

bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The applications will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than December 12, 2016.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (David L. Hubbard, Senior Manager) P.O. Box 442, St. Louis, Missouri 63166–2034. Comments can also be sent electronically to

Comments.applications@stls.frb.org:

1. *Northeast Missouri Bancshares, Inc.*, to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of The Mercantile Bank of Louisiana, all of Louisiana, Missouri.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 10, 2016.

Yao-Chin Chao,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2016–27558 Filed 11–16–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire shares of a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank

indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than December 1, 2016.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (Robert L. Triplett III, Senior Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201–2272:

1. *Kemp Family 2016 Trust, Gillespie County, Texas, Brian Daniel Kemp, San Marcos, Texas, Cynthia Susan Kemp, Fredericksburg, Texas, and Daniel Wesley Kemp, Fredericksburg, Texas, as trustees of the Kemp Family 2016 Trust; the Keller Family 2016 Trust, Gillespie, Texas, Stephanie Ann Iglar, San Angelo, Texas, Kory Allen Keller, Fredericksburg, Texas, and Stacy Lynn Loth, Harper, Texas, as trustees of the Keller Family 2016 Trust; the Kathleen Keller 2016 Trust, Blanco County, Texas, Jody Lynn Lapp, Cottonville, Maryland, as trustee of the Kathleen Keller 2016 Trust; and the Kay Durst Family 2016 Trust, Gillespie County, Texas, Kimberly Durst Bonnen, Friendswood, Texas, and Kristy Kay Lejeune, College Station, Texas, as trustees of the Kay Durst Family 2016 Trust;* to join the Bonnen/Durst/Hayne/Iglar/Keller/Kemp/Lejeune/Loth control group, to retain voting shares of Security Holding Company (the "Company"), and indirectly Security State Bank & Trust (the "Bank"), both of Fredericksburg, Texas. In addition, Brian Daniel Kemp, San Marcos, Texas, Cynthia Susan Kemp, Fredericksburg, Texas, and Daniel Wesley Kemp, Fredericksburg, Texas, have applied to acquire shares of the Company and indirectly the Bank.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 10, 2016.

Yao-Chin Chao,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2016–27559 Filed 11–16–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission (FTC or Commission).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The information collection requirements described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The FTC seeks public comments on its proposal to extend, for three years, the current PRA clearance

for its portion of the information collection requirements contained in the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's Regulation O (the Mortgage Assistance Relief Services Rule). The FTC shares enforcement of Regulation O with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB). This clearance expires on January 31, 2017.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 17, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper by following the instructions in the Request for Comments part of the Supplementary Information section below. Write "Regulation O, PRA Comment, FTC File No. P134812" on your comment, and file your comment online at <https://ftcpUBLIC.commentworks.com/ftc/regulationpra> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC–5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for copies of the collection of information and supporting documentation should be addressed to Rebecca Unruh, Attorney, Division of Financial Practices, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., CC–10232, Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–3365.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title X of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank Act"), Public Law 111–203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010), transferred the Commission's rulemaking authority under the mortgage provisions in section 626 of the 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act, as amended,¹ to the CFPB.² On December 16, 2011, the CFPB republished the Mortgage Assistance Relief Services ("MARS") Rule as Regulation O (12 CFR part 1015).³ As a result, the Commission subsequently rescinded its MARS Rule (16 CFR part 322).⁴ Nonetheless, under the Dodd-Frank Act, the FTC retains its

¹ Public Law 111–8, section 626, 123 Stat. 524 (Mar. 11, 2009).

² Dodd-Frank Act, § 1061, 12 U.S.C. 5581 (2010).

³ 76 FR 78130.

⁴ 77 FR 22200 (April 13, 2012).

authority to bring law enforcement actions to enforce Regulation O.⁵

Regulation O contains information requirements that have been approved by OMB under the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* The discussion below details the nature of and justification for the information collection requirements of Regulation O for which the FTC, as a co-enforcer, seeks OMB clearance renewal for its share of the estimated PRA burden.⁶

Disclosure Requirements

In commercial communications for a general audience, MARS providers are required to make the following disclosure:

(1) “(Name of company) is not associated with the government and our service is not approved by the government or your lender”; and

(2) In some instances, that “[e]ven if you accept this offer and use our service, your lender may not agree to change your loan.”

