitating" means providing substantial assistance or support to any third party, where the party knows or has reason to believe, that the third party is engaged in any practice that violates the FTC Act or the GLB Act.

Conduct Prohibitions

I. INJUNCTION AGAINST MISREPRESENTATIONS

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering or sale of goods or services in commerce, Defendants are hereby restrained and enjoined from making, or assisting or facilitating the making of, any express or implied misrepresentation or omission of material fact that is false or misleading, in any manner, directly or indirectly, to any consumer or entity, including, but not limited to, the following:

- A. Representing that Defendants are affiliated with, or are calling from or on behalf of, a financial institution, including, but not limited to, Radian, Prudential, or Fannie Mae, or a company affiliated with these businesses;
- B. Representing that the email addresses of email recipients will, upon request of the email recipients, be removed from any lists of addresses to which future email solicitations will be sent;
- C. Placing the email addresses of consumers, without their authorization or consent, in the "from" or "reply-to" portion of emails;
- D. Inducing consumers to divulge their personal financial information by representing that Defendants are affiliated with financial institutions; and
- E. Representing any information material to a consumer's decision to provide personal financial information.

II. INJUNCTION AGAINST SPOOFING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in connection with the advertising,

promotion, offering or sale of goods or services in commerce, Defendants are hereby restrained and enjoined from the practice of "spoofing" or assisting and facilitating the practice of "spoofing."

III. INJUNCTION AGAINST GRAMM-LEACH-BLILEY ACT VIOLATIONS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering or sale of goods or services in commerce, Defendants are hereby restrained and enjoined from obtaining or attempting to obtain, or assisting and facilitating in obtaining or attempting to obtain, "customer information of a financial institution," including, but not limited to, mortgage amount, rate, and type, by making false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations to consumers or financial institutions.

IV. MONETARY JUDGMENT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- A. Judgment in the amount of Sixty Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$60,500.00) is hereby entered against Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc. and Anthony Tamraz, jointly and severally. Provided, however, that this amount shall be suspended until further order of the Court, and provided further that this judgment shall be subject to the conditions set forth in Subsection B of this Section.
- B. The Commission's agreement to this Order is expressly premised upon the truthfulness, accuracy and completeness of their sworn financial statements and supporting documents submitted to the Commission, namely those of Defendant Universal IT Solutions, Inc. dated November 11, 2002 and those of Defendant Anthony Tamraz dated November 11, 2002. Such financial statements and supporting documents contain material information upon which the Commission relied in negotiating and agreeing to this Order. If, upon motion by the Commission, this Court finds that Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc. or Anthony Tamraz has failed to disclose any material asset or materially misstated the value of any asset in the financial statements and related documents described above, or has made any

other material misstatement or omission in the financial statements and related documents described above, the Court shall enter judgment in the amount of Sixty Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$60,500.00) against such Defendant. The entire amount of the judgment would be rendered immediately due and payable by Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc. and Anthony Tamraz.

- C. In accordance with 31 U.S.C. § 7701, Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc. and Anthony Tamraz are hereby required, unless they have done so already, to furnish to the Commission their respective taxpayer identifying numbers (social security numbers or employer identification numbers) which shall be used for purposes of collecting and reporting on any delinquent amount arising out of Defendants' relationship with the government.
- D. Defendant Anthony Tamraz is further required, unless he has done so already, to provide the Commission with clear, legible and full-size photocopies of all valid driver's licenses he possesses, which will be used for reporting and compliance purposes.
- E. Defendants agree that the facts as alleged in the Complaint filed in this action shall be taken as true for the purpose of a nondischargeability complaint in any bankruptcy proceeding.
- F. Proceedings instituted under this Section are in addition to, and not in lieu of, any other civil or criminal remedies that may be provided by law, including any other proceedings the Commission may initiate to enforce this Order.

V. COMMISSION'S USE OF MONETARY JUDGMENT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all funds paid pursuant to Section IV of this Order shall be deposited into a fund administered by the Commission or its agent to be used for equitable relief, including, but not limited to, consumer redress and any attendant expenses for the administration of any redress fund. In the event that direct redress to consumers is wholly or partially impracticable or funds remain after redress is completed, the Commission may apply any remaining funds for such other

equitable relief (including consumer information remedies) as it determines to be reasonably related to Defendants' practices alleged in the complaint. Any funds not used for such equitable relief shall be deposited to the United States Treasury as disgorgement. Defendants shall have no right to challenge the Commission's choice of remedies under this Section.

VI. ASSET FREEZE

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, upon entry of this Order, the freeze of Defendants' assets set forth in the Stipulated Order of Preliminary Injunction shall be dissolved.

