UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION)
600 Pennsylvania, Avenue, N.W.)
Washington, DC 20580)
9)
Plaintiff,	j ·
,)
v.) Civil Action No.
)
ARDAGH GROUP S.A.)
56, rue Charles Martel,)
Luxembourg)
L-2135)
)
and)
)
COMPAGNIE DE SAINT-GOBAIN)
"Les Miroirs" 18, avenue d'Alsace)
92400 Courbevoie)
France)
)
and)
)
SAINT-GOBAIN CONTAINERS, INC.)
1509 S. Macedonia Avenue)
Muncie, Indiana 47307)
Control and Association (1) Proceedings of the Control of the Cont)
Defendants.	j
	j

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF ITS REQUEST FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND REQUEST FOR SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

I. Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Statement of Supporting Points and Authorities.

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission (the "Commission"), by its designated attorneys, moves this Court pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), for a preliminary injunction enjoining defendant Ardagh Group S.A. ("Ardagh"),

including its domestic and foreign agents, divisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, partnerships, and joint ventures, from acquiring any stock, assets, or other interest, directly or indirectly, of defendant Saint-Gobain Containers, Inc. ("Saint-Gobain") from defendant Compagnie De Saint-Gobain.

Ardagh's proposed acquisition of Saint-Gobain would combine the second- and third-largest glass container manufacturers in the United States, resulting in an effective duopoly. This proposed acquisition will likely cause anticompetitive effects in at least two relevant antitrust product markets: the manufacture and sale of glass containers to (1) beer brewers ("Brewers") and (2) spirits distillers ("Distillers"). The Commission has determined that it has "reason to believe" that the proposed acquisition of Saint-Gobain by Ardagh would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45. In these circumstances, Section 13(b) of the FTC Act authorizes the Commission to seek a preliminary injunction halting the proposed merger until the Commission "has had an opportunity to adjudicate the merger's legality in an administrative proceeding." FTC v. CCC Holdings, Inc., 605 F. Supp. 2d 26, 35 (D.D.C. 2009) (citing 15 U.S.C. § 53(b)). The Commission voted to authorize the administrative complaint in this matter on June 28, 2013 and the trial of this case on the merits before an administrative law judge is scheduled to begin on December 2, 2013.

Because Defendants have threatened to close their proposed acquisition before the completion of the administrative trial, the Commission needs a preliminary injunction from this Court to preserve its ability to obtain adequate relief and to prevent harm to consumers. A preliminary injunction would be in the public interest based on a weighing of the equities and the

Commission's likelihood of success on the merits. FTC v. H.J. Heinz Co., 246 F.3d 708, 714

(D.C. Cir. 2001).

П. Request for Scheduling Conference.

Defendants have agreed not to consummate the proposed acquisition until two business

days after this Court rules on the Commission's motion for preliminary injunction. A stipulation

reflecting this agreement is attached to this motion.

The parties have conferred regarding a proposed scheduling order and briefing schedule

for this matter and request a brief telephonic scheduling conference with the Court in order to

facilitate those discussions.

The Commission respectfully requests the opportunity to present oral argument in

support of its preliminary injunction motion.

Notice of this motion has been given to Defendants' attorneys by the undersigned

attorney prior to the filing of the present action and Defendants' attorneys have indicated that

they oppose the motion for a preliminary injunction, but join in the request for a scheduling

conference.

A proposed preliminary injunction Order and Order memorializing the stipulation is

attached.

Dated: July 2, 2013

3

Counsel:

NORMAN A. ARMSTRONG, JR. (D.C. Bar 459621)
Deputy Director
Federal Trade Commission
Bureau of Competition

JONATHAN NUECHTERLEIN (D.C. Bar 442470) General Counsel Federal Trade Commission

CATHARINE M. MOSCATELLI Assistant Director (D.C. Bar 418510)

BRENDAN J. MCNAMARA Deputy Assistant Director

ANGELIKE A. MINA
SEAN D. HUGHTO (D.C. Bar 421224)
STEVEN L. WILENSKY (D.C. Bar 430398)
MONICA M. CASTILLO
ANGEL PRADO
JAMES ABELL (D.C. Bar 990773)
MEREDITH ROBINSON (D.C. Bar 498245)
JOSHUA GOODMAN
MICHAEL FRANCHAK
STEVEN DAHM
DANIELLE SIMS (D.C. Bar 982506)
KRISTIAN ROGERS
AMANDA HAMILTON (D.C. Bar 499646)
SEBASTIAN LORIGO
VICTORIA LIPPINCOTT

Attorneys

Federal Trade Commission Bureau of Competition Mergers II Division Respectfully submitted,

EDWARD D. HASSI Chief Litigation Counsel Federal Trade Commission Bureau of Competition 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-2470 Facsimile: (202) 326-2884 Email: ehassi@ftc.gov

Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,))
600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.)
Washington D.C. 20580	,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Civil Case NoCV()
)
ARDAGH GROUP S.A.,)
56, rue Charles Martel,)
Luxembourg)
L-2135)
and)
)
COMPAGNIE DE SAINT-GOBAIN)
"Les Miroirs" 18, avenue d'Alsace)
92400 Courbevoie)
France)
and)
)
SAINT-GOBAIN CONTAINERS, INC.)
1509 S. Macedonia Avenue)
Muncie, Indiana 47307)
Defendants.	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

WHEREAS, plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission (the "Commission"), filed the instant action on July 2, 2013, seeking, among other relief, a preliminary injunction enjoining Defendant Ardagh Group S.A ("Ardagh Group") from acquiring Defendant Saint-Gobain Containers, Inc. from Defendant Compagnie de Saint-Gobain (the "acquisition");

WHEREAS, absent this Stipulation, Defendant Ardagh Group would be free to consummate the proposed acquisition after 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on July 5, 2013; and

Case 1:13-cv-01021-RMC Document 4 Filed 07/03/T3 Page 6 of 7

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that Defendant Ardagh Group will not consummate the

proposed acquisition until two business days after the Court rules on the Commission's motion for a

preliminary injunction seeking to enjoin the acquisition.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED AMONG THE

PARTIES:

1. Defendant Ardagh Group shall not consummate any acquisition of any stock, assets, or other

interest, of Defendants Compagnie de Saint-Gobain or Saint-Gobain Containers, Inc. until

two business days after the Court rules on the Commission's motion for a preliminary

injunction, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

2. Defendant Ardagh Group shall take any and all necessary steps to prevent any of its domestic

or foreign agents, divisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, partnerships, or joint ventures from

making any such acquisition.

3. This Stipulation and Order is without prejudice to any rights or defenses that any Defendant

may have, and any Party may seek to amend this Stipulation and Order at any time upon

proper notice.

Dated: July 2, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Edward Hassi, Esq.

Bureau of Competition Federal Trade Commission

600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20580

202-326-2470

Counsel for Plaintiff Federal Trade

Commission

2

Richard Schwed, Esq.
Shearman & Sterling LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY, 10022
212-848-4000

Counsel for Defendant Ardagh Group S.A.

By

Richard J. Stark, Esq.
Yonatan Even , Esq. (pro hac vice admission forthcoming)
Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP
325 Eighth Avenue, Worldwide Plaza
New York, NY, 10019

Counsel for Defendants Compagnic de Saint-Gobain and
Saint-Gobain Containers, Inc.

ENTERED, this _____ day of ______.

United States District Judge