Effects of Consumer Testimonials in Weight Loss, Dietary Supplement and Business Opportunity Advertisements

Report submitted to Federal Trade Commission

Manoj Hastak, Ph.D. Michael B. Mazis, Ph.D.

September 22, 2004

Effects of Consumer Testimonials in Weight Loss, Dietary Supplement and Business Opportunity Advertisements

Introduction

A research study was designed to investigate the effects on consumers of testimonials in

print advertisements. Some of the questions addressed in the study were:

- Do consumers interpret testimonials to imply that the product will enable new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists in the advertisement?
- Do consumers interpret testimonials to imply that the product will enable a substantial proportion of new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists in the advertisement? In other words, do testimonials communicate "typicality"?
- Do disclosures moderate the effects of testimonials on communication of these messages?

Method

Sixteen hundred and twenty-four (1624) interviews were conducted in 12 geographically diverse markets: Arlington, VA; Austin, TX; Boston, MA; Chicago, IL; Colorado Springs, CO; Edison, NJ; Los Angeles, CA; Poughkeepsie, NY; Schenectady, NY; Orlando, FL; Toledo, OH; and Wichita, KS. Respondents were exposed to an ad for a fictitious brand of either a weightloss program (WeightGuard), a dietary supplement for lowering cholesterol, (Cardio Guard), or a business opportunity (Vending Solutions). (See Appendix A for the ads.) Five hundred and eighty-two (582) interviews were conducted for the weight loss program; 522 interviews were conducted for the dietary supplement, and 520 interviews were conducted for the business opportunity (vending machine business). Interviews were conducted in shopping malls between November 2002 and February 2003. The study was "double blind"; neither the interviewers nor the respondents were aware of the identity of the client or the purpose of the study. Respondents were paid \$2.00 for their participation in the study.

<u>Design</u>

The study consisted of two sub-designs -- one for the weight loss program and the other for the dietary supplement and business opportunity. In the sub-design for the weight loss program, all respondents saw an advertisement consisting of five testimonials by individuals who stated that they had experienced weight loss using WeightGuard. Two variables were manipulated: the amount of weight loss claimed by the testimonialists (two levels: 24-36 pounds and 48-72 pounds) and the disclosure included in the ad (four levels: no disclosure, "Results not typical," "These testimonials are based on the experiences of a few people. You are not likely to have similar results," and "The average WeightGuard user loses about 10 pounds in three months").¹ In addition, there was one "no numbers" condition: the testimonialists reported results that were not quantified (e.g., "WeightGuard has made a difference. I have lost weight in the short time since I started.") and there was no accompanying disclosure.

In the sub-design for the dietary supplement and business opportunity, the average cholesterol loss claimed was 60 points and the average money made was \$2400 per month, respectively. Four variables were manipulated: product type (two levels: dietary supplement and business opportunity), testimonial message (two levels: single testimonial and five testimonials), variance in results reported by the five testimonialists (two levels: low variance

¹ The disclosures were prominently displayed in the ads, the lettering was in red, bold, 14 point type, and a red asterisk was used in the text of the ad to call attention to the disclosure.

and high variance),² and the disclosure included in the ad (two levels: no disclosure and "Results not typical"). There were four additional conditions (two for each product): two "company assertion" conditions involving a claim made by the company (e.g., "Daily use of Cardio Guard lowers cholesterol levels by 60 points") without testimonials; and two "no numbers" conditions in which five testimonialists reported results that were not quantified (e.g., "Thank you, thank you, thank you! My cholesterol has gone down."). There was no disclosure in any of these four conditions.

Screening Procedure

Interviewers used a "screening" questionnaire, which consisted of questions to determine whether potential respondents were qualified to participate in the study (Appendix B). Potential respondents were excluded from participation if they or members of their households worked for an advertising agency, a public relations firm, a marketing research firm, or a store in the shopping mall. In addition, potential respondents were excluded if they had participated in a marketing research survey other than a political poll in the past six months. They were also excluded if they wore eyeglasses or contact lenses for reading but did not have their corrective eye wear with them at the time of the interview.

