

**ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC
CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTORATE FOR FINANCIAL, FISCAL
AND ENTERPRISE AFFAIRS**

**COMMITTEE ON COMPETITION LAW AND
POLICY**

RESTRICTED

Paris, drafted : 11 April 1994

OLIS : 12-Apr-94

dist. :

DAFFE/CLP(94)5/07

Or. Eng.

**ANNUAL REPORT ON DEVELOPMENTS IN COMPETITION IN THE UNITED STATES
(1993)**

**This report is submitted by the Delegate for the United States to the
meeting on Competition Law and Policy to be held on 11th and 12th April 1994.**

COMPLETE DOCUMENT AVAILABLE ON OLIS IN ITS ORIGINAL FORMAT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 5

I. CHANGES IN LAW OR POLICIES 5

 A. Changes in Antitrust Rules, Policies or Guidelines 5

 B. Proposals to Change Antitrust Laws,
 Related Legislation or Policies 7

 (1) Department of Justice 7

 (2) FTC Comments on Proposed Legislation 7

II. ENFORCEMENT OF ANTITRUST LAWS AND POLICIES:
 ACTIONS AGAINST ANTICOMPETITIVE PRACTICES 8

 A. Department of Justice and FTC Statistics 8

 (1) DOJ Staffing and Enforcement Statistics 8

 (2) FTC Staffing and Enforcement Statistics 9

 B. Antitrust Cases in the Courts 9

 (1) United States Supreme Court 9

 a. DOJ or FTC Cases 9

 b. Private Cases 9

 (2) Court of Appeals Cases 11

 a. DOJ Cases Decided in 1993 11

 b. FTC Cases Decided in 1993 12

 C. Statistics on Private and Government Cases

Filed during 1993 13

D.	Significant DOJ and FTC Enforcement Actions	13
(1)	DOJ Criminal Enforcement	13
(2)	DOJ Non-merger Civil Enforcement	14
(3)	Modification or Termination of Consent Decrees	15
(4)	FTC Non-merger Enforcement Actions	16
E.	Private Cases Having International Implications	21
III.	ENFORCEMENT OF ANTITRUST LAWS AND POLICIES: MERGER AND CONCENTRATIONS	22
A.	Department of Justice and FTC Merger Statistics	22
(1)	DOJ Review of Premerger Notifications	22
(2)	FTC Review of Premerger Notifications	22
(3)	Enforcement of Premerger Notification Rules	23
B.	Merger Cases and Business Reviews	23
(1)	DOJ Merger Challenges or Cases	23
(2)	Merger Cases Brought by the FTC	26
a.	Preliminary injunctions authorized	26
b.	Commission Administrative Decisions	27
c.	District Court Actions	32
(3)	Business Reviews Conducted by the Department of Justice	32
IV.	REGULATORY AND TRADE POLICY MATTERS	33
A.	Regulatory Policies	33
(1)	DOJ Activities with Respect to Federal Regulatory Matters	33

(2)	FTC Activities with Respect to Regulatory and State Legislative Matters	34
a.	Federal Agencies	34
b.	States	35
B.	Department of Justice Trade Policy Activities	37
V.	NEW STUDIES RELATED TO ANTITRUST POLICY	37
A.	Antitrust Division Economic Analysis Group Discussion Papers	37
B.	Commission Economic Reports, Economic Working Papers and Miscellaneous Studies	38
(1)	Economic Reports	38
(2)	Working Papers	39
(3)	Miscellaneous Studies	39

**REPORT TO THE OECD ON UNITED STATES ANTITRUST
AND COMPETITION DEVELOPMENTS FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1 TO DECEMBER 31, 1993**

Introduction

1. This report describes federal antitrust developments in the United States for calendar year 1993, including the activities of the Antitrust Division ("Division") of the U.S. Department of Justice ("Department" or "DOJ") and the Bureau of Competition of the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission").

2. Anne K. Bingaman, President Clinton's choice to head antitrust enforcement at the Department of Justice, was sworn into office in June 1993 as Assistant Attorney General in charge of antitrust. AAG Bingaman appointed the following individuals as Deputy Assistant Attorneys General (DAAG) in the Antitrust Division: John W. Clark, DAAG for litigation; Richard Gilbert, DAAG for economic analysis; Robert E. Litan, DAAG for regulatory affairs; Steven C. Sunshine, DAAG for policy and legislation; and Diane P. Wood, DAAG for international antitrust. Joseph H. Widmar was named DAAG for litigation after Mr. Clark's retirement from government service.

I. Changes in law or policies

A. *Changes in Antitrust Rules, Policies or Guidelines*

3. On September 15, 1993, the FTC and DOJ announced six Statements of Antitrust Enforcement Policy in the Health Care Area. The Statements address six areas of joint activities among health care providers: hospital mergers; equipment joint ventures; physicians' provision of information to purchasers of health care services; hospital participation in information exchanges; joint purchasing arrangements; and physician network joint ventures. The Statements clarify how the two agencies will enforce antitrust laws governing joint activities within the health care industry by (a) delineating "safety zones" for conduct that the agencies generally will not challenge under the antitrust laws, and (b) outlining the analysis that the agencies will apply in reviewing conduct that falls outside the safety zones. In conjunction with the release of the Statements, the FTC and the Department announced a plan for an expedited business review procedure for health care matters. Under the new procedure, the agencies will respond within 90 days, after all necessary information is received, to requests for guidance from businesses about topics covered by the Statements, and within 120 days to requests regarding antitrust health care matters not covered by the Statements.

4. On June 10, 1993, President Clinton signed into law H.R. 1313, the "National Cooperative Production Amendments of 1993" (Pub. L. No. 1033-42)(NCRPA). The amendments: extend the provisions of the National Cooperative Research Act of 1984 to joint ventures for production; clarify the application of the antitrust rule-of-reason to research, development and production joint ventures; and make available a special

attorneys' fee rule when such ventures are challenged. The NCRPA also provides joint venturers the opportunity to limit potential antitrust damages to actual damages, as opposed to treble, by notifying a venture to the DOJ and FTC. The damage limitation provision is subject to restrictions on place-of-production and nationality requirements.

5. On June 28, 1993, AAG Bingaman announced, with the concurrence of the FTC, procedures for notifications under the NCRPA. AAG Bingaman also clarified the national treatment provision of the Act, stating that the NCRPA damage limitation provisions are available to foreign venturers whose national laws, including international agreements, provide national treatment for joint venture activities by U.S. persons.

6. On August 10, 1993, AAG Bingaman announced an expansion of the Division's 1988 Corporate Leniency Policy. Under the expanded policy, a corporation that approaches the Division with previously undisclosed information about corporate antitrust violations is likely to be assured of amnesty from prosecution. Such assurances are contingent on the criteria that relate to the corporation's role in originating or leading illegal activity and its conduct subsequent to the discovery of illegal activity within its ranks. The expanded program also makes leniency available on a discretionary basis to corporations that come forward after the initiation of a government investigation or that otherwise fail to qualify for assured leniency. The new policy is expected to induce violators to come forward, resulting in the prosecution of numerous otherwise undiscovered antitrust violations and the conservation of substantial resources in prosecuting such violations.

7. Also on August 10, 1993, AAG Bingaman announced the withdrawal of the Department's 1985 Vertical Restraints Guidelines, stating that the Guidelines did not set forth the Division's current analysis of vertical practices or reflect judicial interpretations of the antitrust laws. In remarks delivered at the Fordham Corporate Law Institute in October 1993, AAG Bingaman noted that the rescinded Guidelines seemed particularly at variance with existing law in their vertical treatment of agreements between distributors of a single manufacturer and their application of rule-of-reason analysis to ancillary vertical price-fixing arrangements. Current Division practice is to treat vertical price-fixing as *per se* illegal and non-price restraints as subject to a meaningful rule-of-reason analysis.

8. AAG Bingaman also indicated in her remarks at Fordham that she plans to revise the Department's 1988 International Antitrust Enforcement Guidelines to reflect changes in law and policy in the five years since their issuance. The revision effort is underway, headed by DAAG Diane P. Wood.

9. The Division and the FTC announced jointly on December 2, 1993, new procedures for deciding how matters falling under their dual jurisdiction should be allocated. Since 1938, the two agencies have agreed that neither would begin an antitrust investigation until its allocation was decided or "cleared". Under the new clearance procedure, the principal grounds for deciding which agency will conduct an investigation is expertise in the product or service that is the subject of the investigation. Both agencies will attempt to resolve clearance requests within a specified, brief period of time, depending on the type of investigation.

10. On February 23, 1993, the FTC announced changes in the threshold levels for defining a violation of Section 8 of the Clayton Act, which prohibits interlocking directorates. A 1990 amendment to Section 8 of the Clayton Act allows an individual to be a director or officer of two or more competing companies as long as none of the companies has capital, surplus and undivided profits in excess of \$10 million; directors or officers

DAFFE/CLP(94)5/07

are exempt as well from the Act if the competitive sales of the corporations are less

than \$1 million. Under the 1990 amendment, the FTC is required to adjust the threshold levels based on the change in the level of the gross national product. The new threshold figures announced by the FTC are \$11,367,699.81 in capital, surplus and undivided profits and \$1,136,769.98 in competitive sales.

11. On March 23, 1993, citing a lack of filings in recent years under the FTC's pre-merger notification program for dairies, the FTC voted to end the program. The Dairy Merger Reporting Program (DMRP) was initiated in 1974 to give the FTC advance notice of acquisitions by fluid milk processors that might have posed competitive problems. The DMRP was established after a number of antitrust suits challenging dairy mergers. The orders settling those cases had required FTC approval of future acquisitions by the respondents. The program was initiated in anticipation of increased merger activity in the industry as those orders expired.

B. Proposals to Change Antitrust Laws, Related Legislation or Policies

1) Department of Justice

12. AAG Bingaman appeared before the Economic and Commercial Law Subcommittee of the House Judiciary Committee on July 29, 1993, to present the views of the Department on proposals to amend the McCarran-Ferguson Act by narrowing the scope of antitrust immunity it affords to the "business of insurance." Without reference to the specifics of any single legislative bill or proposal, AAG Bingaman supported the Committee's objectives with respect to McCarran reform. Noting that McCarran's broad statutory exemption no longer fulfilled a compelling need, AAG Bingaman offered the Department's support in the development of reform legislation that would replace the current broad exemption with a narrower one affording continued protection to certain procompetitive activities.

13. The Administration's health care reform proposal, the Health Security Act, includes a provision which would repeal the antitrust exemption provided by the McCarran-Ferguson Act for the business of health insurance. Another provision in the Health Security Act with antitrust implications allows providers to jointly negotiate with insurance providers on the fee schedule for "fee-for-service" health plans. The Department does not consider this a new antitrust exemption, but instead a recognition of the long-standing antitrust doctrines of "state-action" (federal antitrust law does not apply to conduct undertaken pursuant to a clearly articulated and affirmatively expressed state policy) and "Noerr-Pennington" (joint petitioning of government is protected conduct and not subject to challenge under the antitrust laws.)

14. AAG Bingaman appeared before the Senate Judiciary Committee's Antitrust Subcommittee on October 27, 1993, to address the Division's position with respect to mergers and vertical integration in the telecommunications industry. AAG Bingaman emphasized that telecommunications mergers would be subject to rigorous scrutiny under established Division procedure and analysis. She explained that DOJ merger analysis takes into consideration the potential procompetitive benefits of mergers and is unlikely to stand in the way of innovation and efficiency in the telecommunications sector.

2) FTC Comments on Proposed Legislation

15. The Commission, upon request of the Office of Management and Budget, expressed its views on

Enrolled Bill S. 664 which was passed by the House and the Senate on November 22, 1993 and amended section 8(a)(5) of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. §19(a)(5)). As noted above, a 1990 amendment established two threshold figures for defining a violation of Section 8 of the Clayton Act, which prohibits interlocking directorates, and required the Commission to adjust such threshold figures based on an amount equal to the percentage increase or decrease of the gross national product (GNP), as determined by the Department of Commerce. This section required the FTC to publish the adjusted amounts no later than October 30 of each year. The enrolled bill would change the required date of publication of new figures from October 30 to January 31. The Commission supported the amendment because the Department of Commerce does not publish the required GNP data, on which to base such figures, until after October 30. The President subsequently signed Enrolled Bill S. 664 into law.

II. Enforcement of antitrust laws and policies: actions against anticompetitive practices

A. Department of Justice and FTC Statistics

1) DOJ Staffing and Enforcement Statistics

16. Beginning in the early 1990's and continuing under the leadership of AAG Bingaman, the Division has been engaged in a process of increasing substantially its staffing. In 1993, the Division increased its ranks by 20 additional lawyers and 24 paralegals. At the end of 1993, the Division had 614 employees, comprised of 300 attorneys; 49 economists; 75 paralegals and 190 support staff. Current plans call for additional personnel in all categories.

