1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRI WESTERN DISTRICT OF V	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	
11	Plaintiff,	Civil No.
12	V.	
13	DILLON SHERIF, A.K.A. NURALDIN SHAREEF KARIM, DILLON SHAREEF, AND DILLON	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER
14	NARIMAN, D.B.A. ALS, GCI, GRAND ALLIANCE SERVICE ("GAS"), PBI, POWER	EQUITABLE RELIEF
15	BALL SERVICES ("PBS"), ROYAL ENTERPRISES, AND ROYAL MARKETING	
16	GROUP ("RMG"); MELISSA C. ROBINSON, D.B.A. ROYAL	
17	MAJESTY SERVICES ("RMS"), ROYAL INTERNATIONAL SERVICES ("RIS"), ROYAL ENTERPRISES, AND MUTUAL AMERICAN;	
18	SAUL SOMERSTEIN, A.K.A. SAUL SOMERS, D.B.A. IMPERIAL MARKETING	
19	SERVICE ("IMS"), ALLIANCE MARKETING SERVICE ("AMS"), RMG, AND WORLD	
20	MARKETING SERVICE ("WMS");	
21	Defendants, and	
22	NARIMAN SABRI MIKDAD, A.K.A. NARIMAN	
23	SHERIF; AMINA MOHAMAD,	
24 25	Relief Defendants.	
25 26		
26 27	Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "	Commission") for its Complaint alleges:
27 28	1. The FTC brings this action under Section	s 13(b) and 19 of the Federal Trade
28		

Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and the Telemarketing and 1 Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act ("Telemarketing Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 6101 et seq., to 2 obtain permanent injunctive relief, rescission of contracts, restitution, disgorgement, and other 3 equitable relief for defendants' deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC 4 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and the FTC's Trade Regulation Rule entitled "Telemarketing Sales 5 Rule," 16 C.F.R. Part 310. The Commission also seeks disgorgement, plus prejudgment interest, 6 from each relief defendant of all funds derived, directly or indirectly, from the defendants' 7 deceptive conduct. 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 57b, 6102(c), and 6105(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.

3. Venue in the Western District of Washington is proper under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), (c), and (d).

PLAINTIFF

4. Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission is an independent agency of the United States 15 Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. § 41 et seq. The Commission enforces Section 5(a) 16 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or 17 affecting commerce. The Commission also enforces the Telemarketing Sales Rule ("TSR" or 18 "the Rule"), 16 C.F.R. Part 310, which prohibits deceptive or abusive telemarketing practices. 19 The Commission may initiate federal district court proceedings by its own attorneys to enjoin 20 violations of the FTC Act and the TSR and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate 21 in each case, including restitution for injured consumers. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 57b and 6105(b). 22

23

DEFENDANTS

5. Dillon Sherif ("Sherif"), a.k.a. Nuraldin Shareef Karim, Dillon Shareef, and
Dillon Nariman, does business as ALS, GCI, Grand Alliance Service ("GAS"), PBI, Power Ball
Services ("PBS"), Royal Enterprises, and Royal Marketing Group ("RMG"). Acting alone or in
concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and
practices set forth in this Complaint. He has transacted business throughout the United States.

Melissa C. Robinson ("Robinson"), does business as Royal Majesty Services
 ("RMS"), Royal International Services ("RIS"), Royal Enterprises, and Mutual American. Acting
 alone or in concert with others, she has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the
 acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. She has transacted business throughout the United
 States.

7. Saul Somerstein ("Somerstein"), a.k.a. Saul Somers, does business as Imperial
Marketing Service ("IMS"), Alliance Marketing Service ("AMS"), RMG, and World Marketing
Service ("WMS"). Acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed,
controlled, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. He has transacted
business throughout the United States.

11

RELIEF DEFENDANTS

8. Relief defendant Nariman Sabri Mikdad ("Mikdad"), a.k.a. Nariman Sherif, is the
wife of defendant Dillon Sherif. Sherif has transferred to Mikdad, for no apparent consideration,
valuable assets in stock, cash, or some other form.

9. Relief defendant Amina Mohamad ("Mohamad") is defendant Sherif's mother-inlaw. Sherif has transferred to Mohamad, for no apparent consideration, valuable assets in stock,
cash, or some other form.