In addition, MARS providers must disclose to consumers, in any subsequent commercial communication directed to a specific consumer, the following information:

(1) That “You may stop doing business with us at any time. You may accept or reject the offer of mortgage assistance we obtain from your lender [or servicer]. If you reject the offer, you do not have to pay us. If you accept the offer, you will have to pay us (insert amount or method for calculating the amount) for our services”;

(2) That “(Name of company) is not associated with the government and our service is not approved by the government or your lender”; and

(3) In some instances, that “[e]ven if you accept this offer and use our service, your lender may not agree to change your loan.”

Furthermore, MARS providers are required to disclose to consumers in all communications in which the provider represents that the consumer should temporarily or permanently discontinue payments, in whole or in part, the following information:

“If you stop paying your mortgage, you could lose your home and damage your credit rating.”

Finally, after a provider has obtained an offer of mortgage assistance relief from the lender or servicer and presented the consumer with a written agreement incorporating the offer, the

MARS provider must disclose the following:

(1) “This is an offer of mortgage assistance relief service from your lender [or servicer]. You may accept or reject the offer. If you accept the offer, you will have to pay us [same amount as disclosed pursuant to § 1015.4(b)(1)] for our services”; and

(2) A description of all “material differences” between the terms, conditions, and limitations of the consumer’s current mortgage and those associated with the offer for mortgage relief, provided in a written notice from the consumer’s lender or servicer.

Regulation O also requires that the disclosures be “clear and prominent,” as defined specific to the media used.⁷

These disclosures are necessary for the following reasons:

- *Non-affiliation with the government or lenders:* Federal and state law enforcement officials have brought numerous law enforcement actions against MARS providers who have misrepresented their affiliation with government agencies or programs, lenders, or servicers, in connection with offering MARS. These providers have used a variety of techniques to create such misimpressions, including advertising under trade names that resemble the names of legitimate government programs. Given that the government, for-profit entities, and nonprofit entities assist financially distressed consumers with their mortgages, and the frequency of deceptive affiliation claims, the requirement that MARS providers disclose their nonaffiliation with the government or with consumers’ lenders or servicers is reasonably related to the goal of preventing deception.

- *Risk of Nonpayment of Mortgage:* Law enforcement experience and the FTC’s rulemaking record for the former MARS Rule demonstrates that MARS providers frequently encourage consumers, often through deception, to stop paying their mortgages and instead pay providers. Consumers who rely on these deceptive statements frequently suffer grave financial harm. Requiring MARS providers who encourage consumers not to pay their mortgages to disclose the risks of following this advice is necessary to prevent deception.

- *Total amount a consumer must pay:* The total cost of MARS is perhaps most material to consumers in making well-informed decisions about whether to purchase those services. Requiring the clear and prominent disclosure of total cost information in every

communication directed at a specific consumer before the consumer enters into an agreement would decrease the likelihood that MARS providers will deceive prospective customers with incomplete, inaccurate, or confusing cost information. Requiring MARS providers to disclose total cost information clearly and prominently is reasonably related to the prevention of deception.

- *Right to accept or reject offer of mortgage assistance:* To effectuate fully the advance fee ban under 12 CFR 1015.5, which prohibits providers from collecting fees until the consumer has accepted the results obtained by the provider, it also is necessary for a MARS provider to inform consumers that they may withdraw from the service and may accept or reject the result delivered by the provider. This disclosure is reasonably related to preventing unfair and deceptive acts and practices by MARS providers.

- *No guarantee:* Law enforcement experience and the FTC’s rulemaking record reveals that MARS providers often misrepresent their likelihood of success in obtaining a significant loan modification for consumers. These deceptive success claims lead consumers to overestimate MARS providers’ abilities to obtain substantial loan modifications or other relief. Requiring MARS providers to inform consumers that lenders might not agree to change consumers’ loans, even if those consumers purchase the services that the MARS provider offers, is reasonably related to the goal of preventing deception.

