UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION



In the Matter of

SCHERING-PLOUGH CORPORATION, a corporation,

UPSHER-SMITH LABORATORIES, INC. a corporation,

and

AMERICAN HOME PRODUCTS CORPORATION,

a corporation.

Docket No. 9297

COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S OPPOSITION TO UPSHER-SMITH'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS

On the eve of oral argument on its motion to dismiss – and a full two weeks after complaint counsel's opposition was filed. Upsher-Smith moves for leave to file a 21-page reply memorandum in support of its motion to dismiss. The reply memorandum contains various misstatements, the motion offers no adequate justification for filing a reply, and it does not even attempt to explain or justify the timing of this reply. Complaint counsel oppose the motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Karen & Bakat

Karen Bokat

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 12, 2002, I caused a copy of Complaint Counsel's Opposition to Upsher-smith's Motion for Leave to File Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss to be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, and two paper copies to be served by hand delivery upon:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

The following persons were served with one paper copy by hand delivery:

Jahren W. Allen ATC Frestigator

Laura S. Shores, Esq. Howrey Simon Arnold & White 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2402

Christopher M. Curran, Esq. White & Case LLP 601 13th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005