COMMERCE

19 10. At all times relevant to this complaint, defendants Sherif, Robinson, and
20 Somerstein have maintained a substantial course of business in connection with the promotion,
21 offering for sale, or sale, through telemarketing, of foreign lottery tickets or participation, or in
22 connection with charging fees for promised winnings, in or affecting commerce, as "commerce"
23 is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

24

18

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES

11. Since 1999, defendants Sherif, Robinson, and Somerstein have engaged in a
deceptive telemarketing scheme involving foreign lotteries. Operating mainly from Vancouver,
B.C., Canada, defendants have called U.S. consumers and, using two different, but equally false,
scenarios, have convinced them to pay defendants substantial amounts of money. Sometimes

defendants have offered to sell purported foreign lottery tickets or chances, claiming that
consumers who purchase are likely or guaranteed to win large amounts of money. Defendants
have initially charged consumers anywhere from \$250 to \$999 for these tickets, convincing them
that their winnings will far exceed this cost. Other times, defendants have told consumers that
they have won a large amount of money in a foreign lottery but that, in order to claim their
winnings, consumers must pay certain fees, variously characterized as taxes, duties, currency
exchange fees, or other fees. Some consumers have received both pitches.

8 12. Consumers have been instructed to pay by cashier's check, made payable to one of
9 the company names or d.b.a.'s under which defendants have done business. Defendants have
10 instructed consumers to send the checks to one of defendants' numerous rented mail drops in
11 Vancouver, B.C. Defendants' victims, most of whom are elderly, believed they were purchasing
12 lottery tickets or had won the lottery, and paid defendants the requested amounts. They received
13 little or nothing in return.

14 13. Some consumers who had agreed to make an initial payment either to participate
in a lottery or to receive their purported winnings have been targeted by defendants for additional
calls and claims of even greater winnings. The amounts of money that defendants have
demanded from these consumers have also increased.

18 14. The sale and trafficking in foreign lotteries is a crime in the United States.
19 Defendants have failed to disclose to consumers that, by participating in the foreign lotteries,
20 consumers are violating federal criminal law.

15. Defendants have operated as a common business enterprise. All three defendants
 have received substantial financial remuneration from this enterprise, and defendants Robinson
 and Somerstein have worked for or with defendant Sherif in furtherance of the unlawful
 practices.

- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1	1 VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT				
2	16.	Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits deceptive acts and			
3	practices in or affecting commerce.				
4	<u>COUNT I</u>				
5	17.	In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing foreign lottery tickets to			
6	consumers, defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that the consumer is likely				
7	to win a large cash award if the consumer purchases lottery tickets from, or otherwise pays				
8	money to, defendants.				
9	18.	In truth and in fact, the consumer is not likely to win a large cash award if the			
10	consumer pur	chases lottery tickets from, or otherwise pays money to, defendants.			
11	19.	Therefore, the representation set forth in Paragraph 17 is false and misleading and			
12	constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C.				
13	§ 45(a).				
14		COUNT TWO			
15	20.	In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing to consumers, defendants			
16	have represented, expressly or by implication, that consumers have won a large cash award, but				
17	must pay defendants a fee or fees to receive it.				
18	21.	In truth and in fact, consumers who pay defendants a fee or fees do not receive the			
19	promised larg	ge cash awards.			
20	22.	Therefore, the representation set forth in Paragraph 20 is false and misleading and			
21	constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C.				
22	§ 45(a).				
23		COUNT THREE			
24	23.	The sale and trafficking in foreign lotteries is a violation of federal criminal law,			
25	including laws prohibiting the importing and transmitting of lottery materials or information in				
26	interstate or foreign commerce, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1301 and 1302.				
27					
28					

24. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing foreign lottery tickets or
 foreign lottery opportunities, defendants have failed to disclose that the sale and trafficking in
 foreign lotteries is a crime in the United States.

25. Defendants' failure to disclose this material fact is deceptive and violates Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

6

4

5

VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE

7 In the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6101, *et seq.*, Congress directed the FTC to
8 prescribe rules prohibiting deceptive telemarketing acts or practices. On August 16, 1995, the
9 Commission promulgated the TSR, 16 C.F.R. Part 310. The TSR became effective on December
10 31, 1995.

11 26. Defendants are "telemarketers" or "sellers" engaged in "telemarketing" as those
12 terms are defined in the TSR, 16 C.F.R. §§ 310.2(r), (t) and (u).