Potential respondents were further screened with some product-specific questions. For the weight loss program, potential respondents had to have tried to lose weight or gone on a diet in the last 12 months and used a weight-loss product, plan, or program. In addition, potential respondents were excluded if they or members of their households worked for a store or

 $^{^2}$ In the low variance condition, the testimonials all claimed similar results (cholesterol lowering ranged from 54 to 66 points; money making ranged from \$2200 to \$2600 per month). In the high variance condition, the testimonials varied more (cholesterol lowering ranged from 30 to 90 points; money making ranged from \$1200 to \$3600).

company that markets a weight-loss product, medication, or program. For the dietary supplement product, potential respondents had to have been "concerned" or "very concerned" about their cholesterol level and to have done something to help lower or maintain their cholesterol level. In addition, potential respondents were excluded if they or members of their households worked for a store or company that markets pharmaceutical products or were in the health care or medical field. For the business opportunity, potential respondents either had to currently operate or be interested in operating a small business. In addition, potential respondents were excluded if they or a company that markets new business opportunities or were in the accounting/financial services field.

Age and gender quotas were established for each of the three products based on demographic data about the potential target audience. For the weight-loss program, the age quota was half 18-44 years old and half 45 years and older, and the gender quota was one-third male and two-thirds female. For the cholesterol-lowering dietary supplement, the age quota was one-third 18-44 years old and two-thirds 45 years and older, and the gender quota was half male and half female. For the business opportunity, the age quota was half 18-44 years old and half 45 years and older, and the gender quota was two-thirds male and one-third female.

Those potential respondents who were qualified to participate in the study based on their responses to the screening questionnaire and who satisfied the age/sex quotas were administered the main questionnaire.

Main Questionnaire

The main questionnaires for the three products followed the same format (Appendix C). Respondents were interviewed individually. They were given a one-page print advertisement for a hypothetical product and asked to "read it carefully and let me know when you are finished." The advertisement was then removed from sight. Then, respondents were asked a series of open-ended and closed-ended ad communication questions. Respondents were told "If you don't know an answer, that's o.k., just say "I don't know."

Results

Weight Loss Program

Ad Communication

Respondents exposed to an ad for the weight loss program were asked the following

question:

Q3. Did or didn't what you just read suggest anything about the number of pounds that new users of WeightGuard can expect to lose in 3 months?

Respondents who said "yes, it did" were then asked a follow-up question:³

Q3a. What was suggested about the number of pounds that new users of WeightGuard can expect to lose in 3 months?

Respondents who gave a non-numerical response (e.g., "a lot of weight") to Q3a were asked an

additional question:

Q3b. Could you be more specific about the number of pounds that new users of WeightGuard can expect to lose in 3 months?

For respondents who gave a single numerical response to Q3a or Q3b (e.g., "30

pounds"), that numerical response was used as a measure of ad communication. For respondents

who gave multiple numerical responses to Q3a or Q3b (e.g., "30 to 36 pounds"), the lowest

³ Of the 514 respondents who were exposed to the WeightGuard ad with testimonials that were quantified, 419 (81.5%) said "yes, it did" to Q3 and therefore, were asked Q3a. Similar results were obtained for the dietary supplement and business opportunity ads. For all three products, there were no differences in the % of "yes, it did" responses for respondents in the "no disclosure" conditions as compared to respondents in the "results not typical" and "experiences of a few" disclosure conditions.

numerical response was used as a conservative measure of ad communication.

Table 1 presents the findings. For each treatment condition, the table shows the percentage of respondents indicating that the ad suggested that new users of WeightGuard can expect to lose at least a specific amount of weight (at least 10 pounds, at least 20 pounds, etc.) in three months. These results show that:

- The ads communicated to a substantial percentage of respondents that the advertised product would enable new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists in the advertisements. For example, in the absence of a disclosure, 58% of the respondents exposed to the 24-36 pound weight loss ad with multiple testimonials indicated the ad communicated that new users of the product could expect to lose at least 24 pounds. In addition, in the absence of a disclosure, 69% of the respondents exposed to the 48-72 pound weight loss ad with multiple testimonials indicated the ad communicated that new users of the product could expect to lose at least 24 pounds.
- The "results not typical" and "experiences of a few" disclosures did not significantly reduce these proportions for the 24-36 pound ad.⁴ Although both disclosures reduced these proportions significantly for the 48-72 pound ad, a substantial proportion of respondents still took a significant weight loss claim. For example:
 - 55% of respondents exposed to the "results not typical" disclosure indicated the 48-72 pound ad communicated that new users could expect to lose at least 48 pounds.
 - 48% of respondents exposed to the "experiences of a few" disclosure indicated the 48-72 pound ad communicated that new users could expect to lose at least 48 pounds.
- The data were quite different for the "average 10 pounds" disclosure. It was more effective than the other two disclosures in reducing the percentage of respondents saying that the advertised product would enable new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists in the advertisements. For example, the percentage of respondents exposed to the "average 10 pounds" disclosure in the 24-36 pound ad who said that new users could expect to lose at

