UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL NO. 1:97 CV 0130

THE CENTURY CORPORATION, a corporation, and

RICHARD A. HAFFENDEN, an individual, and

CLIFFORD BELVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), for rits complaint alleges as follows:

1. The Commission brings this action under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), to obtain preliminary and perma nent injunctive relief against the defendants to prevent them from engaging in deceptive act s or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act , 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and to obtain other equitable relief , including rescission, restitution and disgorgement, as i s necessary to redress injury to consumers and the publi c interest resulting from defendants' violations of the FTC Act .

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b)and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.
- 3. Venue in the United States District Court for the Norther n
 District of Indiana is proper under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), a s
 amended by the FTC Act Amendments of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103 312, 108 Stat. 1691, and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

PLAINTIFF

4. Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission, is an independen tagency of the United States Government created by statute.

15 U.S.C. § 41 et seq. The Commission enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The Commission may initiate federal district court proceedings to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and to secure such other equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, including redress and disgorgement.

15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

DEFENDANTS

5. Defendant The Century Corporation is an Indiana corporatio n with its principal places of business at 6137 - 6141 Stone y Creek Drive, Fort Wayne, Indiana, 46885. The Centur y Corporation also does business as Sentry Publishing, Printing

Services, Community Awareness B ooklet, a/k/a C.A. Booklet and C.A.B., Labor Times Review, Cen tral Drug Abuse Program, a/k/a C.D.A. Program and C.D.A.P., Alcohol & Drug Abuse Preventive Training Guide, a/k/a A.D.A.P.T., and possibly under othe r d/b/a's. The Century Corporation transacts business in this District.

6. Defendant Richard A. Haffenden is the director and president of The Century Corporation. At all times material to thi complaint, individually or in concert with others, he ha formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of the corporate defendant, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint. He transact business in this District.

s

S

7. Defendant Clifford Belvin is the secretary of The Centur y
Corporation. At all times relevant to this complaint ,
individually or in concert with others, he has formulated ,
directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practice s
of the corporate defendant, including the acts and practices
set forth in this complaint. He transacts business in thi s
District.

COMMERCE

8. At all times relevant to this complaint, defendants hav e maintained a substantial course of trade in or affectin g

commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FT C Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

- 9. Since at least 1989, defendants have engaged in a program of misrepresentation targeted at public-spirited businesses i n many states. Defendants solicit businesses, including man У small businesses, to advertise in publications defendant claim to publish and distribute. Defendants represen t. advertising in their publications, which have titles such as COMMUNITY AWARENESS BOOKLET and CENTRAL DRUG ABUSE, as a meaningfu 1 opport unity for businesses to support important causes i their community, such as fighting crime and preventing dru g abuse. As further inducement for businesses to purchas advertising, defendants tell businesses that publication containing their advertising will be widely distributed i n their local communities or that publications containing their advertising will be distributed to local organizations an d specially targeted audiences within their communities.
- 10. Contrary to defendants' representations, publication s containing the businesses' advertisements are not widel y distributed in the businesses' community nor provided to loca l organizations or specially targeted audiences.
- 11. In addition to misrepresenting the distribution of the e publications, defendants often obtain payment by

misrepresenting to the business that it has already ordere d the advertisement. This misrepresentation is conveyed i n several ways; in numerous instances: (1) defendants telephon e business and misrepresent to the business that n advertisement it agreed to place in defendants' publication i s printed and needs to be paid for, even though the business ha S never agreed to an advertisement; (2) defendants obtain the name of a person at the business, and misrepresent that th person has previously authorized the advertisement; (3) defendants send a collector to the business who misrepresents that the business has approved an advertisement and that i must be paid for; (4) defendant s convey the misrepresentation that an advertisement has been ordered by sending an envelope Cash on Delivery (C.O.D.) to the business. Many businesse s pay the COD and, upon opening the envelope, discover a n advertisement in one of publications that they never au thorized. Many businesses are convinced by the above misrepre sentations that they have preapproved the advertisement and pay the defendants.

12. If a business refuses to pay for unordered advertising , defendants frequently threaten to turn the business's bil 1 over to a collection agency for collection action, or threate n to take legal action to collect payment. Many businesses are convinced by these threats and, believing that their credit records may be adversely affected, pay the bill.