17. In 1993, the Antitrust Division opened 281 investigations and filed 92 antitrust cases, both civil and criminal, in federal court. The Division participated in 15 U.S. antitrust cases on appeal before the U.S. Supreme Court or federal courts of appeals, and it appeared as amicus curiae in one matter before the Supreme Court. In connection with its competition advocacy role, the Division appeared in four competition-related matters under consideration by federal regulatory agencies.

18. In 1993, the Division filed 84 criminal cases against 71 corporations and 51 individuals. Penalties for criminal violations were at record levels, with 61 corporate defendants assessed fines totalling \$40,337,071 and eleven individual defendants sentenced to a total of 3,673 days of incarceration. Another 20 individual defendants were sentenced to spend an average of four and a half months in some form of alternative

19. In 1993, the Division reviewed 1,967 notified merger proposals, as well as a number of structural transactions that did not fall under the Hart-Scott-Rodino pre-merger notification requirements. The Division's investigation of 67 mergers and its challenge to 16, represented the highest level of merger enforcement activity at the Division since 1981.

20. The Division opened 44 civil investigations in 1993, both merger and non-merger, and issued 449 civil investigative demands (a form of compulsory process). During the year, the Division filed nine civil complaints accompanied by seven proposed consent decrees or final judgments. Nine of the Division's consent decrees were entered in 1993, some of which had been proposed and filed in earlier years.

2) *FTC Staffing and Enforcement Statistics*

21. At the end of 1993, the FTC's Bureau of Competition had 221 employees: 153 attorneys, 35 other professionals and 33 clerical staff. The FTC also employs economists who participate in its antitrust enforcement activities.

B. *Antitrust Cases in the Courts*

1) *United States Supreme Court*

a) DOJ or FTC Cases

22. There were no cases decided in the United States Supreme Court in 1993 that directly involved the Department or FTC as a party. The Court decided five private antitrust cases.

b) Private Cases

23. In *Professional Real Estate Investors, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Indus., Inc.*, 113 S. Ct. 1920 (1993), the Court ruled that the "sham exception" to the Noerr-Pennington doctrine -- a doctrine immunizing petitions of government, including civil lawsuits, from challenge under the antitrust laws -- is not available solely upon a showing that a petitioner is not motivated by a subjective expectation of success. The unanimous decision of the Court held that litigation cannot be deprived of Noerr-Pennington protection under the "sham exception" unless it satisfies a two-pronged test. First, under the objective prong, a plaintiff alleging a sham petition must prove that the suit is baseless as a matter of law -- i.e., that a claimant lacked probable cause to institute a successful civil lawsuit, with "probable cause" requiring no more than a "reasonable belief" that a claim may be held valid upon adjudication. Proof of the objective prong of the test is a necessary condition for proceeding to the second, subjective prong: whether a claimant instituted a suit in order to interfere directly with the business relationships of a competitor. In its amicus brief filed with the Court in 1992, the Department advocated the development of objective standards for identifying sham litigation, taking the position that the availability of Noerr immunity should not depend solely on a subjective test of intent.

24. The Court's decision in *Spectrum Sports, Inc. v. McQuillan*, 113 S. Ct. 884 (1993) rejected the validity of a rule that the Ninth Circuit had developed and articulated in *Le ssig v. Tidewater Oil Co.*, 327 F.2d 459 (9th Cir. 1964). The Lessig rule permitted a finding of antitrust liability for attempted monopolization based on specific anticompetitive intent and acts, but without direct proof of the probability of success, such as the defendant's market power. The Department argued in amicus briefs filed with the Court in 1992 that the Lessig rule, which has been widely criticized by commentators and which was followed in no circuit outside the Ninth, is erroneous. The Court agreed, specifically rejecting the Lessig rule and holding that proof of attempted monopolization requires three elements: (a) specific intent to harm competition; (b) acts or conduct intended to accomplish the unlawful objective; and (c) dangerous probability of success based on evidence of a relevant product and geographic market and of the defendant's power in that market.

25. The Court addressed comity issues in its decision of *Hartford Fire Ins. Co. v. State of Cal.*, 113 S. Ct. 2891 (1993), a consolidated case arising from antitrust claims filed by numerous states and private plaintiffs alleging that domestic and foreign reinsurers had conspired with London-based reinsurers to limit the availability of certain kinds of insurance coverage. One question before the court was whether U.S. antitrust law should be applied to British reinsurance firms, taking into consideration the principle of international comity. Without deciding whether comity might be a basis for dismissing antitrust claims in other circumstances, the Court held that comity principles should not override application of the Sherman Act in cases where there is no conflict of law, as in the alleged conspiracy involving foreign reinsurers whose main focus was said to be the market for insurance in the United States. On the issue of McCarran-Ferguson immunity, the Court held that the domestic defendants did not forfeit the protection immunity afforded under the McCarran-Ferguson Act solely by agreeing with non-exempt parties, but that allegations of an illegal agreement between certain primary casualty insurers and reinsurers to deny reinsurance to competing primary insurers, if proven, would remove such immunity protection.

26. The Court's decision in *Brooke Group Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.*, 113 S. Ct. 2578 (1993) addressed evidentiary questions in connection with proof of predatory price discrimination liability under U.S. antitrust laws. The case involved Liggett Group, Inc.'s (Brooke Group Ltd.'s predecessor) allegations that Brown & Williamson (B&W) had used a predatory pricing strategy to undercut Liggett's position in the market for generic cigarettes, followed by a plan to recoup its predation losses through an elaborate oligopolistic pricing strategy. The determinative issue before the Court was whether there was sufficient evidence to support Liggett's allegation that B&W had a reasonable prospect of recouping its lost costs of predation through supracompetitive pricing. Reiterating a two-pronged test for predation, which requires proof of (a) sales below the alleged predator's cost, and (b) the ability to recoup cost through supracompetitive pricing after competition is disciplined, the Court ruled that Liggett's evidence was sufficient to show sales below cost, but insufficient to prove a reasonable prospect of recovering predation losses. The Court rejected Liggett's attempt to use industry-wide price increases in the generic market as evidence of B&W's ability to achieve tacit price coordination. Furthermore, the Court ruled that coordination between cigarette companies was highly unlikely during the relevant period as decreased consumption, along with other factors, rendered the market unstable.

27. In *PPG Indus., Inc. v. Pilkington PLC*, 825 F. Supp. 1465 (D. Ariz. 1993), the district court denied defendant Pilkington's motion to dismiss PPG's monopolization and attempted monopolization claims for failure to state a claim. Pilkington based its motion on grounds that PPG failed to allege facts that, if proven true, would establish Pilkington's ability to exercise "monopoly power" in relevant markets. In denying the motion, the court emphasized the distinct difference between proving the elements of a Section 2 claim and alleging the existence of those elements in support of a Section 2 claim. The court ruled that PPG's allegations of monopoly power were sufficient to meet federal standards for pleading a claim, suggesting that Pilkington's arguments with respect to infirmities in PPG's market power analysis were better suited for a later stage in the proceeding. The court also ruled that PPG's antitrust claims were arbitrable under a 1962 License Agreement between PPG and Pilkington insofar as the arbitration clause contained in the Agreement was not limited to claims involving performance of the Agreement as a contract.

28. In *re Atlantic Richfield Co.*, 113 S. Ct. 2460 (1993), mandamus denied, the Court denied a petition for writ of mandamus based on the theory that the Ninth Circuit misconstrued the Court's holding in *USA Petroleum* by giving the plaintiff another chance to prove its charges that Atlantic Richfield Co. (ARCO) and

its dealers conspired to drive independent marketers out of the market by engaging in predatory pricing. In *Atlantic Richfield Co. v. USA Petroleum Co.*, 495 U.S. 328 (1990), the Court ruled that a vertical, maximum price-fixing agreement -- while unlawful under Section 1 of the Sherman Act -- does not cause antitrust injury unless it results in predatory pricing.

2) *Court of Appeals Cases*

a) DOJ Cases Decided in 1993

29. Fourteen antitrust cases involving the Department as a party were decided in 1993 at the appellate level. Nine of the decisions were in connection with appeals of criminal convictions or sentencing, focusing generally on case-specific procedural and evidentiary questions.

30. An exception was the decision of the D.C. Circuit, which reversed the conviction of NYNEX on criminal contempt charges in connection with violation of the consent decree entered into following the breakup of AT&T in 1982. The issue before the D.C. Circuit in *U.S. v. NYNEX Corp.*, 8 F.3d 52 (D.C. Cir. 1993) was whether criminal contempt charges could be supported by the provisions of the 1982 consent decree (the "Modified Final Judgement" or "MFJ"). Among other things, the MFJ prohibited regional companies from providing "information services." In 1986, NYNEX acquired a company that was then providing "an interactive remote-access data-processing service" pursuant to a contract with a customer. While some NYNEX employees were concerned that this service could be considered an "information service" prohibited by the MFJ, NYNEX continued to provide the service after the acquisition. In 1990, NYNEX was indicted for criminal contempt and subsequently convicted. The D.C. Circuit reversed the conviction on the ground that the trial record did not substantiate the district court's finding that the MFJ's prohibition against information services was sufficiently clear or specific to sustain a conviction for criminal contempt. The court noted that while the MFJ prohibited NYNEX from providing an "information service," another provision of the MFJ permitted NYNEX to provide "customer premises equipment" (CPE). The court concluded that there was no clear dividing line between providing an "information service" and providing CPE. Under these circumstances and the facts of the instant case, the court concluded that the MFJ lacked the necessary clarity and specificity to support a finding of criminal contempt. The decision of the D.C. Circuit appears in 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,417 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 12, 1993).

31. On December 22, 1993, an out-of-court settlement was reached following the Third Circuit's decision in *U.S. v. Brown Univ.*, reversing the district court's price-fixing findings and remanding the case to the district court for further consideration of the procompetitive and social welfare justifications proffered by MIT in its defense. The case originated with the Department's 1991 civil suit challenging the conduct of nine highly selective colleges and universities (eight of which are known collectively as the "Ivy League") that met annually to decide uniform approaches to prices for educational services. After the government filed its complaint, all of the defendants except MIT agreed to enter into a consent decree. The case against MIT went to trial, resulting in a ruling that MIT had violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act. MIT's appeal to the Third Circuit followed. Shortly after the Third Circuit announced its decision, the Division and MIT agreed to the terms of a proposed consent decree, which was made public on December 22, 1993. Under the terms of the settlement, MIT agreed to acknowledge its obligation to act in accordance with the 1992 decree entered against MIT's

original co-defendants, and to adhere to written standards of conduct when dealing with other colleges. The text of the proposed decree appears at 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,391.

32. Many of the Department's civil appeals involve interpretations or modifications of restrictions imposed on telecommunications service providers by the Modified Final Judgment (MFJ), the 1982 consent decree settling the Department's monopoly case against AT&T. In *U.S. v. Western Electric Co.*, 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,449 (D.C. Cir. Dec. 28, 1993), the D.C. Circuit rejected an appeal by MCI and other nonparties to the AT&T decree from the district court's decision permitting a modification of the MFJ that would allow the BOCs to provide information services. The primary issue before the D.C. Circuit was the proper interpretation of the MFJ's "public interest" standard when applied to motions for modification that are unopposed by the parties to the MFJ. The court ruled that a district court may reject an uncontested modification to a government antitrust consent decree only if it has "exceptional confidence that adverse antitrust consequences will result". The ruling was in fundamental agreement with the Division's position on the issue.

33. On behalf of the FTC, the Department appealed a lower court decision dismissing the FTC's complaint in a Hart-Scott-Rodino enforcement action because of the FTC's refusal to produce internal FTC documents protected by work product and deliberate process privileges. In *U.S. v. William Farley*, 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,441 (7th Cir. Dec. 15, 1993), the Seventh Circuit reversed the district court decision, reaffirming the crucial role of the deliberative process privilege in fostering frank discussion of legal and policy matters in government decision making. The Court remanded the matter back to the district court for further consideration on the merits of the case.

b) FTC Cases Decided in 1993

34. *Adventist Health Sys. v. FTC*, 114 S. Ct. 88 (1993), cert. denied, No. 91-2320 (D.D.C.); No. 93-70387 (9th Cir.), is a suit to enjoin an ongoing FTC adjudicatory proceeding that challenges a nonprofit hospital acquisition under Section 7 of the Clayton Act. The complaint was filed on September 11, 1991, and on October 17, 1991, the district court ruled that the case should be transferred to the Ninth Circuit for decision. Plaintiffs appealed that ruling and on December 29, 1992, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit affirmed the decision of the district court. On October 4, 1993, the Supreme Court denied certiorari. The case is now before the Ninth Circuit awaiting argument and decision.

35. *Occidental Petroleum Corp. v. FTC*, No. 93-4122 (2d Cir.) is a petition for review of an FTC decision requiring divestiture of plants in an acquisition involving polyvinyl chloride resins. Following the filing of the petition for review, the parties filed a joint stipulation providing for settlement of the case by means of a divestiture of assets different from, but similar in effect, to that ordered by the Commission.