⁴ Each treatment condition or cell had about 65 respondents. Therefore, differences between treatment conditions may not have achieved statistical significance because of these modest cell sizes.

least 24 pounds (38%) was substantially less than for the other two disclosure conditions (75% and 76%). There were similar results for the 48-72 pound ad; the percentage of respondents exposed to the "average 10 pounds" disclosure who said new users could expect to lose at least 48 pounds was 15% compared to 55% and 48% for the other two disclosure conditions. However, the data also show that a substantial proportion of respondents still took claims of weight loss significantly higher than the 10 pounds mentioned in the disclosure. For example:

- 42% respondents exposed to the "average 10 pounds" disclosure in the 24-36 pound ad said that new users could expect to lose at least 20 pounds.
- 27% respondents exposed to the "average 10 pounds" disclosure in the 48-72 pound ad said that new users could expect to lose at least 20 pounds

Communication of Typicality

Respondents who indicated that the ad communicated a quantitative weight loss claim

(i.e., said "yes" to Q3) and provided a single numerical response were then asked the "typicality"

question for the numerical response they provided (Q3c). Those respondents who provided more

than one numerical response were asked the "typicality" question for the lowest and highest

numbers they provided (Q3d and Q3e):

Q3c/d/e: Based on what was suggested, how many new users of WeightGuard can expect to lose at least _____ pounds in three months?

Respondents were provided with the following response options: "all," "almost all," "most," "about half," "some," "very few," "none," or "don't know or not sure."

Table 2 presents the data for the "typicality" measure. The table shows, for each treatment condition, the percentage of respondents indicating that the ad suggested that all/almost all/most/about half of new users of WeightGuard can expect to lose at least a specific amount of weight (at least 10 pounds, at least 20 pounds, etc.). These results show that:

• A substantial proportion of the respondents exposed to the 24-36 pound weight loss ad without any disclosure said it communicated that at least half of new users

could expect to lose 24 pounds or more (40%). Similarly, a substantial proportion of the respondents exposed to the 48-72 pound weight loss ad without any disclosure said it communicated that at least half of new users could expect to lose 48 pounds or more (36%).

- The "results not typical" and "experiences of a few" disclosures did not significantly lower these proportions (compared to the corresponding "no disclosure" conditions) for the 24-36 pound ad. While the "results not typical" and "experiences of a few" disclosures lowered these proportions somewhat (compared to the corresponding "no disclosure" conditions) for the 48-72 pound ad, in only 2 out of 22 comparisons in Table 2 was the difference statistically significant. Furthermore, despite the disclosures, consumers took away the message that the advertised products would enable at least half of new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists. For example, 44% of the respondents exposed to the 24-36 pound weight loss ad with the "results not typical" disclosure said it communicated that at least half of new users could expect to lose 24 pounds or more and 23% of the respondents exposed to the 48-72 pound weight loss ad with the "experiences of a few" disclosure said it communicated that at least half of new users said it communicated that at least half of new users could expect to lose 48 pounds or more.
- The "average 10 pounds" disclosure tended to be more effective than the other two disclosures in reducing the percentage of respondents saying that the advertised product would enable at least half of new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists in the advertisements. For example, the percentage of respondents exposed to the "average 10 pounds" disclosure in the 24-36 pound ad who said that the ad communicated that at least half of new users could expect to lose 24 pounds or more (26%) was substantially less than for the "results not typical disclosure" (44%) but not for the "experiences of a few" disclosure (38%). Also, the percentage of respondents exposed to the "average 10 pounds" disclosure in the 48-72 pound ad who said that the ad communicated that at least half of new users could expect to lose 48 pounds or more (3%) was substantially less than for the other two disclosure conditions (23% and 28%).