13 27. The TSR requires sellers and telemarketers to disclose all material restrictions,
14 limitations, or conditions to purchase, receive, or use the goods or services that are the subject of
15 the sales offer. 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(1)(ii).

16 28. The TSR prohibits sellers and telemarketers from "making a false or misleading
17 statement to induce any person to pay for goods or services." 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(4).

Pursuant to Section 3(c) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6102 (c), and
Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), violations of the TSR constitute
deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act,
15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

22

COUNT FOUR

30. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing foreign lottery tickets or
 foreign lottery opportunities, defendants have made false or misleading statements to induce the
 purchase of lottery tickets or payment of money, including but not limited to the following:

26

27

28

a. the consumer is likely to win a large cash award if the consumer purchases lottery tickets or otherwise makes a payment to defendants; and

1	b. the consumer has won and will receive a large cash award if the consumer		
2	pays defendants a fee or fees.		
3	31. Therefore, defendants have violated Section 310.3(a)(4) of the Rule, 16 C.F.R.		
4	§ 310.3(a)(4).		
5	COUNT FIVE		
6	32. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing foreign lottery tickets or		
7	foreign lottery opportunities, defendants have failed to disclose that the sale and trafficking in		
8	foreign lotteries is a crime in the United States. Defendants have thereby violated Section		
9	310.3(a)(1)(ii) of the Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(1)(ii).		
10	<u>COUNT SIX</u>		
11	RELIEF DEFENDANTS		
12	33. The relief defendants received, directly or indirectly, assets from defendant Sherif		
13	which either are the proceeds or are traceable to the proceeds of the unlawful activities alleged		
14	herein. The relief defendants have no legitimate claim to these assets.		
15	34. The relief defendants obtained the assets as part of, and in furtherance of, the		
16	violations of the FTC Act and Telemarketing Sales Rule alleged above, and under circumstances		
17	in which it is unjust, inequitable, or unconscionable for them to retain the assets, and they have		
18	been unjustly enriched.		
19	35. The Commission is entitled to an order requiring that the relief defendants		
20	disgorge these assets.		
21	<u>COMMON ENTERPRISE</u>		
22	36. Defendants have operated as a common business enterprise while engaging in the		
23	deceptive acts and practices and Telemarketing Sales Rule violations alleged above.		
24	CONSUMER INJURY		
25	37. Consumers throughout the United States have suffered and continue to suffer		
26	substantial monetary loss as a result of defendants' unlawful acts or practices. In addition,		
27	defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful practices. Absent injunctive		
28			

relief by this Court, the defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust 1 enrichment, and harm the public interest.

3	THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF		
4	38. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant		
5	injunctive and other ancillary relief, including consumer redress, disgorgement, and restitution to		
6	prevent and remedy any violations of any provision of law enforced by the Commission.		
7	39. Section 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b, and Section 6(b) of the		
8	Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6105(b), authorize this Court to grant such relief as the Court		
9	finds necessary to redress injury to consumers or other persons resulting from defendants'		
10	violations of the TSR, including rescission and reformation of contracts and refund of monies.		
11	40. This Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award other ancillary		
12	relief to remedy injury caused by the defendants' law violations.		
13	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
14	WHEREFORE, plaintiff the Federal Trade Commission, pursuant to Sections 13(b) and		
15	19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, Section 6(b) of the Telemarketing Act, 15		
16	U.S.C. § 6105(b), and the Court's own equitable powers, requests that the Court:		
17	a. Award plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be		
18	necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this		
19	action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief;		
20	b. Permanently enjoin the defendants from violating the FTC Act and the		
21	TSR, as alleged herein;		
22	c. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to		
23	consumers resulting from the defendants' violations of the Telemarketing Sales		
24	Rule and the FTC Act, including but not limited to, rescission of contracts, the		
25	refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies and interest		
26	thereon by defendants and relief defendants; and		
27			
28			

2

1	d. A	ward plaintiff the costs of bringing this action and reasonable attorneys'	
2	fees, as well as such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be		
3	just and j	proper.	
4			
5	Dated:	, 2002	
6		Respectfully Submitted,	
7		WILLIAM E. KOVACIC General Counsel	
8		CHARLES A. HARWOOD	
9		Regional Director	
10		Mary T. Benfield, WSBA #18835 Thomas Rowan, WSBA #30789	
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission	
12		Federal Trade Commission	
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
17 18			
18 19			
20			
20			
21			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			