36. *Olin Corp. v. FTC*, 986 F.2d 1295 (9th Cir. 1993) is a petition for review of an FTC decision requiring divestiture in a case involving a merger of manufacturers of swimming pool chlorinating products. The petition for review was filed on September 5, 1990. The court of appeals heard argument on October 10, 1991. On February 26, 1993, the court of appeals affirmed and enforced the Commission order in its entirety. On November 5, 1993, Olin filed a petition for certiorari seeking review of the Ninth Circuit's decision. The Supreme Court will resolve the petition in 1994.

37. *Ticor Title Ins. Co. v. FTC*, 998 F.2d 1129 (3d Cir. 1993), is a petition for review of an FTC decision holding that collective rate-setting activities of title insurance companies for title search and title examination services constitute an unfair method of competition (price-fixing). The companies contend that their conduct is protected by the "state action" doctrine and is also immune from federal antitrust challenge because it is "the business of insurance." In October 1991, the Supreme Court granted certiorari to review a February 1991 decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, which held that the companies' conduct was protected by the "state action" doctrine. On June 12, 1992, the Court entered an order reversing the decision of the court of appeals, holding that the Commission had properly rejected the "state action" defense with respect to the states of Montana and Wisconsin, and remanded the case to the court of appeals for further proceedings. On July 15, 1993, the court of appeals, on remand from the Supreme Court, held that the sale of title search and examination services was not the "business of insurance" and was therefore subject to antitrust liability. On November 29, 1993, the companies filed a petition for certiorari seeking review of this decision. The Supreme Court will resolve the petition in 1994.

C. Statistics on Private and Government Cases Filed during 1993

38. According to the annual report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, 724 new civil and criminal antitrust actions, both governmental and private, were filed in the federal district courts in the fiscal year ending September 30, 1993.

D. Significant DOJ and FTC Enforcement Actions

1) DOJ Criminal Enforcement

39. The Division filed 84 criminal antitrust cases against 71 corporations and 51 individuals in 1993. Sentences resulted in \$41,817,571 in total fines, 3,673 days of actual incarceration, and 2,704 days of alternative forms of confinement.

40. Following a 1993 grand jury investigation, the Division filed a three-count indictment under seal in *U.S.v. Detia-Degesch*, Crim. No. 93-20078-01 (D. Kan., filed Oct. 26, 1993) charging seven corporations and four individual defendants with conspiring to fix a minimum price for the sale in the United States of aluminum phosphide, a chemical used to protect flour and other foodstuff from insect infestation. Four of the seven corporate defendants and three of the four individual defendants were foreign. Counts two and three separately charged two of the individual defendants with obstruction of justice by inducing a witness to lie. The protective seal was lifted by the court on November 1, 1993.

41. The Division filed a two-count felony information in *U.S. v. Gestiones Y Transportes de Burgos, S.A.*, Crim. No. 93-112 (D. Fla., filed Mar. 5, 1993) charging the defendant, a Spanish corporation, with conspiring to rig bids on the sale of an aircraft at an auction conducted by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in Miami and with bankruptcy fraud. The information charged, in relevant part, that Gestiones Y Transportes and others agreed not to compete in bidding for the purchase of a jet aircraft, in exchange for payment of an agreed upon sum of money. Gestiones was fined \$100,000 following entry of its guilty plea.

42. The Division's successful prosecution of price-fixing by manufacturers of steel wool scouring pads was initiated after a corporate co-conspirator came forward under the Division's Corporate Amnesty Program and supplied information about an ongoing conspiracy to fix prices in the \$100 million-a-year steel wool scouring pad industry. When confronted with the evidence amassed in the investigation, the informant's co-conspirator agreed to plead guilty and to pay a fine of \$4.5 million. The government's information in *U.S. v. Miles, Inc.* was filed in the district court for the Northern District of Illinois on October 28, 1993.

43. The past year bore further witness to the impact the Federal Sentencing Guidelines and stricter statutory penalties have had on sentencing. The Division's criminal enforcement actions for 1993 generally resulted in higher fines and longer jail terms for criminal violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and related criminal violations.

44. For example, the individual defendant in *U.S. v. Urethane Applications, Inc.*, (E.D. Pa., filed Feb. 23, 1993) was sentenced to 7 months of jail and fined \$160,000 after pleading guilty to charges of bid-rigging on polyurethane roofing contracts in a three-state area over a four year period. A fine of \$800,000 was assessed against the corporate defendant in that case.

45. In another case, the individual defendant in *U.S. v. Robert Shulman*, (D. Md., filed Dec. 9, 1992) was sentenced to serve 21 months in jail and fined \$20,000 after pleading guilty to charges of fixing prices of a generic drug prescribed for the treatment of high blood pressure. The drug is no longer on the market, but during the life of the conspiracy it brought in sales of over \$65 million for at least one of the co-conspirators.

46. The Division's investigation and prosecution of price-fixing in the industrial hardware industry resulted in a record criminal fine. Following a plea of *nolo contendere* to a single-count indictment alleging a conspiracy to fix prices on architectural hinges, the two corporate defendants in *U.S. v. The Stanley Works*, (E.D. Mo., filed May 22, 1990) were fined a total of \$10 million. The \$8 million fine assessed against The Stanley Works is the highest criminal fine for a single-count violation in the Division's history.

47. Stricter penalties are also apparent in *U.S. v. Russell-Stanley Corporation*, (E.D. Pa., filed June 8, 1993), where the corporate defendant was fined \$1.8 million after pleading guilty to charges of price-fixing, mail fraud and obstruction of justice in connection with the sale of steel drums sold in several U.S. east-coast states. In a related steel-drum case, the individual defendant in *U.S. v. Louis J. Gaev*, (E.D. Pa., filed Aug. 12, 1992) was sentenced to serve 15 months in jail, 90 days house arrest and fined \$50,000. The Division's prosecution of price-fixing in the steel drum industry has resulted in 10 criminal cases filed against 12 companies and 13 individuals, with fines totalling more than \$9 million.

48. The Division's milk price-fixing cases continued in 1993, resulting in criminal convictions of another four corporations and two individuals. The 1993 milk convictions resulted in additional criminal fines of \$26.5 million, and jail sentences totalling 17 months. Since its inception in 1988, the Division's investigation and prosecution of regional milk price-fixing has resulted in the conviction of 57 corporate and 53 defendants; in criminal fines totalling \$54.7 million; and jail terms totalling 4,684 days.

2) *DOJ Non-merger Civil Enforcement*

49. In March 1993, the Division filed a civil complaint in *U.S. v. Canstar Sports USA, Inc.*, No. 2-93CV77 (D. Vt.), its first resale price maintenance case since the *Cuisinart* case in 1980. Defendant Canstar Sports USA, the U.S. subsidiary of a foreign parent, was charged with conspiring with its dealers to fix the retail price of its premium line of hockey skates. The case was settled on September 17, 1993 by entry of a consent decree, prohibiting Canstar from directly or indirectly conspiring with retail dealers. Canstar is permitted however, to adopt suggested prices, to communicate such prices to its dealers, and to unilaterally terminate dealers that depart from the suggested price. The text of the consent decree can be found at 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,372.

50. On June 9, 1993 the Division filed simultaneously a civil complaint and proposed consent decree in *U.S. v. Primestar Partners, L.P.* (S.D.N.Y. 1993). The Division's complaint named Primestar Partners L.P., a joint-venture partnership, its ten joint-venture partners and the parent companies of those partners as co-conspirators in an agreement to block other firms from entering the direct broadcast satellite business (DBS) by restricting access to programming owned or controlled by Primestar members. DBS transmits directly to consumers via a medium-power satellite. The signal is picked up by relatively small home satellite "dishes" that are less expensive to install than large home satellite dishes and are a potential substitute for cable television service. The proposed consent decree, which is pending entry by the court, contains injunctions preventing the defendants from exercising their control of cable programming or cable delivery systems to deny programming to any rival provider of multichannel subscription television at competitive prices. The text of the proposed decree appears at 7 Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 50,747.

51. On September 8, 1993, in connection with a complaint filed by the Department on behalf of the FTC, Stephan Schmidheiny, a Swiss citizen and the individual defendant in *U.S. v. Anova Holding AG*, CA No. 93-1852 (D.D.C. 1992) agreed to pay \$414,650 in civil penalties settling charges that he did not comply with HSR premerger notification requirements. The government's complaint alleged that Schmidheiny failed to comply with HSR notification requirements at the time of acquisitions giving the defendant control of two Swiss firms with substantial sales in the United States, and that an inexcusable period of delay ensued between the defendant's subsequent discovery of the failure to file and compliance with the pre-merger notification requirements. The text of the settlement in this case appears at 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,383 (D.D.C., Sept. 13, 1993)

3) *Modification or Termination of Consent Decrees*

52. The Division announced on November 4, 1993, that it had informed the Eastman Kodak Company of its opposition to Kodak's motion filed in the U.S. District Court in Rochester, New York, to terminate decrees entered in 1921 and 1954. The Division objected to the removal of provisions prohibiting exclusive dealing in film, the sale of "fighting brands" of film, and connecting the sale of color film to its processing. After an extensive investigation, the Division determined that the provisions were still necessary to protect against the danger that Kodak, which in 1992 accounted for 75 percent of U.S. dollar sales of amateur color film, would exercise market power in that market.

53. The Division informed the presiding judge in *U.S. v. National Broadcasting Co., Inc.*, CV74-3600-R (C.D. Cal., filed Nov. 10, 1993) that it supported the motion of three television networks, NBC, ABC and CBS, to lift decree restrictions that prevented their participation in non-network syndicated programming. In 1993,

the Federal Communications Commission rescinded regulations restricting networks from holding a financial interest in or ownership rights to non-network syndicated programs. The Division advised then, as it did in its recommendation to the district court, that changed market conditions justified lifting restrictions on network participation. The district court subsequently granted the networks' motion and ordered modification of the decrees in accordance with the Division's recommendation. The court order appears at 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,418.

54. The Division consented to orders terminating consent decrees entered in *U.S. v. Linen Supply Inst. of Greater N.Y., Inc.*, 1993-1 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,271 (S.D.N.Y. May 26, 1993) and *United States v. Reno Merchant and Plumbing Contractors Inc.*, 1993-1 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,272 (D. Nev. May 21,

1993). In both cases, changes in the relevant markets removed the threat of further abuse. Both decrees were over thirty years old, reflecting the long abandoned policy of seeking to impose decree conditions in perpetuity.

4) *FTC Non-merger Enforcement Actions*

55. The Commission charged in an administrative complaint issued in July, 1993 that the California Dental Association (CDA), whose 15,000 members comprise 75 percent of the dentists in the state, illegally prevented California dentists from informing consumers about the price and quality of the service they provide. The Commission alleged that the CDA prohibited announcements of senior citizen discounts and all other across-the-board discount offers. The Commission charged that these activities deprive customers of truthful information useful to select a dentist and decrease the incentives to offer services and prices that consumers want. The matter has been referred to an administrative law judge for trial. See California Dental Assoc., Docket No. D-9259, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,422.

56. The Commission issued a complaint in September, 1993 alleging that the Maryland Pharmacists Association (MPhA) and the Baltimore Metropolitan Pharmaceutical Association (BMPA) illegally conspired to boycott the prescription drug plan for Baltimore city government employees to force the plan to increase its reimbursement rates. The matter was referred to an administrative law judge for trial and subsequently withdrawn from adjudication for purpose of negotiating a settlement. The Commission then accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement under which the two associations would be prohibited from entering into, organizing, or encouraging any agreement among pharmacy firms to refuse to participate in these kinds of prescription drug reimbursement plans in the future. See Baltimore Metro. Pharmaceutical Assoc., Docket No. D-9262, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,499.

57. The Commission granted the request of Clinique Laboratories Inc., to delete a provision of a 1980 consent order which restricts the company's ability to prescribe to dealers the prices at which they should advertise Clinique products. The provision at issue prohibits Clinique from suggesting to its dealers the prices to be included in any advertising, mailers or other promotional materials disseminated to consumers, unless Clinique informs the dealers, in writing, that they are free to specify retail prices of their own choosing. In granting Clinique's request, the Commission stated that the provision at issue prohibits conduct that may be lawful if not part of a manufacturer's scheme to fix the prices at which retailers sell its products. See Clinique Laboratories Inc., Docket No. C-3027, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,330.

58. The Commission modified a 1991 consent order with Harold A. Honickman and the Brooklyn Beverage Acquisition Corp. to allow Honickman and Brooklyn Beverage to acquire non-carbonated soft drink assets without prior Commission approval. Under the 1991 consent order, Honickman was required to seek Commission prior approval for any proposed acquisition of soft drink assets in the New York metropolitan area. In its order modifying the 1991 consent, the Commission stated that there was confusion as to whether the prior approval provision covered non-carbonated soft drinks. The Commission concluded that considerations of fairness and the public interest warrant modifying the order to eliminate the unintended coverage. See Harold A. Honickman, Docket No. D-9233, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,459.