13. Defendants' representations hav e caused individual businesses to pay hundreds and even thousa nds of dollars for advertising in defendants' publications.

COUNT ONE

- 14. In numerous instances, in connection with the offering fo r sale and sale of advertisements , defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that:
 - (a) the proceeds from the advertisements will b e used for a local, civic purpose, or to defray the cost of printing and disseminating th e publications locally; and
 - the publication in which the ad vertising is to (b) will receive widespread loca 1 appear distribution, or will be distributed to community organizations or specially targeted within business's audiences t.he loca l community.
- 15. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances:
 - (a) the proceeds for the advertisements are no t used for a local, civic purpose, or to defray the cost of printing and disseminating th e publications locally; and

- (b) the publication in which the ad vertising is to appear does not receive widespread loca 1 distribution and is not distributed to community organizations or specially targeted audiences within the business's loca 1 community.
- 16. Therefore, defendants' represent ations set forth in paragraph

 14 are false and misleading and constitute deceptive acts or

 practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act

 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT TWO

- 17. In numerous instances, in connection with the offering fo r sale and sale of advertisements , defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that the business or a name d person acting on behalf of the business previously authorized placement of the advertising in defendants' publications.
- 18. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, the business or a named person acting on behalf of the business did no t previously authorize placement of the advertising in defendants' publications.
- 19. Therefore, defendants' representation set forth in paragraph17 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or

practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT THREE

- 20. In numerous instances in connection with the offering for sal e and sale of advertisements, defendants have represented , expressly or by implication, that defendants incurred the cos t of printing the publication in reliance on the business' s authorization of and promise to pay for the advertisement.
- 21. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, defendants have not incurred the cost of printing the publication in reliance on the business's authorization of and promise to pay for the advertisement.
- 22. Therefore, defendants' represent ations set forth in paragraph
 20 are false or misleading and constitute deceptive acts or
 practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act,
 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT FOUR

23. In numerous instances in connection with the offering for sal e and sale of advertisements, defendants have sent businesse s C.O.D. packages containing bills for such advertisements and have threatened to take action to collect payment of such bills, thus representing, expressly or by implication, that

- businesses have ordered the advertisements billed to them by defendants.
- 24. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, businesses have not ordered the advertisements billed to them by defendants.
- 25. Therefore, defendants' representations set forth in paragraph 23 are false or misle ading and constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

CONSUMER INJURY

26. Defendants' violations of Section 5 of the FTC Act, as se t forth above, have caused and continue to cause substantia 1 injury to consumers, namely the businesses defrauded by defendants' activities. Absent injunctive relief by this court, defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

27. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers thi s

Court to issue a permanent injunction against defendants '

violations of the FTC Act and, in the exercise of it s

equitable jurisdiction, grant such other relief as the Court

may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of the FT C

Act, including restitution and disgorgement of unjus t

enrichment.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this section, as authorized by Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), and pursuant to its own equitable powers:

- 1. Award the Commission all temporary and preliminary injunctive and ancillary relie for that may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action, and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including, but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions, appointment of a receiver, and an order freezing each defendant's assets.
- 2. Permanently enjoin the defendants fro m violating the FTC Act as alleged in thi s complaint;
- 3. Award all relief that the Court find some necessary to remedy the defenda nts' violations of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, including, but not limited to, the refund of monies paid and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and

4. Award the Commission the costs of bringin go this action, as well as any other equitable relief that the Court may deter mine to be just and proper.

	Respectfully	المراجع المستحال والم
DATE:	RESDECTION	SIIDMITTEA
	 respections	Dabini Ccca,

STEPHEN CALKINS General Counsel Federal Trade Commission

JOHN M. MENDENHALL Acting Director Cleveland Regional Office Federal Trade Commission

BRINLEY H. WILLIAMS
Ohio Bar No. 0011793

DANA C. BARRAGATE
Ohio Bar No. 0065748

GERALD C. ZEMAN
Ohio Bar No. 0055386

MICHAEL MILGROM
Ohio Bar No. 0012959

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Federal Trade Commission
668 Euclid Avenue, Suite 520-A
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3006
(216) 522-4210

FAX: (216) 522-7239