Opinions

Although not a focus of the study, the effects of testimonials on consumers' opinions

were also examined. All respondents, regardless of their responses to the communication and/or

typicality questions, were told:

So far, I have asked you some questions about what the ad said or suggested. Now I'd like to ask you some questions concerning your

personal opinion about WeightGuard.

Respondents were then asked the following question:

Q6: In your opinion, how many pounds, on average, would you expect new users of WeightGuard to lose in three months?

The response options were: less than 10 pounds, about 10 pounds, about 20 pounds, ..., about

100 pounds, more than 100 pounds, other, and don't know or not sure.

Table 3 presents the findings. For each treatment condition, the table shows the

percentage of respondents who stated their opinion that new users of WeightGuard can expect to

lose at least a specific amount of weight (10 pounds or more, 20 pounds or more, etc.) in three

months. These results show that:

- In the absence of a disclosure, 67% of the respondents exposed to the 24-36 pound weight loss ad with multiple testimonials expressed the opinion that new users of WeightGuard could expect to lose at least 20 pounds. In addition, in the absence of a disclosure, 39% of the respondents exposed to the 48-72 pound weight loss ad with multiple testimonials opined that new users of the product could expect to lose at least 40 pounds.
- The "results not typical" and "experiences of a few" disclosures did not significantly reduce these proportions for either the 24-36 pound ad or the 48-72 pound ad.
- The "average 10 pounds" disclosure was more effective than the other two disclosures in reducing the percentage of respondents who expressed the opinion that WeightGuard would enable new users to lose weight. However, substantial proportions of respondents (more than 40%) still opined that new users would lose significantly more than the 10 pounds mentioned in the disclosure.

Dietary Supplement and Business Opportunity

Measures of ad communication, "typicality," and opinions for the dietary supplement and

business opportunity were similar to those for the weight loss program. Whereas the

questionnaire for the weight loss program referred to number of pounds, the dietary supplement

questionnaire referred to number of points of cholesterol and the business opportunity

questionnaire referred to dollars earned.

Ad Communication

Table 4 presents the data for the ad communication measures. These results show that:

- The ads communicated to a substantial percentage of respondents that the advertised products would enable new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists in the advertisements. In the absence of a disclosure, 58% of the respondents exposed to the 60-point single testimonial cholesterol ad indicated the ad communicated that new users of the product could expect to lower their cholesterol by at least 60 points. Similarly, in the absence of a disclosure, 78% of the respondents exposed to the \$2400 single testimonial business opportunity ad indicated the ad communicated that new users of the product could expect to earn at least \$2400.
- In comparison to the single testimonial cholesterol ad without a disclosure, the company assertion ad resulted in a greater proportion of respondents indicating that new users could expect to lower their cholesterol by at least 60 points (58% vs. 86%). However, the proportion of respondents indicating that new users of the product could expect to earn at least \$2400 was similar for the single testimonial business opportunity ad and the company assertion ad (78% vs. 85%).
- The multiple testimonial cholesterol ads and the multiple testimonial business opportunity ads also show that, in the absence of a disclosure, the ads communicated that the advertised products would enable new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists in the advertisements. For example:
 - 70% of the respondents exposed to the 54-66 point multiple testimonial cholesterol ad indicated the ad communicated that new users of the product could expect to lower their cholesterol by at least 54 points. In addition, 80% of the respondents exposed to the 30-90 point multiple testimonial cholesterol ad indicated the ad communicated that new users of the product could expect to lower their cholesterol by at least 30 points.
 - 64% of the respondents exposed to the \$2200-\$2600 multiple testimonial business opportunity ad indicated the ad communicated that new users of the product could expect to earn at least \$2200. In addition, a substantial proportion (75%) of the respondents exposed to the \$1200-\$3600 multiple testimonial business opportunity ad indicated the ad communicated that new users of the product could expect to earn at least \$1200.

• The "results not typical" disclosure did not significantly reduce these proportions for either the single or the multiple testimonial ads for either product.