- *Written Notice from Lender or Servicer:* Based on law enforcement experience and the rulemaking record, providing the consumer with a notice from the consumer’s lender or servicer describing all material differences between the consumer’s current mortgage loan and the offered mortgage relief is essential to consumers’ ability to evaluate whether they should accept the offer. Requiring that the lender or servicer prepare the written disclosure also better ensures that the information provided is consistent with the terms of the offer, and mitigates the risk that MARS providers would mislead consumers about the offer. This disclosure is reasonably related to the goal of protecting consumers from deception.

Recordkeeping Requirements

Regulation O’s recordkeeping requirements pertain to records that are customarily kept in the ordinary course of business, such as copies of contracts and consumer files containing the name

⁵ Dodd-Frank Act, § 1061(b)(5), 12 U.S.C. 5581(b)(5).

⁶ The OMB Control Number for the FTC’s existing PRA clearance associated with Regulation O is 3084–0157.

⁷ See 12 CFR 1015.2, 1015.5.

and address of the borrower and materially different versions of sales scripts and related promotional materials. Thus, the retention of these documents does not constitute a “collection of information,” as defined by OMB’s regulations that implement the PRA.⁸

Burden Statement

Because the FTC and CFPB share enforcement authority for this rule, the FTC is seeking clearance for one-half of the following estimated PRA burden that the FTC attributes to the disclosure and recordkeeping requirements under Regulation O. The potential entities providing MARS services are varied, and there are no ways to formally track them. By extension, there is no clear path to track how many affected individual entities have newly entered and departed from one year to the next or from one triennial PRA clearance cycle to the next. However, based on law enforcement experience and the CFPB’s recent analysis conducted after the MARS Rule was restated as Regulation O, the FTC estimates that Regulation O affects roughly 107 MARS providers.⁹ This estimate informs the additional estimates detailed below.

Estimated annual hours burden: 321 (for the FTC).

The above hours estimate is based on the assumption that compliance with all MARS disclosures requires 6 hours of labor annually.¹⁰ Multiplying this figure by 107 entities yields a total burden of 642 hours, of which 321 hours are attributed to the FTC.¹¹

Estimated associated labor cost: \$10,677 (for the FTC).

Commission staff assumes that a compliance officer or equivalent will prepare the required disclosures for 6 hours annually at an hourly rate of \$33.26.¹² Thus, the estimated labor cost

is \$21,353 (107 providers × 6 hours × \$33.26) of which the FTC assumes half, or \$10,677.

Estimated non-labor cost: \$29,425 (for the FTC).

Based on the CFPB’s analysis, the FTC assumes that each of the estimated 107 MARS providers bears an additional \$550 in material fees for acquiring relevant legal and technical compliance information, for a total additional burden of \$58,850, of which the FTC assumes half, or \$29,425.¹³ Based on law enforcement experience, the FTC assumes that any disclosures will likely be made electronically and thus will not generate additional non-labor costs such as printing and distribution.

Request for Comments

Under the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3521, federal agencies must obtain approval from OMB for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. “Collection of information” means agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. 44 U.S.C. 3502(3); 5 CFR 1320.3(c). As required by section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, the FTC is providing this opportunity for public comment before requesting that OMB extend the existing paperwork clearance for the regulations noted herein.

Pursuant to Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, the FTC invites comments on:

- (1) Whether the disclosure and recordkeeping requirements are necessary, including whether the information will be practically useful;
- (2) the accuracy of our burden estimates, including whether the methodology and assumptions used are valid;
- (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information. All comments should be filed as prescribed in the **ADDRESSES** section above, and must be received on or before January 17, 2017.

You can file a comment online or on paper. Write “Regulation O, PRA Comment, FTC File No. P134812” on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the public Commission Web site, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtml>. As a matter of

discretion, the Commission tries to remove individuals’ home contact information from comments before placing them on the Commission Web site.

Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive personal information, such as a Social Security number, date of birth, driver’s license number or other state identification number or foreign country equivalent, passport number, financial account number, or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, do not include any “[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which is . . . privileged or confidential,” as discussed in section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). In particular, do not include competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

If you want the Commission to give your comment confidential treatment, you must file it in paper form, with a request for confidential treatment, and you must follow the procedure explained in FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c). Your comment will be kept confidential only if the FTC General Counsel grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest. Postal mail addressed to the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security screening. As a result, the Commission encourages you to submit your comments online. To make sure that the Commission considers your online comment, you must file it at <https://ftcpublishcommentworks.com/ftc/regulationpra> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If this Notice appears at <http://www.regulations.gov>, you also may file a comment through that Web site.