59. The Commission modified a 1990 consent order with Promodes S.A., the parent of The Red Food Stores Inc., to allow the company to divest a different supermarket than the one required in the 1990 consent order. In addition, the Commission ended Red Food's obligation under the 1990 consent order to divest grocery stores located in Tennessee and Georgia. This modification followed a May 13, 1993 Commission order to show cause why the FTC should not delete these divestiture requirements. The 1990 order settled charges that Red Food's 1989 acquisition of several retail grocery stores in the Chattanooga area could have substantially reduced competition among grocery stores in that area. See Promodes S.A., Docket No. D-9228, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,400.

60. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with three school bus transportation companies concerning their "joint ventures" called Kansas City School Transportation and their bid on a three-year contract to provide bus service for children in the Kansas City Missouri School District. The agreement settles allegations that the joint venture was set up to restrain competition and to allow the firms to allocate among themselves the areas of the district each would serve. The companies are B & J School Bus Service, Inc., Ryder Student Transportation Services, Inc. and Mayflower Contract Services, Inc. The final consent order prohibits each company from entering into similar arrangements with any other school bus transportation company that restrain competition for school bus service in the Kansas City area. It also prohibits the companies, for the next three years, from communicating to past, present or likely future providers of bus service in the Kansas City school district their plans to bid, or not to bid, for those services. See B & J School Bus Service, Inc., Docket No. C-3425, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,315.

61. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with AE Clevite Inc., a manufacturer of locomotive engine bearings, settling charges that the company invited a competitor to fix prices. Under the final consent order, AE Clevite and T&N PLC, AE Clevite's parent company, are both prohibited from requesting, proposing or advocating that a competitor fix or raise prices, price levels or service levels for locomotive engine bearings in the United States. The order also prohibits the companies from entering into agreements with competitors that would have the effect of fixing or raising prices, price levels or service levels for these products. Additionally, the order prohibits the two companies from inviting a competitor to raise prices or service levels for these products by their stating a willingness to match the increase. Finally, the order requires the companies to provide copies of the Commission complaint and consent order to current company directors and officers, as well as to officers and directors of current subsidiaries of and divisions of T&N engaged in the design, manufacture, marketing or sale of locomotive engine bearings in the United States. See AE Clevite Inc., Docket No. C-3429, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,354.

62. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with YKK, Inc., the country's largest zipper manufacturer, settling charges brought in July 1988, that YKK invited its chief competitor, Talon, Inc., to eliminate certain discounts to customers. YKK offered to stop providing free installation equipment for its zippers if Talon would do the same. Talon's provision of free installation equipment to customers is a form of discounting. The Commission charged that an agreement between YKK and Talon to cease this form of discounting would constitute an unreasonable restraint of competition. Under the final consent order, YKK is prohibited from asking a competitor to fix, raise, or stabilize prices or price levels and entering into any agreement or conspiracy with any competitor to fix, raise, or stabilize prices, price levels or service levels for

DAFFE/CLP(94)5/07

zippers. The order does allow YKK to request that a competitor refrain from engaging

in illegal conduct. See YKK (U.S.A.) Inc., Docket No. C-3445, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,355.

63. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with the National Society of Professional Engineers (NSPE), settling charges that it restricted certain types of truthful advertising by its members. NSPE is a professional organization based in Alexandria, Virginia, with over 75,000 members in 54 state and territorial chapters. According to the Commission complaint, the NSPE adopted and maintained a Code of Ethics that stated, in part, that engineers should refrain from making statements that contain an opinion as to the quality of the engineers' services and should not employ advertising that was intended to attract clients by the use of showmanship, puffery or self-laudation or the use of slogans, jingles or sensational language or format. The order prohibits the NSPE from restricting truthful and nondeceptive advertising by its members or from encouraging or inducing any non-governmental person from engaging in any practice that would violate the Commission order. See Nat'l Soc'y of Professional Eng'rs, Docket No. C-3454, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,398.

64. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with the Association of Engineering Firms Practicing in the Geosciences (ASFE). The agreement settles charges that ASFE conspired with some of its members to restrain competitive bidding and to induce its members, through insurance and peer-review programs, not to bid or give favorable prices or credit terms for civil engineering services. ASFE is a national professional association of geotechnical engineers based in Silver Spring, Maryland. Under the final consent order, ASFE is prohibited from using a peer-review procedure to review or evaluate an engineering professional's fees or pricing and bidding policies, disseminating materials concerning any engineering professional's intention not to bid, disclosing to an insurer any information about a member's fees, pricing, bidding or advertising, and stating that competitive bidding, advertising, low prices, or liberal credit terms affect any engineer's ability to obtain or keep insurance. See Association of Eng'g Firms Practicing in the Geosciences, Docket No. C-3430, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,350.

65. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement settling charges that United Real Estate Brokers of Rockland, Ltd., also known as Rockland County Multiple Listing System (Rockland MLS) restrained competition in residential real estate brokerage services within Rockland County, New York. Specifically, in its complaint, the Commission alleges that Rockland MLS refused to publish listings if they permitted homeowners to advertise their property in any manner; refused to allow property owners who enter into exclusive agency listings to specify that all appointments to show the property to potential buyers must be made through the listing broker's office; limited the listing broker's share of the commission for any exclusive agency listings where another member broker sold the property to less listings; and included neither the photograph nor the property description normally accompanying an exclusive right to sell listing in its weekly listing book when it published exclusive agency listings. Under the proposed settlement agreement, Rockland MLS would be prohibited from restricting exclusive agency listings(which homeowners pay a reduced fee or commission, or no fee or commission, if they sell the properties themselves); restricting brokers from soliciting homeowners with current listings for future business; interfering with the cancellation of a listing; and excluding from membership brokers who do not operate a full-time office in Rockland County. See United Real Estate Brokers of Rockland, Ltd., Docket No. C-3461, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,420.

66. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with The Industrial Multiple and its parent organization, the American Industrial Real Estate Association, settling charges that they restrained competition among industrial real-estate brokers in the Los Angeles area by unreasonably restricting access to the multiple listing service (MLS), limiting the contract options member brokers could offer to their clients and reducing the likelihood of discount commissions or other price competition among brokers. The Industrial Multiple is the sole Los Angeles area MLS specializing in Industrial properties. Under the final consent order, the respondents are prohibited from, among other things, requiring that applicants for membership be engaged primarily in industrial real-estate brokerage, receiving a specified percentage of their income from industrial real-estate commissions, having a specified percentage of their real-estate transactions involve industrial property, having minimum number or dollar volume of industrial real-estate sales or leases over any period of time, or having been engaged in industrial real-estate brokerage over any period of time. See American Indus. Real Estate Assoc., Docket No. C-3449, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,090.

67. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with Southeast Colorado Pharmacal Association (SCPhA), settling charges that it illegally conspired to boycott a prescription drug program offered through a state retirees health plan in an attempt to force the program to increase its reimbursement rate for prescriptions filled by its pharmacy members. Under the final consent order, SCPhA is prohibited from the following: entering into or otherwise encouraging or cooperating in any agreement between or among pharmacies to withdraw from, or refuse to enter into, a "participation agreement" (an agreement between a third-party payer and a pharmacy over the reimbursement for dispensing prescription drugs); continuing, for the next five years, a formal or informal meeting of pharmacy representatives if any two persons at the meeting make statements concerning one or more firms' intentions to withdraw from any participation agreement; communicating, for the next five years, to any pharmacy any information concerning any other pharmacy's decision or intention either to enter into or refuse to enter into, any participation agreement; providing, for the next five years, comments or advice to any pharmacy on whether to participate in any participation agreement; and soliciting, for the next five years, any information from any pharmacy concerning that firm's or any other pharmacy's intention or decision either to enter into, or refuse to enter into, any participation agreement. See Southeast Colo. Pharmacal Assoc., Docket No. C 3410, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,277.

68. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with the National Association of Social Workers (NASW) settling charges that NASW restrained competition among social workers in the United States. According to the Commission complaint, the NASW adopted a Code of Ethics that prohibited its members from soliciting the clients of colleagues, but did not limit the prohibition to the uninvited, personal solicitation of patients who might be vulnerable to undue influence. The ethics code also prohibited members from paying a fee for a referral, thus deterring the use of some types of patient-referral services. Under the final consent order, NASW is prohibited from banning truthful, non-deceptive advertising by its members. Specifically, it prohibits restrictions against testimonials from clients or other consumers and bars restrictions against the solicitation of the actual or prospective clients of another professional. NASW is permitted, however, to adopt and enforce guidelines to prevent social workers from soliciting business or testimonials from those who could be vulnerable to the undue influence of a social worker, and to ban solicitations of testimonials from current psychotherapy patients. See National Assoc. of Social Workers, Docket No. C-3416, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,298.

69. The Commission accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement to settle charges announced in a June 1992 complaint that Abbott Laboratories, the leading U.S. manufacturer of infant formula, conspired with competitors to restrict mass media advertising of infant formula directly to consumers, thus depriving consumers of the benefits of competition. The Commission alleged that Abbott Labs conducted discussions at meetings of the Infant Formula Council (the industry trade association) to draft guidelines that would have prohibited the use of such advertising, agreed to exchange information of their advertising plans with competitors and requested health-care professionals to ask other infant formula manufacturers to stop consumer advertising. The proposed consent agreement would prohibit the company from exchanging with other infant formula manufacturers information relating to mass media advertising directly to consumers in the United States, agreeing, attempting to agree, or enforcing an agreement, with another infant formula manufacturer to refrain from or restrict legal marketing practices and soliciting competitors to adopt or adhere to any provision restricting consumer mass media advertising. See Abbott Laboratories, Docket No. 9253, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,488.

70. The Commission accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement to settle charges that Keds Corporation, which manufactures athletic and casual shoes, agreed with some dealers to maintain resale prices, and that the alleged conduct raised consumer prices for the shoes and restricted price competition among its dealers. Under the proposed settlement agreement, Keds would be prohibited from fixing prices at which any dealer may advertise or sell the products, coercing or pressuring any dealer to adopt or adhere to any resale price, attempting to secure commitments from any dealer about the prices at which they will advertise or sell the products, and requiring or even suggesting that dealers report other dealers who advertise or sell any Keds product below a suggested resale price. Additionally, the proposed settlement would require Keds to inform its dealers by mail, using a specifically worded letter, that they can advertise and sell Keds products at any price they choose. For five years, Keds would have to place a similar statement in any materials it sends to dealers which suggest resale prices. Finally, the proposed consent agreement contains certain reporting provisions designed to assist the Commission in monitoring Keds' compliance. See Keds Corp., File No. 93-10067, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,463.

71. The Commission accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement settling charges that the Personal Protective Armor Association (PPAA), a trade association of manufacturers of bullet-proof vests, conspired with its members to restrain competition by restricting both comparative advertising and the use of product liability insurance as a purchase incentive for law enforcement agencies. As early as 1986, according to the complaint, PPAA established a policy against comparative advertising, declaring it unethical for any member to represent that another member's vests had failed certification testing, even if the advertising claim was true. In addition, the FTC alleged that PPAA adopted a policy prohibiting its members from offering product liability insurance in bids to win contracts from law enforcement agencies. PPAA's objective, the FTC alleged, was to improve its members' profits by no longer using product liability insurance as a tool to win contracts for soft body armor. The proposed agreement would prohibit PPAA from restricting its members from engaging in comparative advertising or offering product liability insurance. In addition, the proposed settlement would prohibit PPAA from placing any restraints on member advertising. See Personal Protective Armor Assoc., File No. 92-10070, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,521.

72. The Commission accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement with Home Oxygen &

Medical Equipment Company, Homecare Oxygen & Medical Equipment Company and their physician owners that would settle charges that the physician joint ventures violated the antitrust laws in gaining their high market shares. The FTC challenged the joint venture because the venture would allow a group of specialists to gain control of the market for an ancillary service by controlling patient access to the service. The joint venture creates a barrier to entry by other entities, thereby inhibiting competition in the relevant market. The ancillary service at issue in these cases is the provision of oxygen systems prescribed for home use by patients with lung, heart or other diseases who cannot obtain sufficient oxygen through normal breathing. In general, patients who require oxygen systems receive the services of pulmonologists who have the ability to influence the choice of which oxygen systems supplier will service such patients. Under the proposed consent agreements, the physicians in each partnership would be required to reduce their collective ownership so that 25 percent or less of the physicians in the relevant geographic market would be affiliated with the partnership. The agreement would prohibit transfers of physician ownership interests between Home Oxygen and Homecare Oxygen, and would require that the divestitures be completed within eight months of the date the Commission accepts the agreements as final orders. Finally, the agreement would prohibit Home Oxygen, Homecare Oxygen and the physicians from forming any new oxygen company with similar market power over referrals. See Homecare Oxygen & Medical Equipment Co., File Nos. 901 0109 and 911 0020, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,490.