Communication of Typicality

Table 5 shows the results for "typicality." These data show that:

- In the absence of a disclosure, 33% of the respondents exposed to the 60-point single testimonial cholesterol ad indicated the ad communicated that at least half of new users of the product could expect to lower their cholesterol by at least 60 points. In addition, in the absence of a disclosure, 40% of the respondents exposed to the \$2400 single testimonial business opportunity ad indicated the ad communicated that at least half of new users of the product could expect to earn at least \$2400.
- In comparison to the single testimonial cholesterol ad without a disclosure, the company assertion ad resulted in a greater proportion of respondents indicating that at least half of new users could expect to lower their cholesterol by at least 60 points (33% vs. 65%). However, the proportion of respondents indicating that at least half of new users of the product could expect to earn at least \$2400 was similar for the single testimonial business opportunity ad and the company assertion ad (40% vs. 48%).
- The multiple testimonial cholesterol ads and the multiple testimonial business opportunity ads also show that, in the absence of a disclosure, consumers take away the message that the advertised products would enable at least half of new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists in the advertisements. For example:
 - 33% of the respondents exposed to the 54-66 point multiple testimonial cholesterol ad indicated the ad communicated that at least half of the new users of the product could expect to lower their cholesterol by at least 54 points. Similarly, 58% of the respondents exposed to the 30-90 point multiple testimonial cholesterol ad indicated the ad communicated that at least half of the new users of the product could expect to lower their cholesterol by at least 30 points.
 - 33% of the respondents exposed to the \$2200-\$2600 multiple testimonial business opportunity ad indicated the ad communicated that at least half of the new users of the product could expect to earn at least \$2200. Similarly, 40% of the respondents exposed to the \$1200-\$3600 multiple testimonial business opportunity ad indicated the ad communicated that at least half of the new users of the product could expect to earn at least \$1200.

• The "results not typical" disclosure did not significantly reduce these proportions for either the single or the multiple testimonial ads for either product.

Opinions

Table 6 presents the findings for the opinion question. These results show that:

- In the absence of a disclosure, about 22% of the respondents exposed to the 60point single testimonial cholesterol ad expressed the opinion that new users of the product could expect to lower their cholesterol by at least 60 points. Similarly, in the absence of a disclosure, about 29% of the respondents exposed to the \$2400 single testimonial business opportunity ad expressed the opinion that new users of the product could expect to earn at least \$2400.
- In comparison to the single testimonial cholesterol ad without a disclosure, the company assertion ad resulted in a greater proportion of respondents opining that new users could expect to lower their cholesterol by at least 60 points (38% vs. 22%). However, in comparison to the single testimonial business opportunity ad without a disclosure, the company assertion ad resulted in a smaller proportion of respondents opining that new users could expect to earn at least \$2400 (12% vs. 29%).
- At least a third of the respondents exposed to the multiple testimonial cholesterol ads without a disclosure expressed the opinion that the advertised products would enable new users to lower their cholesterol by at least 30 points (38% of the respondents exposed to the 30-90 point ad, and 77% of those exposed to the 54-66 point ad). Similarly, at least half of the respondents exposed to the multiple testimonial business opportunity ads without a disclosure opined that the advertised products would enable new users to earn at least \$1200 (52% of respondents exposed to the \$1200-\$3600 ad, and 63% of those exposed to the \$2200-\$2600 ad).
- With rare exception, the "results not typical" disclosure did not significantly reduce these proportions for either the single or the multiple testimonial ads for either product.

<u>Summary</u>

The results of this study indicate that:

• Consumer testimonials communicated to a substantial percentage of consumers that the advertised products would enable new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists in the advertisements for those products (ad communication).

- Consumer testimonials communicated to a substantial percentage of consumers that the advertised products would enable a substantial proportion (half or more) of new users to achieve results similar to those portrayed by the testimonialists in the advertisements for those products ("typicality").
- Two of the disclosures ("results not typical" and "experiences of a few") failed, in most cases, to significantly reduce these effects on ad communication and "typicality." A third disclosure ("average 10 pounds") significantly reduced, in most cases, ad communication and typicality effects.