VII. COMPLIANCE MONITORING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for the purpose of monitoring and investigating compliance with any provision of this Order,

- A. Within ten (10) days of receipt of written notice from a representative of the Commission, Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc. and Anthony Tamraz each shall submit additional written reports, sworn to under penalty of perjury; produce documents for inspection and copying; appear for deposition; and/or provide entry during normal business hours to any business location in such Defendant's possession or direct or indirect control to inspect the business operation;
- B. In addition, the Commission is authorized to monitor compliance with this Order by all other lawful means, including but not limited to the following:
- 1. obtaining discovery from any person, without further leave of court, using the procedures proscribed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, and 45;
- 2. posing as consumers and suppliers to: Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc. and Anthony Tamraz, Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc.'s and Anthony Tamraz's employees, or any other entity managed or controlled in whole or in part by Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc. and Anthony Tamraz, without the necessity of identification or prior notice;

Provided that nothing in this Order shall limit the Commission's lawful use of

compulsory process, pursuant to Sections 9 and 20 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 49, 57b-1, to obtain any documentary material, tangible things, testimony, or information relevant to unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce (within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1)).

C. Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc. and Anthony Tamraz shall permit representatives of the Commission to interview any employer, consultant, independent contractor, representative, agent, or employee who has agreed to such an interview, relating in any way to any conduct subject to this Order. The person interviewed may have counsel present.

VIII. COMPLIANCE REPORTING BY DEFENDANT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in order that compliance with the provisions of this Order may be monitored:

- A. For a period of three (3) years from the date of entry of this Order,
- 1. Defendant Anthony Tamraz shall notify the Commission of the following:
- a. Any changes in Defendant's residence, mailing addresses, and telephone numbers, within ten (10) days of the date of such change;
- b. Any changes in Defendant's employment status (including self-employment) within ten (10) days of the date of such change. Such notice shall include the name and address of each business that Defendant is affiliated with, employed by, or performs services for; a statement of the nature of the business; and a statement of Defendant's duties and responsibilities in connection with the business;
- c. Any changes in Defendant's name or use of any aliases or fictitious names; and
- 2. Defendant Universal IT Solutions, Inc. shall notify the Commission of any changes in corporate structure that may affect compliance obligations arising under this Order, including but not limited to a dissolution, assignment, sale, merger, or other action that would result in the emergence of a

successor corporation; the creation or dissolution of a subsidiary, parent, or affiliate that engages in any acts or practices subject to this Order; the filing of a bankruptcy petition; or a change in the corporate name or address, at least thirty (30) days prior to such change, *provided* that, with respect to any proposed change in the corporation about which the Defendant learns less than thirty (30) days prior to the date such action is to take place, Defendant shall notify the Commission as soon as is practicable after obtaining such knowledge.

- B. One hundred eighty (180) days after the date of entry of this Order, Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc. and Anthony Tamraz each shall provide a written report to the FTC, sworn to under penalty of perjury, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied and are complying with this Order. This report shall include, but not be limited to:
- 1. Any changes required to be reported pursuant to subsection A above; and
- 2. A copy of each acknowledgment of receipt of this Order obtained by Defendant pursuant to Section X.
- C. For the purposes of this Order, Defendant shall, unless otherwise directed by the Commission's authorized representatives, mail all written notifications to the Commission to:

Associate Director, Division of Marketing Practices Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20580

Re: FTC v. GM Funding, et al., Civil Action No. SACV 02-1026

D. For purposes of the compliance reporting required by this Section, the Commission is authorized to communicate directly with Defendant Anthony Tamraz.

IX. RECORD KEEPING PROVISIONS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for a period of six (6) years from the date of entry of this Order, in connection with any business where Defendant Anthony Tamraz is the majority owner of the business or directly or indirectly manages or

controls the business, Defendants and their agents, employees, officers, corporations, successors, and assigns, and those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise, are hereby restrained and enjoined from failing to create and retain the following records:

- A. Accounting records that reflect the cost of goods or services sold, revenues generated, and the disbursement of such revenues;
- B. Personnel records accurately reflecting: the name, address, and telephone number of each person employed in any capacity by such business, including as an independent contractor; that person's job title or position; the date upon which the person commenced work; and the date and reason for the person's termination, if applicable;
- C. Customer files containing the names, addresses, phone numbers, dollar amounts paid, quantity of items or services purchased, and description of items or services purchased, to the extent such information is obtained in the ordinary course of business;
- D. Complaints and refund requests (whether received directly, indirectly or through any third party) and any responses to those complaints or requests; and
- E. Copies of all sales scripts, training materials, advertisements, or other marketing materials.