E. Private Cases Having International Implications

73. Hartford Fire Ins. Co. v. State of Cal., 113 S. Ct. 2891 (1993). See § II. B for a summary.

74. Comity factors were determinative in a federal district court's decision -- made prior to the Supreme Court's decision in Hartford Fire Ins. -- to dismiss a price-fixing complaint filed against Canadian defendants. In Rivendell Forest Prod., Ltd. v. Canadian Forest Prod., Ltd., 1993-1 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,144 (D. Colo. Jan. 8, 1993), the court dismissed, on comity grounds, a class action for damages and injunctive relief filed on behalf of all purchasers of Western Canadian softwood products sold in the United States from 1987 to 1991. Rivendell's complaint alleged that Canadian forest products companies selling lumber products to wholesale purchasers in the United States had conspired to artificially raise freight charges for lumber products shipped to the United States. The issue before the court was whether comity principles could be determinative in a ruling under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) motion to dismiss for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. The court rejected the plaintiff's argument that comity analysis was not relevant to a jurisdictional finding, stating its view that the Ninth Circuit's decision in In re Ins. Anti-Trust Litigation, 938 F.2d 919 (9th Cir. 1991) ("Hartford") only limited the circumstances in which jurisdictional abstention on comity grounds is required. Relying on Timberlane comity principles, the court ruled that Canadian interests in the adjudication of the case were sufficiently substantial to require abstention from the exercise of U.S. subject-matter jurisdiction. In support of its decision, the court noted that adjudication of the matter would implicate Canadian governmental policy, both with respect to rate regulations underlying the freight charges and in connection with a long-standing transborder governmental trade dispute on lumber pricing.

75. COMSAT was unsuccessful in its second attempt to have monopolization and conspiracy claims against it dismissed on immunity grounds. In Alpha Lyracom Space Communications, Inc. v. Communications Satellite Corp., 1993-1 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,184 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 30, 1993), the threshold issue before the

court was whether COMSAT's private conduct as a common carrier could be separated sufficiently from its official role to support an antitrust claim, or whether all claims against COMSAT were necessarily barred by the Act of State doctrine. The district court rejected COMSAT's argument that its dual capacities were too intrinsically intertwined to distinguish immune conduct from non-immune conduct. The court ruled that the amended complaint, which addressed only COMSAT's private conduct, was sufficient to plead a cause of action, and that the Act of State doctrine was irrelevant to claims based solely on allegations of private conduct. The court also rejected COMSAT's argument that its conduct was immunized from antitrust liability by the Noerr-Pennington doctrine. While not foreclosing a Noerr-Pennington defense, the court observed that the amended complaint did not base its claim on allegations of COMSAT's dealings with foreign governments and that it would be improper to go outside the pleadings of the case to make that assumption.

76. In *Laitram Machinery, Inc. v. Carnitech A.S.*, Civ. Action No. 92-3841 (D.E.D. La. Sept. 10, 1993), the district court ruled that plaintiff Laitram could compel discovery of documents to establish jurisdictional facts relating to defendant Carnitech's contacts with its U.S. subsidiary. The court denied Carnitech's motion to dismiss on trade secret grounds, ruling that the importance of the documents sought by Laitram, which contained factual information about the defendant's relationship with its subsidiary and which were necessary to establish personal jurisdiction over the foreign defendant, outweighed the risk of disclosure. To ensure confidentiality, the court imposed a protective order limiting the disclosure of sensitive information to plaintiff's counsel and indicated that it would consider a stricter protective order, if agreed to by the parties. See 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,430 (D.E.D. La. Sept. 10, 1993).

77. In *re Dual-Deck Video Cassette Recorder Antitrust Litigation*, 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,431 (9th Cir. Dec. 2, 1993), the Ninth Circuit reversed a district court ruling holding Go-Video in civil contempt for using information provided to it in discovery in its 1987 lawsuit against Matsushita. Go-Video was held in civil contempt after it referenced material provided to it in the earlier suit to support new allegations stated in the second suit. The Ninth Circuit's reversal of the contempt order was based on its finding that Go-Video's references to the earlier material were technical violations that did not reveal or threaten to reveal secrets protected by the 1987 order.

III. Enforcement of antitrust laws and policies: Merger and concentrations

A. Department of Justice and FTC Merger Statistics

The Department and the Commission maintain statistics respecting the mergers and acquisitions reported under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act (HSR). Only those mergers meeting certain size or other criteria are required to be reported under the Act. During 1993, the two agencies received 3,791 filings for 1,967 reported transactions under the premerger notification program.

1) DOJ Review of Premerger Notifications

78. The Division reviewed 1,967 notified transactions, and opened 63 HSR merger investigations, involving 63 second requests for information.

2) *FTC Review of Premerger Notifications*

79. Based on its review of premerger notification reports, the FTC investigated 40 transactions with second requests for information.

3) *Enforcement of Premerger Notification Rules*

80. The Commission and the Department actively have enforced the filing requirements of the HSR Act and in this connection, have brought charges in federal court and have obtained civil penalties. The FTC requests the Department to file its complaints. The complaints and settlements typically are filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

81. On September 8, 1993, in connection with a complaint filed by FTC attorneys acting as special attorneys to the U.S. Attorney General, Stephan Schmidheiny agreed to pay \$414,650 in civil penalties settling charges that he did not comply with HSR premerger notification requirements when he acquired two Swiss firms that do business in the United States. The complaint alleged that Schmidheiny acquired control of Landis & Gyr AG in January 1988 and Wild Leitz Holding AG in June 1989, without filing premerger notifications. The complaint also alleged that Schmidheiny notified the FTC that he had discovered the violations, but then did not submit filings for the transactions until February 4, 1991 - more than 17 months after the discovery. See Stephan Schmidheiny, File No. 911 0106, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,456.

B. *Merger Cases and Business Reviews*

1) *DOJ Merger Challenges or Cases*

82. On February 11, 1993, the Division filed a complaint challenging the proposed acquisition of New First City Bank-Midland N.A. by Texas Commerce Bank-Midland N.A. (TCB), a subsidiary of Texas Commerce Bancshares Inc. of Houston, Texas. At the same time, the Division filed a proposed consent decree. The complaint alleged that the proposed merger would substantially lessen competition in business banking services, including business transaction accounts and commercial operating loans to small and medium-size business customers in Midland. Under the terms of the consent decree, TCB must divest the New First City Bank-Midland and all assets and deposits of that bank, except for the trust business, and, unless necessary to assure the divestiture purchaser is a viable competitor, its indirect consumer loans. See U.S. v. Texas Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and Texas Commerce Bank-Midland, N.A., 6 Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 45,093, Case No. 3946, for a summary of the Department's complaint, and 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,326 for the text of the decree.

83. In a related matter, on February 23, 1993, the Division filed a complaint and proposed decree in the proposed acquisition of New First City Bank-Beaumont N.A. by Texas Commerce Bank-Beaumont N.A., a subsidiary of Texas Commerce Bancshares Inc. (TCB) of Houston, Texas. The complaint alleged that the proposed merger would substantially lessen competition in Beaumont for business banking services, including business transaction accounts and commercial operating loans, particularly for medium-sized businesses with annual sales of more than \$5 million. The consent decree requires TCB to divest at least two branches of the New First City Bank in Beaumont and all assets and deposits of those branches, except for First City's trust business and its indirect consumer loans. The decree also requires TCB to divest all New First City commercial loans of \$500,000 or more, and the deposits of those loan customers. See U.S. v. Texas Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and Texas Commerce Bank-Beaumont, N.A., 6 Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 45,093,

Case No. 3951, for a summary of the Department's complaint, and 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,363 for the text of the decree.

84. On February 16, 1993, the Division announced its intention to file a civil antitrust suit challenging the proposed acquisition by Coflexip S.A., a French corporation, of the assets of Wellstream Corporation, a U.S. firm headquartered in Florida. Coflexip and Wellstream are the only two significant manufacturers in the world of unbonded flexible pipe, which is used in offshore oil and gas production. Worldwide sales of unbonded flexible pipe in 1992 were approximately \$200 million. Coflexip accounts for about \$190 million and Wellstream about \$12 million of those sales. After its review of the proposed transaction, the Division concluded that the merger would substantially lessen competition and tend to create a monopoly in the unbonded flexible pipe market. The parties abandoned the transaction.

85. On March 15, 1993, the Division filed a civil antitrust complaint and proposed consent decree in connection with the proposed transaction between USAir Group Inc. of Arlington, Virginia, and British Airways Plc (BA) of London, England. On January 21, 1993, BA acquired approximately 20 percent of the voting stock of USAir for \$300 million, and acquired an option to purchase an additional 25 percent of USAir's equity for an additional \$450 million. USAir and BA also agreed to conduct joint operations on scheduled airline passenger service to London via USAir's Philadelphia, Baltimore and Charlotte gateways using shared airline designator codes, coordinated schedules, and integrated advertising and reservations. The Division's complaint alleged that the transaction would substantially lessen competition in the provision of scheduled airline passenger service between interior US points and London, and in the provision of nonstop scheduled airline passenger service in the Philadelphia-London and Baltimore/Washington-London markets. Under the terms of the consent decree, which was approved by the court and entered on September 30, 1993, USAir must transfer its authority from each of its gateways to an approved purchaser within 45 days of its initiation of code-sharing services with BA from that gateway. If USAir is unable to complete a sale, it is required to surrender the authority to the U.S. Department of Transportation for authorization of another airline. See *United States v. USAir Group, Inc.*, 6 Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 45,093, Case No. 3955, for a summary of the Department's complaint, and 1993-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,416 for the text of the decree.

86. On March 22, 1993, the Division filed a complaint in *U.S. v. Gillette Co. and Parker Pen Holding, Ltd.*, a civil antitrust suit challenging Gillette's proposed acquisition of Parker Pen Holdings Ltd. The complaint alleged that the proposed acquisition would substantially lessen competition in the manufacture and sale in the United States of premium fountain pens. The matter first came before the court on the Division's motion for injunction relief. In a decision accompanying an order denying the motion, the court held that the relevant market defined by the Division was too narrow, making it unlikely that the government would succeed on the merits of the case should the matter go to trial. On June 9, 1993, the Division submitted a motion for dismissal of the case. The decision of the court appears at 1993-1 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,210 (D.D.C. May 5, 1993); the government's complaint appears at 6 Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 45,093, Case No. 3960.

87. On June 30, 1993, the Division announced that ChipSoft Inc. and MECA Software Inc. had terminated plans under which ChipSoft would have purchased MECA. Chipsoft sells TurboTax, the leading brand of consumer tax preparation software, while MECA is Chipsoft's principal competitor through its Taxcut software. TurboTax and Taxcut account for approximately 75 percent of all consumer tax preparation software sold in the United States. The termination followed the Division's indication to the parties that consummation of the

transaction would raise significant antitrust concerns. In a statement

issued after the termination, AAG Bingaman observed that the proposed combination of ChipSoft and MECA would have given Chipsoft control over the two most popular brands of consumer tax preparation software, resulting in substantially reduced competition and higher prices for popular and useful computer software.

88. In another proposed acquisition involving competing tax preparation software, TaxCut and TurboTax, the Division announced in December 1993, that Intuit, Inc. had terminated an option to purchase Legal Knowledge Systems, Inc. (LKS), the producer of TaxCut. Intuit had been advised that its proposed purchase of LKS would raise serious antitrust concerns if it were to proceed also with its proposed parallel acquisition of Chipsoft, the producer of TurboTax. Together, TurboTax and Taxcut account for 75 percent of the U.S. market for consumer tax preparation software.

89. On November 2, 1993, the Division announced that Goldman Sachs, a New York investment banking firm which manages the Water Street Corporate Recovery Fund, also of New York, agreed to sell shares of the National Gypsum Company to avoid antitrust concerns. Water Street became the owner of approximately 20 percent of National Gypsum and 43 percent of USG Corporation, National Gypsum's leading competitor, when those firms emerged from bankruptcy proceedings earlier in 1993. Over half of the country's gypsum wallboard is made by the National Gypsum and USG. In order to address the Department's concerns regarding the potential joint ownership of both firms, Goldman Sachs offered not to take any management role with National Gypsum and to divest quickly all of Water Street's shares in the firm.

90. On November 8, 1993, the Division approved divestitures by Cyprus Minerals Company of Colorado and Amax, Inc. of New York, that would resolve antitrust concerns relating to Cyprus' proposed acquisition of all the voting securities of Amax. The Division had indicated to the parties that the transaction posed serious antitrust concerns in the molybdenite mining and processing industry. The divestitures preserve competition in molybdenite mining and roasting and provide for previously unavailable capacity for U.S. companies.

