

ORIGINAL



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

)	
In the Matter of)	
)	
POLYPORE INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)	Docket No. 9327
Respondent.)	
)	

**ORDER ON NON-PARTY THE MOORE COMPANY'S SECOND
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SEEK *IN CAMERA* TREATMENT**

I.

On April 24, 2009, non-party The Moore Company submitted a motion seeking an extension of time until May 6, 2009 to seek *in camera* treatment ("Motion"). The Moore Company represents that Complaint Counsel does not object to the requested extension of time and that Respondent does not object to an extension of time, but has not indicated a position as to the requested date of May 6, 2009. As set forth below, the Motion is GRANTED in part.

II.

By Order dated April 14, 2009, a previous motion for extension of time by The Moore Company was granted and Respondent was directed to inform The Moore Company, by April 17, 2009, with specificity, which documents Respondent intended to introduce at trial. The Moore Company was directed to file its motion for *in camera* treatment for such documents, not including affidavits, by April 24, 2009.


The Moore Company states that, on April 17, 2009, Respondent identified over 160 individual Bates ranges of documents, consisting of approximately 1566 pages of evidence. The Moore Company argues that the sheer volume of documents designated by Respondent rendered it impossible for The Moore Company to comply with the one week deadline for seeking *in camera* treatment, in part due to the strict standards governing *in camera* applications and the level of detail and specificity required to support such applications. The Moore Company also states that, on April 23, 2009, Respondent informed The Moore Company that it had further narrowed its proposed trial designations.

III.

Upon consideration of the arguments raised in The Moore Company's motion for an extension of time to file its motion for *in camera* treatment, it is GRANTED in part. The Moore

Company shall file its motion for *in camera* treatment by May 1, 2009. Any oppositions to The Moore Company's motion for *in camera* treatment shall be filed by May 7, 2009.

ORDERED:



D. Michael Chappell
Administrative Law Judge

Date: April 28, 2009