X. DISTRIBUTION OF ORDER BY DEFENDANT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for a period of three (3) years from the date of entry of this Order,

A. Defendant Universal IT Solutions, Inc. shall deliver a copy of this Order to all principals, officers, directors, managers, employees, agents, and representatives having responsibilities with respect to the subject matter of this Order, and shall secure from each such person a signed and dated statement acknowledging receipt of the Order. Defendant Universal IT Solutions, Inc. shall deliver this Order to current personnel within thirty (30) days after the date of service of this Order, and to new

6

7 8 9

11 12

13

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

28

27

personnel within thirty (30) days after the person assumes such position or responsibilities.

Defendant Anthony Tamraz shall deliver a copy of this Order to the В. principals, officers, directors, managers and employees under Defendant Anthony Tamraz's control for any business that (a) employs or contracts for personal services from Defendant Anthony Tamraz and (b) has responsibilities with respect to the subject matter of this Order. Defendant Anthony Tamraz shall secure from each such person a signed and dated statement acknowledging receipt of the Order within thirty (30) days after the date of service of the Order or the commencement of the employment relationship.

XI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF ORDER BY DEFENDANT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each Defendant, within five (5) business days of receipt of this Order as entered by the Court, must submit to the Commission a truthful sworn statement acknowledging receipt of this Order.

XII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of construction, modification and enforcement of this Order.

XIII. MONITORING COMPLIANCE OF SALES PERSONNEL

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants Universal IT Solutions, Inc. and Anthony Tamraz, in connection with any business where Defendant Anthony Tamraz is the majority owner of the business or directly or indirectly manages or controls the business, is hereby permanently restrained and enjoined from:

Failing to take reasonable steps sufficient to monitor and ensure that all A. employees and independent contractors engaged in sales or other customer service functions comply with Sections I, II, and III of this Order. Such steps shall include adequate monitoring of sales presentations or other calls with customers, and shall also include, at a minimum, the following: (1) listening to the oral representations made by persons engaged in sales or other customer service functions;

(2) establishing a procedure for receiving and responding to consumer complaints; and (3) ascertaining the number and nature of consumer complaints regarding transactions in which each employee or independent contractor is involved;

- B. Failing promptly to investigate fully any consumer complaint received by any business to which this Section applies; and
- C. Failing to take corrective action with respect to any sales person whom Defendant Anthony Tamraz determines is not complying with this Order, which may include training, disciplining, and/or terminating such sales person.

XIV. PROHIBITIONS INVOLVING CONSUMER LISTS IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants are hereby restrained and enjoined from:

- A. Selling, renting, leasing, transferring, or otherwise disclosing the name, address, telephone number, credit card number, bank account number, email address, or other identifying information of any person who submitted such information to Defendants at any time prior to entry of this Order, in connection with the advertising, promotion, telemarketing, offering for sale, or sale of any product or service in commerce, except in connection with communications to actual and prospective lenders, which are made with the consumer's prior written authorization; and
- B. Benefitting from or using the name, address, telephone number, credit card number, bank account number, email address, or other identifying or financial information of any person who submitted such information to Defendants as a result of, derived from, or otherwise related to the activities alleged in the Commission's complaint.

Provided however, that Defendants may disclose such identifying information to a law enforcement agency, or as required by any law, regulation or court order.

XV. FEES AND COSTS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party to this Order hereby agrees to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this action.

XVI. ENTRY OF THIS JUDGMENT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, as there is no just reason for delay of entry of this judgment, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b), the Clerk shall enter this Order immedia:ely.

XVII. COMPLETE SETTLEMENT

The parties hereby consent to entry of the foregoing Order which shall constitute a final judgment and order in this matter. The parties further stipulate and agree that the entry of the foregoing order shall constitute a full, complete, and final settlement of this action.

STIPULATED AND AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

11	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
12	DATED: April 24, 2003	DATED: / 2/11/03
13	The & king	
14	Brian Hiseman, Esq.	Anthony Tamraz, individually and on behalf of Universal IT Solutions, Inc.
15	Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, H-238 Washington, D.C. 20580	density of Only elsat II Southous, Inc.
16	Washington, D.C. 20580 (202) 31:6-3320 (202) 31:6-3395 facsimile	
17		e pagging a managan a
18	Attornay for Plaintiff	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED, this	day of, 2003.
20		
21	,	Clavrel O. Cartio

David O. Carter United States District Judge

23

22

2

5

7

24

25 26

27

28