91. On November 16, 1993, the Division filed a complaint in *U.S. v. General Motors Corp.* CA No. 93-530 (D. Del.), a civil antitrust suit to block the sale of General Motors Corporation's (GM) automatic transmission division (Allison Transmission Division) to ZF Friedrichshafen AG, a German company with American operations headquartered in Chicago. Allison and ZF are each other's main competitors in the United States for the manufacture of medium and heavy automatic transmissions for trucks and buses. The proposed transaction had the potential to substantially lessen competition in three markets: (a) the manufacture and sale of automatic transmissions for transit buses in the United States; (b) the manufacture and sale of automatic transmissions for heavy refuse trucks in the United States; and (c) worldwide technological innovation in the design and production of automatic transmissions for medium and heavy duty commercial and military vehicles. Shortly after the Division filed its complaint, the parties abandoned plans for the acquisition. A summary of the Division's complaint appears at 6 Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 45,093, Case No. 4027.

92. On December 23, 1993, the Division filed a complaint in *U.S. v. Baroid Corp.*, CA No. 93-2621 (D.D.C.) a civil antitrust suit to block the \$900 million merger of Baroid Corporation by Dresser Industries Inc., two of the nation's largest oil field service companies. At the same time, the Division filed a proposed consent decree to settle the suit. The government's complaint alleged that the proposed transaction would substantially lessen competition in two markets: (a) the production and sale of drilling fluids in the United States, and (b) the manufacture and sale of diamond drill bits in the United States. Under the proposed consent decree, which

is pending before the court, the parties must divest either Dresser's or Baroid's drilling fluid business, plus divest DBS's domestic assets and license its patents and other technology to a new competitor for sale of DBS diamond drill bits domestically and to a significant extent throughout the world. See *U.S. v. Baroid Corp., Baroid Drilling Fluids, Inc., DB Stratabit (USA), Inc. and Dresser Industries, Inc.*, 6 Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 45,093, Case No. 4032, for a summary of the Division's complaint.

2) *Merger Cases Brought by the FTC*

93. Free markets for capital and corporate assets are vital to the efficient functioning of the United States' economy. Most mergers and acquisitions allow those assets to be reorganized efficiently, and they improve consumer welfare by reducing costs and prices. Some mergers, however, may substantially lessen competition and result in price increases to consumers. In the past calendar year, the Commission authorized its staff to seek to block two mergers in federal district court. The Commission issued one administrative complaint to challenge a proposed acquisition. Further, there was one Commission decision upholding the decision of an administrative law judge and one initial decision by an administrative law judge upholding a Commission complaint. Additionally, the Commission entered into seven final consent agreements, and six proposed consent agreements to settle the anticompetitive concerns raised by proposed merger transactions. These efforts illustrate the Commission's commitment to challenge potentially anticompetitive mergers without preventing transactions that can increase productivity.

a) *Preliminary injunctions authorized*

94. In September 1993, the Commission authorized its staff to seek a federal court order temporarily blocking the General Electric Company's proposed acquisition of the Chrysler Corporation's boxcar fleet. The FTC alleged that the acquisition would significantly decrease competition in the United States and Canada boxcar operating lease market. The transaction was abandoned after the Commission voted to authorize the staff to seek a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction. *GE Boxcar*, File No. 931 0025.

95. In January 1993, the Commission authorized its staff to seek a federal court order temporarily blocking Columbia Hospital Corporation's proposed acquisition of Medical Center Hospital, a hospital owned by Adventist Health System in Florida. Both hospitals are located in Charlotte County, in southwest Florida. The FTC alleged that the acquisition would significantly decrease competition for acute care hospital services in the Charlotte County area as there is only one other hospital in this area and entry is difficult and time-consuming. The FTC specifically alleged that the proposed acquisition would increase the likelihood that Charlotte County hospitals will raise prices or reduce the quality of their services. The Commission's request for a temporary restraining order was granted by a federal district court in Florida. The Commission subsequently issued an administrative complaint in February, 1993. In May, the federal court granted the Commission's request for a preliminary injunction. The matter was referred to an Administrative Law Judge for trial. [A provisional consent agreement was announced in February, 1984]. See *Columbia Hospital Corp.*, File No. 931 0025, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,319.

b. Commission Administrative Decisions

96. The Commission upheld the decision of an Administrative Law Judge and ruled that Occidental Petroleum Corporation's acquisition of certain assets of Tenneco Polymers, Inc. is likely to lessen competition in three polyvinyl chloride (PVC) product markets in the United States: mass and suspension PVC homopolymer, suspension PVC copolymer, and dispersion PVC were the markets at issue. The Commission found that the mass and suspension of PVC homopolymer market is moderately concentrated and that the copolymer and dispersion PVC markets are highly concentrated. The Commission determined that entry into all three markets is difficult and concluded, after reviewing a variety of industry characteristics, that the acquisition is likely to lessen competition substantially. The Commission order requires Occidental to divest Tenneco's Pasadena, Texas plant and Tenneco's Burlington, New Jersey plant to a Commission approved acquirer or acquirers within one year. Furthermore, the order prohibits Occidental, for ten years, from acquiring all or any part of the stock or assets of, or any interest in, any producer of PVC located in the United States, without prior FTC approval. See Occidental Petroleum Corp., Docket No. 9205, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,370.

97. An Administrative Law Judge upheld a 1990 complaint alleging that the acquisition of Meredith/Burda L.P. by R.R. Donnelley & Sons Co. will substantially lessen competition in the highly concentrated market for high-volume publication gravure printing in the United States and ordered R.R. Donnelley & Sons Co. to divest, to a Commission-approved acquirer within 12 months, four printing plants as well as all other commercial printing assets gained in the 1990 acquisition of Meredith/Burda L.P. The plants at issue are located in Arizona, Iowa, North Carolina and Virginia. The Commission issued its complaint in October 1990, notwithstanding the denial by a federal district court judge in August 1990 of its request for a preliminary injunction to block the transaction. The acquisition was subsequently consummated in September 1990. Under the Administrative Law Judge's order, if Donnelley fails to divest the assets as required, the FTC will appoint a trustee to do so. In addition, the ALJ's order would prohibit, for 10 years, anyone with more than 1 percent of Donnelley's stock to hold or control more than 1 percent of the stock of the acquirer. Finally, the ALJ's order would require Donnelley, for ten years, to obtain FTC approval before acquiring any interest in an entity engaged in publication gravure printing in the United States, or any assets used for such business. See, R.R. Donnelley & Sons Co., Docket No. D-9243, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,156.

98. The Commission decided to terminate a proceeding, initiated by an order to show cause, that may have relieved Pasteur Merieux Serums et Vaccines S.A. (Merieux) of an obligation under a 1990 settlement agreement to divest its Canada-based rabies vaccine business by leasing the business to a company approved by both the FTC and the Canadian government. The 1990 agreement was in a consent order settling FTC charges that Merieux's acquisition of Connaught BioSciences, Inc., a Toronto based manufacturer of rabies and inactivated polio vaccines sold in both the United States and Canada, would enable Merieux to dominate the U.S. markets for those vaccines. The Commission's decision terminates a proceeding initiated by an order to show cause issued on March 9, 1993. That order sought any evidence as to why the 1990 consent order should not be reopened and modified by deleting the requirement that Merieux lease the Connaught rabies vaccine business. Subsequent to the show cause order, North American Vaccine, Inc., a Canadian corporation, filed a brief with the FTC in which it expressed an interest in leasing the Connaught rabies vaccine business. See Pasteur Merieux Serums et Vaccines S.A. Docket No. C-3301, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer

Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,447.

99. The Commission granted in part and denied in part a petition from KKR Associates and other respondents to modify a 1989 consent order that settled FTC charges stemming from KKR's acquisition of RJR Nabisco, one of the nation's leading food companies. The Commission denied KKR's request to delete entirely a provision of the order that, for a 10-year period, prohibits KKR from acquiring the assets of companies involved in the production of certain relevant products without prior approval of the Commission. The Commission, however, modified the order to require only notification to the Commission, instead of prior approval, for acquisitions of relevant products if respondents are not at that time engaged in that relevant product market. The Commission also denied KKR's request that the order be modified to include a "poison pill" provision that would permit KKR to acquire, without prior Commission approval, an interest in a company not involved in the production or marketing of packaged nuts at the time the proposed acquisition is announced, even if that company subsequently acquired a packaged nuts business (as a way to avoid acquisition of KKR). See KKR Associates, L.P., Docket No. C 3253, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,393.

100. The Commission granted a request from General Motors Corporation and Toyota Motor Corporation to set aside a 1984 consent order limiting the duration of their joint venture to produce small cars in Fremont, California. GM and Toyota each own 50 percent of the venture, New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc. (NUMMI). Under the terms of the order GM and Toyota were required to discontinue NUMMI by December 1996. In its order granting the petition, the Commission said that the respondents have shown that changed conditions in the industry eliminate the need for the order and make its continued application to the respondents inequitable and harmful to competition. See General Motors Corp. and Toyota Motor Corp., Docket No. C-3132, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,491.

101. The Commission approved the request of S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc., a major U.S. manufacturer of home care products, to reopen and modify a 1993 order settling charges that Johnson's acquisition of certain assets of the Drackett Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, could substantially lessen competition. The FTC charged that the acquisition could substantially lessen competition or tend to create a monopoly in the manufacture and sale of continuous action and aerosol air-freshener products and furniture care products marketed in the United States. In approving Johnson's request to reopen and modify the 1993 order, the Commission concluded that Johnson made a sufficient showing that public interest considerations support removing the requirements that Johnson hold separate and divest the international Renuzit assets to a Commission approved acquirer. See S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc., Docket No. C-3418, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,494.

102. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with the Monsanto Company, a major U.S. manufacturer of chemicals, including lawn and garden products, settling charges that its acquisition of the Ortho Consumer Products Division of Chevron Corporation would substantially lessen competition in the U.S. market for residential "non-selective" herbicides. Under the final consent order, Monsanto, must divest certain assets, including the Kleenup brand name and product line, to no more than three FTC approved purchasers within one year after the date of the final order. See Monsanto Co., Docket No. C 3458, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,391.

103. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement settling charges arising from a proposed acquisition by McCormick & Company, Inc., of Haas Foods. The FTC alleged that McCormick and Haas Foods were competitors in the U.S. dehydrated onion business prior to the acquisition. The FTC charged that the acquisition increases the likelihood that the remaining firms in the market will increase prices and restrict output, both in the near future and in the long term, in violation of the antitrust laws. Under the consent agreement, McCormick is required to divest, within four months to an FTC approved acquirer, a seed bank with enough seeds to produce at least 5,000 pounds of additional onion seed for future planting. See McCormick & Co., Inc., Docket No. C-3468, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,433.

104. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with Columbia Hospital Corporation and Galen Health Care, Inc., settling charges that Columbia's proposed acquisition of Galen would substantially lessen competition for acute-care inpatient hospital services in Osceola County, Florida, by combining the owners of two competing hospitals located in Kissimmee in Osceola County. In compliance with the order, Columbia divested Kissimmee Memorial Hospital, previously owned by Columbia, to Adventist Health System. The consent order also requires Columbia and Galen, for 10 years, to obtain FTC approval before acquiring any acute care hospital in Osceola County. This prior approval requirement also will have to be met before Columbia or Galen permits any hospital they operate in the county to be acquired by an entity that already owns a hospital there or intends to operate any other hospital there after such an acquisition. See Columbia Hospital Corp., Docket No. C-3472, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,450.

105. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement settling charges arising from a acquisition by Cooper Industries Inc. of Fusegear Group from BTR PLC, a British company. The FTC charged that the proposed acquisition would substantially lessen competition in the highly concentrated U.S. market for low voltage industrial fuses. The settlement would require Cooper to license certain technology to manufacture the fuses and to divest the necessary tooling, equipment and machinery to the FTC approved licensee. Also, the settlement would prohibit Cooper from acquiring, without prior FTC approval, any interest in any firm with more than \$3.5 million in annual U.S. sales of low voltage industrial fuses and would require the company to notify the FTC and wait a specified period before acquiring any firm selling less than that amount of fuses. See Cooper Industries, Inc., Docket No. C-3469, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,414.

106. The Commission gave final approval to Service Corporation International's (SCI) requests to divest seven funeral homes in Georgia and Tennessee to CFS Funeral Services, Inc. Both companies are based in Houston, Texas. In addition, the FTC gave final approval to a consent agreement with SCI, settling charges that its acquisition of Sentinel Group, Inc., would substantially lessen competition among funeral home establishments in certain areas of Georgia and Tennessee. See Service Corp. Int'l, Docket No. C 3440, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,409.

107. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with Dentsply International, Inc., settling charges that the firms proposed acquisition of certain Johnson & Johnson dental supply assets could raise prices and restrict supply in the U.S. market for premium silver alloy, a product used to fill cavities. Dentsply is a manufacturer of professional dental care products. Under the final consent order, Dentsply can proceed with

the acquisition, but it must divest to an FTC approved purchaser within 9 months all of its American assets related to the manufacturing and marketing of its "Valiant" line of silver alloy products. Dentsply must appoint individuals to manage the Valiant assets independently of its other business, and to maintain the viability and marketability of the assets until they can be sold. In addition, the consent order

contains a 10-year requirement that Dentsply obtain FTC approval before acquiring any silver alloy manufacturer of distributor. See Dentsply Int'l, Inc., Docket No. C-3407, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,268.

108. The Commission gave final approval to a consent agreement with Alliant Techsystems, Inc., settling charges that its proposed acquisition of certain Olin Corporation assets would substantially reduce competition or tend to create a monopoly in the U.S. market for systems contracting for ammunition used by the Abrams tank and Apache helicopter. The assets Alliant had proposed to acquire relate to the production of various types of ammunition used by the Abrams tank and the Apache helicopter. Under the final consent order, Alliant is prohibited - without first obtaining FTC approval - from acquiring the assets or stock of any company that is a defense systems contractor providing 120mm tank ammunition or 30mm lightweight ammunition. Alliant must also obtain FTC approval before selling or transferring its own stock or assets to a company engaged in systems contracting for these types of ammunition. These prior approval requirements last for ten years. Finally, the order requires Alliant to terminate its proposed acquisition of Olin and to stipulate that any confidential documents exchanged between the companies be destroyed or returned. See Alliant Techsystems, Inc., Docket No. D-9254, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,302.

109. The Commission accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement settling charges that the proposed acquisition of QVC Network Inc. by Tele-Communications, Inc. (TCI) and Liberty Media Corporation would raise cable television subscriber fees for consumers, reduce the quality and output of premium movie channels, rise programming fees for cable television operators, enhance coordinated interaction among the leading cable television firms and make it more difficult for new companies to enter the subscription television program distribution market. This would occur in certain areas of the country for distribution of cable television programming to consumers and in the national market for cable premium movie channels. The proposed agreement would sever the lines of ownership and control that link TCI and Liberty to QVC. These consent provisions would become effective unless QVC terminates or abandons its attempted acquisition of Paramount, or unless QVC fails to acquire more than 10 percent of Paramount's common stock within a 12 month period. Specifically, under the proposed consent agreement, TCI and Liberty would be required to divest all of their ownership interests in QVC, and to divest or terminate all interests they have in QVC voting agreements, subject to prior Commission approval, within 18 months. See Tele-Communications, Inc., File No. 941 0008, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,497.

110. The Commission accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement settling charges arising from a proposed acquisition by Alvey Holdings Inc. of White Storage and Retrieval Systems, Inc. Both are principal competitors in the computer driven storage and retrieval devices. The FTC charged that the proposed acquisition could substantially reduce competition in the U.S. market for these devices and result in higher prices. The proposed agreement would permit Alvey to acquire White, but requires Alvey to divest The Buschman Company's computer storage and retrieval devices division to an FTC approved purchaser within six months of acquiring White. The proposed settlement also requires Alvey, for ten years, to obtain Commission approval before acquiring any interest in any company that has manufactured computer driven storage and retrieval devices within the prior two years. The settlement also contains various reporting provisions designed to assist the FTC in monitoring Alvey's compliance. See Alvey Holdings, Inc., File No. 931 0138, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,508.

111. The Commission accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement settling charges arising from the 1989 Textron, Inc. acquisition of Avdel PLC. Both companies manufacture blind rivets for use in ground transportation vehicles. The Commission filed an administrative complaint in 1989 charging that Textron's acquisition of Avdel would substantially lessen competition in the U.S. and world markets for both aerospace blind rivets and non-aerospace structural blind rivets. In October, 1991, an Administrative Law Judge dismissed the FTC complaint. Complaint counsel appealed the ALJ's decision, and, following oral argument before the Commission, the matter was subsequently withdrawn from adjudication so that the Commission could consider a proposed consent agreement. The settlement would require Textron to license to a Commission approved entity the right to manufacture and sell rivets, to divest to the licensee certain Monobolt manufacturing assets and to provide technical assistance to the licensee for five years. See Textron, Inc., Docket No. D-9226, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,489.

112. The Commission accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement with Consol, Inc. that would settle charges that Consol's proposed acquisition of Island Creek Coal, Inc., would substantially lessen competition in the Baltimore, Maryland market for coal export terminal services. The proposed settlement would permit Consol to acquire Island Creek, but it then would have to divest the Curtis Bay Company, which owns and operates Island Creek's Bayside coal export terminal. The settlement would also require Consol to obtain FTC approval before making any similar acquisition for the next ten years. See Consol, Inc., Docket No. C-3460, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,416.

113. The Commission accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement with Imperial Chemical Industries, (ICI) PLC, and two of its wholly-owned subsidiaries that would settle charges that their proposed acquisition of certain assets of E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company (duPont) would substantially lessen competition in the U.S. market for the manufacture and sale of acrylic plastic. The proposed settlement would allow the transaction to proceed, but would require ICI to divest a predetermined acrylic-plastic manufacturing capacity by selling one of the three U.S. plants it currently owns to an FTC approved purchaser, and to provide the buyer with technical assistance, for a period of 18 months, if necessary, to compete in the market. The settlement also would require ICI to obtain FTC approval before making any similar acquisition of acrylic businesses or assets for ten years. See Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC, File No. 921 0099, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,417.

114. The Commission accepted for public comment a proposed consent agreement with Dominican Santa Cruz Hospital and Catholic Healthcare West (CHW) that would settle charges that the acquisition of AMI-Community Hospital may have substantially reduced competition in acute-care hospital services in Santa Cruz County, California, disadvantaging patients, physicians and purchasers of health care coverage. The agreement would prohibit CHW and Dominican, for a period of 10 years, from acquiring all or any part of a general acute-care hospital in Santa Cruz County without the prior approval of the FTC. Also, for 10 years, neither Dominican nor CHW would be permitted to sell any hospital or substantial part of a hospital that they own or operate in Santa Cruz County unless the acquirer agrees to be bound by the FTC order. See Dominican Santa Cruz Hospital, File No. 901 0069, Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) [1987-1993 Transfer Binder FTC Complaints and Orders] ¶ 23,345.

c) District Court Actions

115. Dr Pepper/Seven-Up and Harold Honickman v. FTC, No. 91-2712 (D.D.C.), No. 92-5308 (D.C. Cir.) is a suit seeking review of a Commission decision denying prior approval for Harold Honickman to acquire the assets of Seven-Up Brooklyn Bottling Co., pursuant to the terms of a consent order. The complaint was filed on October 22, 1991. On July 20, 1992, the district court granted the Commission's motion for summary judgment, affirming the Commission's decision to deny prior approval for the acquisition. On April 16, 1993, the court of appeals affirmed in part and reversed in part the district court's decision, and remanded the case to the Commission for further consideration of the plaintiffs' "failing firm" defense. In December, 1993, the parties advised the district court that they had reached a settlement in principle of the litigation.

116. Dr Pepper/Seven-Up and Harold Honickman v. FTC, No. 92-2760 (D.D.C.) is a suit, filed December 9, 1992, seeking review of a Commission decision denying prior approval for Harold Honickman to acquire assets of the New York Seven-Up Bottling Company in Manhattan, Bronx, and Westchester counties in New York State. On September 30, 1993, the district court entered a decision holding that the Commission's consent order did not cover the acquisition in question and enjoining the Commission from enforcing its order against the acquisition. The Commission filed a notice of appeal. In December, 1993, the parties advised the district court that they had reached a settlement in principle of the litigation.

3) *Business Reviews Conducted by the Department of Justice*

117. In 1993 the Antitrust Division responded to 20 requests for review of written business proposals, clearing 16. The four requests raising antitrust concerns are summarized below.

118. On May 24, 1993, the Antitrust Division declined to state that it would not challenge a proposal submitted by the Fisherman's Marketing Association, Inc. (FMA) of Eureka, California to extend membership to Canadian owners and captains of Canadian trawling vessels catching seafood in waters subject to Canadian jurisdiction. The Division explained that under the Fishermen's Collective Marketing Act there is a limited antitrust exemption available to fishermen who catch seafood in waters within the United States' jurisdiction, but that the exemption is not available to Canadian fishermen catching seafood within waters subject to Canadian jurisdiction. Furthermore, the Department said that if the FMA extended its membership to Canadian fishermen who catch seafood in waters subject to Canadian jurisdiction, it would lose its exemption from the antitrust laws.

119. In an October 1, 1993, letter to the Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association (PMA) the Division declined to state that it would not challenge a proposal that would have the effect of limiting individual pricing decisions among the more than 100 companies that develop, produce and market most of the prescription drugs sold in the United States. Under the proposal, each participating member company would agree to limit the annual increase in the average change in the prices of its prescription drug products to a level not greater than the annual increase in the consumer price index. The Division stated that the arrangement would fall within the types of agreements that the Supreme Court has held to be *per se* illegal, and that an agreement among independent competitors to restrain individual pricing decisions falls within that category of agreements.

120. On November 15, 1993, the Division declined to state that it would not challenge the South Suburban Bar Association's proposal to conduct a survey of fees charged by its member attorneys and law firms. Under the proposal, the Association would conduct a survey of the general hourly billing rates and fees currently charged by its members or their firms for services in 15 areas of law. The Association would then report to its members a range of high and low fees and hourly billing rates reported for each practice area. The Division stated that the Association failed to propose safeguards to protect against the possibility of anticompetitive behavior, including keeping the survey responses anonymous or ensuring that some association members would not have access to data submitted by other association members.

121. In a letter dated September 7, 1993, the Division recommended to the Pennsylvania Insurance Department that it disapprove a proposal by Blue Cross of Western Pennsylvania permitting it to insert a rate limitation clause in its contracts with hospitals that would entitle Blue Cross to rates no higher than the lowest rates price a hospital had negotiated with its private health plan providers. Blue Cross proposed the rate limitation clause after it found that some managed-care health plans with fewer patients were paying hospitals lower rates than those paid by Blue Cross at those hospitals. The Division advised Blue Cross that its proposal would likely raise costs for acute-care hospital services and health care insurance by limiting the ability of competing insurers to negotiate lower rates.

122. Annual digests of Business Review letters may be obtained from the Division. See Section V.A. for information on making requests for public documents.

IV. Regulatory and trade policy matters

A. Regulatory Policies

1) DOJ Activities with Respect to Federal Regulatory Matters

123. The Division's competition advocacy program includes appearances before regulatory agencies where proposals or other actions could significantly affect competition. The number of such appearances has diminished in recent years, as a result of deregulation. Moreover, with many sectors now operating under market conditions, the Division has shifted some of its resources from regulatory review to enforcement. For those sectors still under significant federal regulatory control, the Division continues to function in its traditional role of competition advocate. In 1993, for example, the Division commented: on the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) proposal to modify the regulation of electricity transmission pricing; on FERC's proposed steps in the deregulation of oil pipelines; on the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) proceeding to develop an appropriate regulatory framework for the licensing and regulatory treatment of wireless telephonic devices (personal communications services); and on the Department of Agriculture's proceedings to review its marketing-order programs.

124. The Division continues to devote a large share of its regulatory review resources to issues involving telecommunications. In addition to its participation in matters involving competition that arise before the Federal Communications Commission, the Division reviews numerous requests by the Bell Operating Companies (BOCs) for waivers from the AT&T consent decree. The Division is a regular participant in an

interagency process to develop and implement the President's plan for a comprehensive telecommunications infrastructure.

125. In 1993 the Division reviewed three applications submitted under the Export Trading Company Act and its implementing regulations and concurred in the issuance of three certificates. The goods and services covered by the certificates included fruit, metals, and trade facilitation services.

2) *FTC Activities with Respect to Regulatory and State Legislative Matters*

126. The Commission, in fulfilling its competition and consumer protection mission, seeks to prevent or lessen consumer injury that may be caused by private or governmental activities that interfere with the proper functioning of the marketplace. In some instances, laws, regulations or self-regulatory standards may injure consumers by restricting entry, protecting market power, chilling innovation, limiting competitive responses of firms or wasting resources. The goal of the advocacy program, therefore, is to reduce such possible harms to consumers by advising appropriate governmental and self-regulatory entities of the potential effects on consumers, both positive and negative, of proposed legislation or rulemaking.

127. Advocacy comments on antitrust issues are prepared by the Staffs of the Bureaus of Competition and Economics, and the ten Regional Offices under the general supervision of the Office of Consumer and Competition Advocacy. The Office of Consumer and Competition Advocacy is the central source of planning, coordination, review and information for the staff's work in this area. In calendar year 1993, the Commission staff submitted comments or amicus briefs to federal, state and self-regulatory entities on antitrust-related issues in such areas as telecommunications and health.

a) *Federal Agencies*

128. The Bureau of Economics filed comments in response to an FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking concerning proposals to revise price cap rules for AT&T. The comment, based on a BE study of the extent to which individual firms, and particularly AT&T, could exert market power for long distance services, supported proposals that would remove price caps from, and streamline FCC regulation of, optional long distance calling plans and commercial long distance services. Staff suggested that some benefits could include savings in administrative costs, expedition of new services and price reductions, a decrease in regulatory delay, an increase in flexible pricing, competition and incentives to initiate pro-consumer price and service changes. Staff also submitted the same BE study in a second FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, seeking comment on an AT&T petition to remove its classification as a "dominant" carrier. AT&T requested to be classified as a "nondominant" carrier and regulated in the same manner as its interexchange competitors. Staff asked that the FCC consider the BE study in its deliberations on this issue.