If you file your comment on paper, write “Regulation O, PRA Comment, FTC File No. P134812” on your comment and on the envelope, and mail it to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC–5610, (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610,

⁸ 5 CFR 1320.3(b)(2).

⁹ See Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Supporting Statement (Jul. 23, 2015), available at http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewDocument?ref_nbr=201507-3170-002; OMB Control No: 3170–0007, clearance expires on Sept. 30, 2018.

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ *Id.* Both the FTC and CFPB attribute the significant drop in burden hours from prior estimates to several factors, including the lack of one-time startup costs associated with new entrants into the market (since there are not expected to be any new market entrants in the next three years), the lack of rule modification, and a reduction in the estimated number of MARS providers. The decrease in the estimated number of MARS providers is consistent with Regulation O’s causing a reduction in purported providers of mortgage relief services who were not in fact providing legitimate relief services, causing overestimation.

¹² This estimate is based on the mean hourly wage for a Compliance Officer provided by the Bureau of

Labor Statistics in its Table entitled “National employment and wage data from the Occupational Employment Statistics survey by occupation, May 2015.”

¹³ See *supra* note 9.

(Annex J), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before January 17, 2017. You can find more information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, in the Commission's privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

David C. Shonka,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2016-27701 Filed 11-16-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-17-17ZQ; Docket No. CDC-2016-0107]

Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice with comment period.

SUMMARY: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), as part of its continuing efforts to reduce public burden and maximize the utility of government information, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This notice invites comment on Zika Virus Associated Neurologic Illness Case Control Study. This collection intends to identify potential risk factors for the development of severe neurologic illnesses using a case-control investigation.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before January 17, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CDC-2016-0107 by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Leroy A. Richardson, Information Collection Review Office,

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE., MS-D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and Docket Number. All relevant comments received will be posted without change to Regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to Regulations.gov.

Please note: All public comment should be submitted through the Federal eRulemaking portal (Regulations.gov) or by U.S. mail to the address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, contact the Information Collection Review Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE., MS-D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329; phone: 404-639-7570; Email: omb@cdc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. In addition, the PRA also requires Federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each new proposed collection, each proposed extension of existing collection of information, and each reinstatement of previously approved information collection before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, we are publishing this notice of a proposed data collection as described below.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to

generate, maintain, retain, disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; to develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; to train personnel and to be able to respond to a collection of information, to search data sources, to complete and review the collection of information; and to transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Proposed Project

Zika Virus Associated Neurologic Illness Case Control Study—New—National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases (NCEZID), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

There is an urgent public health need to understand the potential association between neurological illness and Zika Virus (ZIKV) infection. Currently, increased numbers of neurologic illness cases have been reported in ZIKV-affected contexts, but it is not known if this is due to ZIKV, another etiologic agent, or some combination/interaction thereof. The Puerto Rico Department of Health (PRDH) is establishing neurologic illness surveillance and defining baseline incidence toward investigating the association between neurologic illness and ZIKV infection in Puerto Rico. More broadly, the results of this investigation would be relevant to other ZIKV-affected contexts, serving toward enabling clinical and/or public health action to manage and prevent additional cases.

A case-control investigation will be conducted to identify potential risk factors for the development of neurological illness. As part of the investigation, blood specimens will be collected from cases and matched controls to evaluate for antibodies against several pathogens known to cause neurological illness (*e.g.*, influenza) or pathogens hypothesized to contribute to this illness cluster (*e.g.*, ZIKV, dengue virus, chikungunya virus, HIV, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Leptospira* species bacteria).

This information collection request is a continuation on the work begun under the following Emergency Clearance: OMB 0920-1106 (Expiration date 9/30/16). Specifically, beginning in March 2016, CDC collaborated with the PRDH on the collection of very similar data for a Guillain-Barre syndrome case-control