129. The Bureau of Economics filed comments in response to an FCC Second Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to extend to "switched access" service some rules about rates and access recently adopted for "special access" service. The rules would increase competition to the local transport element of the switched access market by requiring certain local exchange carriers (LECs) to offer expanded opportunities to interconnect with their switched access networks to provide for interstate switched transport. FTC staff supported the proposal, suggesting that permitting non-LEC firms to provide local transport services, combined

with requiring LECs to provide the local loop access to end users necessary to complete long distance calls, would benefit consumers, and that permitting LECs greater flexibility to price their services according to their costs will help ensure efficient entry.

130. The Bureau of Economics filed comments in response to an FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on a proposal to require that television receivers be capable of receiving both standard National Television Systems Committee (NTSC) broadcasts and the new advanced television (ATV) signals. Staff suggested that requiring television manufacturers to produce "dual-mode" television receivers capable of both NTSC and ATV reception during the transition period prior to full conversion to ATV is undesirable. Such a requirement may harm consumers by limiting their choices and forcing them to purchase equipment they would not otherwise purchase. Staff concluded that consumers' interests can best be served by permitting the production of different types of television receivers, so that consumers can choose for themselves the equipment they prefer when viewing ATV broadcasts.

b) States

131. The Chicago Regional Office submitted comments to the Illinois State Senate on a bill to amend the Illinois Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center Act. The bill would authorize a pilot program to establish alternative health care facilities, birth centers and postsurgical recovery care centers, for relatively healthy patients who are receiving treatments that are not expected to lead to complications. Birth centers would specialize in childbirth services for healthy mothers without complications, and would only require a maximum stay of 24 hours. Postsurgical recovery care centers would provide recovery care for generally healthy patients undergoing surgical procedures that require a maximum stay of up to 72 hours. Staff suggested that the bill may alter the competitive relationships among different providers, but could also affect the nature and quality of care consumers receive by providing new ways of offering services to consumers. Thus, staff supported the bill, concluding that it would allow innovations in health care delivery to demonstrate whether they could increase competition and efficiency and lower prices.

132. The Boston Regional Office testified before the Joint Standing Committee on Business Legislation of the Maine House of Representatives on a bill that would amend Maine's laws governing optometry. The bill would permit an optometrist to locate in a mercantile establishment, but would still retain restrictions on employment and other commercial relationships. Staff supported the bill, but advised that some remaining restraints may still inhibit forms of providing services that might increase competition and benefit consumers.

133. The Office of Consumer and Competition Advocacy submitted comments to the Massachusetts Division of Registration on proposed changes to Massachusetts' laws governing the practice of optometry. The comment focused on the proposed rules that affect the settings in which optometrists may practice. The proposed regulations would permit optometrists to practice in mercantile locations where optical goods are sold, as long as no contract or other arrangement gave a nonprofessional control over matters requiring professional judgment, no referral fees were involved, and "separate facilities" requirements were met. Staff observed that the proposal to permit optometrists to locate within and lease space from optical goods stores or other mercantile establishments could lead to greater competition and to efficiencies in operation that could benefit consumers; however, potentially significant constraints remain in place. Staff advised that the "separate facilities" requirements may continue to impose some costs, and the ban on employment by non-professionals could prevent some potentially efficient forms of collaboration.

134. The New York Regional Office submitted comments in response to a request from the New Jersey Board of Medical Examiners on its advertising regulations concerning specialty certification. The regulations

currently prohibit physicians from advertising board certification in a specialty unless the certifying agency is recognized by the Board of Medical Examiners. Staff noted that programs to certify competence can help consumers differentiate among professionals, because they convey information about the services being offered and the professional's recognized competence. However, staff recommended that programs to regulate advertising of certifications should help ensure that claims about certification are not deceptive and that they do not unnecessarily deny consumers information about a certification that is true and not deceptive. Staff also suggested that the Board consider some regulation, short of a complete ban, such as a disclaimer, on advertising of certification by entities not on the approved list.

135. The Office of Consumer and Competition Advocacy submitted comments in response to a request from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of North Dakota on Senate Bills 2295 and 2426, which would authorize certain cooperative agreements among hospitals or other health care providers and immunize those agreements from antitrust liability. FTC staff recommended caution in proceeding with the legislation, questioning whether granting antitrust immunity is necessary to achieve the goals sought. According to the comment, statutory antitrust exemptions could permit behavior that injures consumers and the economy because it may eliminate competition and harm consumers' interests without producing clear countervailing benefits. Rather, staff supported competition as being an important factor in bringing about beneficial change in how health care services are delivered to consumers. To ensure that the agreements, once authorized, continue to operate as intended, staff recommended that measures be taken to make it easier to terminate agreements that fail to achieve specified goals.

136. The Office of Consumer and Competition Advocacy submitted comments in response to a request from the South Carolina Legislative Audit Council on the statutes and regulations of the Boards of Optometry and Opticianry, Dentistry, Psychology, Speech and Audiology, Physical Therapy, Podiatry, and Occupational Therapy. For optometry and opticianry, the staff recommended removing prohibitions against offering eye examinations as premiums, discounts or bonuses, using positions with professional organizations for advertising purposes, locating optometric offices in commercial locations, displaying licenses, diplomas or certificates where they are visible outside optometric offices, and claims of superiority. Staff also suggested that mandatory price advertising disclosures be removed. For dentists, the staff recommended that referral fee controls not impair legitimate provider arrangements, and called for lifting certain restraints on how dentists may represent themselves in advertisements. Staff also suggested that the Council consider a more flexible "general supervision" approach for dental hygienists. For several professions, the staff recommended removing prohibitions on guarantees, or statements implying unique or unusual abilities. Staff cautioned the Board of Psychologists that the American Psychological Association's ethical principles contained provisions that could lead to significant competition problems.

137. The Cleveland Regional Office testified before the Committee on Health Policy and Senior Citizens of the Michigan State Senate on a bill that would remove Michigan's current prohibition against cross-ownership between funeral establishments and cemeteries. Staff supported the bill, but suggested that those aspects of the legislation that prohibit the offer of bundled goods and services at a discount may prevent the achievement of efficiencies, if broader than necessary to prevent harm to competition. The Chicago Regional Office submitted comments to the Wisconsin State Assembly on two proposals to amend the Wisconsin statutes regulating the licensing and operation of funeral establishments and cemeteries in Wisconsin. One proposal would ban joint operation and bar anyone from operating a funeral establishment that is located in or next to

a cemetery. Staff supported an alternate proposal that would eliminate such restrictions concluding that permitting joint ownership or operation could make possible new business formats and improvements in efficiency, which might in turn lead to lower prices and better service to consumers.

138. Staff submitted comments to South Carolina, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Montana on "any willing provider" requirements that would limit the ability of several kinds of health benefit plans to arrange for services through contracts with providers, by requiring that services be available through any provider willing to meet the plan's terms. Staff suggested that the proposals may have the unintended effect of denying consumers the advantages of cost-reducing arrangements and limiting their choices in the provision of health care services.

B. Department of Justice Trade Policy Activities

139. The Division is extensively involved in interagency discussions and decision-making with respect to the formulation and implementation of U.S. international trade policy. The Division participates in interagency trade policy discussions chaired by the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative and is a participant in the trade policy activities of the National Economic Council (NEC), a cabinet-level advisory group. The Division provides antitrust and other legal advice to U.S. trade negotiators and heads interagency discussion on the relationship of trade policy and competition policy, including the role, if any, of competition policy and enforcement principles in multilateral trade instruments. Both DOJ and FTC participate in bilateral and multilateral discussions and work projects to improve cooperation in the enforcement of competition laws.

140. The Division represents the Department on the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS), an interagency group chaired by Treasury that advises the President on enforcement of the Exon-Florio provision, a 1988 statute that permits the President to block or suspend foreign acquisitions of U.S. assets that "threaten to impair the national security."

141. The Department and the FTC have an extensive program to provide technical assistance in antitrust development to countries with emerging market economies. In addition to advancing the adoption of competition policies that incorporate sound economic principles and effective enforcement mechanisms, these programs create long-term cooperative relationships with policy and enforcement officials in the countries involved.

V. New studies related to antitrust policy

A. Antitrust Division Economic Analysis Group Discussion Papers

93-1 Hay, George A., and Werden, Gregory J., "Horizontal Mergers: Law, Policy, and Economics," EAG 93-1, January 25, 1993; shorter version published in *83 American Economic Review, Pap. and Proc.* 173 (1993).

93-2 Froeb, Luke M., Koyak, Robert A., and Werden, Gregory J., "What is the Effect of Bid-

Rigging on Prices?" EAG 93-2, January 28, 1993; forthcoming in *Economics Letters*.

- 93-3 Nye, William W., "Some Economic Issues in Licensing of Music Performance Rights: Controversies in Recent ASCAP-BMI Litigation," EAG 93-3, February 1, 1993.

- 93-4 Werden, Gregory J. and Froeb, Luke M., "The Effects of Mergers in Differentiated Products Industries: Logit Demand and Structural Merger Policy," EAG 93-4, August 23, 1993.
- 93-5 Froeb, Luke M., Werden, Gregory J., and Tardiff, Timothy J., "The Demsetz Postulate and the Effects of Mergers in Differentiated Products Industries," EAG 93-5, August 24, 1993.
- 93-6 Malueg, David A. and Schwartz, Marius, "Parallel Imports, Demand Dispersion, and International Price Discrimination," EAG 93-6, August 25, 1993.
- 93-7 Alexander, Cindy R. and Reiffen, David, "Vertical Contracts as Strategic Commitments," EAG 93-7, August 30, 1993.
- 93-8 Kodres, Laura E. and O'Brien, Daniel P., "The Existence of Pareto Superior Price Limits," EAG 93-8, September 1, 1993.
- 93-9 Brennan, Timothy J., "Is Cost-of-Service Regulation Worth the Cost?" EAG 93-9, September 10, 1993.
- 93-10 Ordovery, Janusz A. and Pittman, Russell W., "Restructuring the Polish Railway for Competition," EAG 93-10, September 13, 1993.
- 93-11 Raskovich, Alex, "Vertical Control with Costly Free-Riding," EAG 93-11, September 14, 1993.

The work of the Department's Economic Advisory Group and other public materials may be obtained through the public information unit of the Division's Office of Operations. Requests should be directed to Ms. Janie Ingalls, Room 3233 Main Justice, 10th and Constitution, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530. Ms. Ingalls can be reached by telephone at 202-514-2481.

B. Commission Economic Reports, Economic Working Papers and Miscellaneous Studies

Although the Commission is primarily a law enforcement agency, it also collects, analyzes and publishes information about various aspects of the nation's economy. This work is done by the Bureau of Economics, and consists of studies on a broad array of topics relating to antitrust, consumer protection and regulation. A list of FTC studies that are available to the public is provided below. Studies may be obtained from the Federal Trade Commission, Division of International Antitrust, 601 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington.

1) Economic Reports

Resale Price Maintenance: An Economic Study of the FTC's Case Against Corning Glass Works, Pauline Ippolito and Thomas Overstreet, December 1993. The report is a case study of the use of resale price maintenance in a market where the traditional "free-rider" efficiency rationale for RPM does not seem to apply. A stock market event study approach is used comparing the stock price movements of Corning and its rivals

around the time of key points in the case which took place in the early 1970s. The authors conclude that Corning likely used RPM to enhance its distribution of consumer glass products. This conclusion flows from the empirical analysis indicating that the stock market reactions and changes in sales following the events are not consistent with any of the theories that characterize RPM as an anticompetitive device.

2) *Working Papers*

Telecommunications Bypass and the "Brandon Effect", (WP#199), Steven G. Parsons and Michael R. Ward, February 1993.

Fight, Fold or Settle?: Modeling the Reaction to FTC Merger Challenges, (WP#200), Malcolm Coate, Andrew Kleit, and Rene Bustamante, February 1993.

Product Variety and Consumer Search, (WP#201), Jeffrey H. Fischer, February 1993.

3) *Miscellaneous Studies*

Economies of Scale, Scope of Integration, Richard A. D'Aveni and David J. Ravenscraft, December 1992.

Core Competencies and Cost Structure: A Study of Line of Business-Level Competitive Advantage Associated with Diversification Strategies, Richard A. D'Aveni and David J. Ravenscraft, December 1992.