Table 1 - Communication Resultsfor Weight Loss Ads

	No numbers	24-36 lbs No disclosure	24-36 lbs "Results not typical"	24-36 lbs "Average 10 lbs"	24-36 lbs "Experiences of a few"	48-72 lbs No disclosure	48-72 lbs "Results not typical"	48-72 lbs "Average 10 lbs"	48-72 lbs "Experiences of a few"
Sample size	n=64*	n=62	n=68	n=65	n=66	n=67	n=65	n=62	n=62
at least 10 lbs	21.88%	70.97%	80.88%	89.06%	83.33%	82.09%	65.15%	96.77%	66.13%
at least 20 lbs	14.06%	69.35%	79.41%	41.54%	81.82%	82.09%	66.15%	27.42%	66.13%
at least 24 lbs	12.50%	58.06%	75.00%	38.46%	75.76%	82.09%	66.15%	25.81%	62.90%
at least 30 lbs	9.38%	51.61%	60.29%	27.69%	68.18%	80.60%	64.62%	25.81%	62.90%
at least 36 lbs	7.81%	14.52%	23.53%	10.77%	15.15%	74.63%	61.54%	19.35%	54.84%
at least 40 lbs	7.81%	4.84%	10.29%	1.54%	3.03%	74.63%	61.54%	19.35%	54.84%
at least 48 lbs	4.69%	3.23%	7.35%	0.00%	0.00%	68.66%	55.38%	14.52%	48.39%
at least 50 lbs	4.69%	3.23%	5.88%	0.00%	0.00%	59.70%	46.15%	12.90%	43.55%
at least 60 lbs	4.69%	3.23%	4.41%	0.00%	0.00%	49.25%	32.31%	9.68%	32.26%
at least 70 lbs	3.13%	1.61%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	41.79%	20.00%	6.45%	20.97%
at least 72 lbs	3.13%	1.61%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	20.90%	7.69%	3.23%	6.45%

* Of the 64 respondents, 16 replied "yes" to the question "Did or didn't what you just read suggest anything about the number of pounds new users of WeightGuard can expect to lose in 3 months?" 39 replied "no," and 9 replied "don't know" or "not sure."

Table 2 - Typicality Results for Weight Loss Ads for those who said "all," "almost all," "most," or "about half"

	No numbers	24-36 lbs No disclosure	24-36 lbs "Results not typical"	24-36 lbs "Average 10 lbs"	24-36 lbs "Experiences of a few"	48-72 lbs No disclosure	48-72 lbs "Results not typical"	48-72 lbs "Average 10 lbs"	48-72 lbs "Experience s of a few"
Sample size	n=64*	n=62	n=68	n=65	n=66	n=67	n=65	n=62	n=62
at least 10 lbs	17.19%	46.77%	48.53%	72.31%	43.94%	49.25%	38.46%	74.19%	35.48%
at least 20 lbs	9.38%	46.77%	48.53%	30.77%	42.42%	49.25%	38.46%	16.13%	35.48%
at least 24 lbs	7.81%	40.32%	44.12%	26.15%	37.88%	49.25%	38.46%	14.52%	32.26%
at least 30 lbs	6.25%	33.87%	32.53%	13.85%	28.79%	47.76%	36.92%	14.52%	32.26%
at least 36 lbs	6.25%	6.45%	7.35%	4.62%	6.06%	40.30%	35.38%	8.06%	24.19%
at least 40 lbs	6.25%	1.61%	5.88%	0.00%	3.03%	40.30%	35.38%	8.06%	22.58%
at least 48 lbs	3.13%	0.00%	5.88%	0.00%	0.00%	35.82%	27.96%	3.23%	22.58%
at least 50 lbs	3.13%	0.00%	5.88%	0.00%	0.00%	29.85%	24.62%	3.23%	20.97%
at least 60 lbs	3.13%	0.00%	4.41%	0.00%	0.00%	16.42%	18.46%	3.23%	11.29%
at least 70 lbs	3.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	10.45%	9.23%	1.61%	3.23%
at least 72 lbs	3.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	4.48%	6.15%	0.00%	1.61%

* Of the 64 respondents, 16 replied "yes" to the question "Did or didn't what you just read suggest anything about the number of pounds new users of WeightGuard can expect to lose in 3 months?" 39 replied "no," and 9 replied "don't know" or "not sure."

Table 3 - Opinion Results for Weight Loss Ads

	No numbers	24-36 lbs No disclosure	24-36 lbs "Results not typical"	24-36 lbs "Average 10 lbs"	24-36 lbs "Experiences of a few"	48-72 lbs No disclosure	48-72 lbs "Results not typical"	48-72 lbs "Average 10 lbs"	48-72 lbs "Experiences of a few"
Sample size	n= 64	n= 63	n= 68	n= 65	n= 67	n= 67	n= 65	n= 62	n= 62
at least 10 lbs	81.25%	87.30%	85.29%	86.15%	91.04%	94.03%	92.38%	93.55%	91.94%
at least 20 lbs	50.00%	66.67%	66.18%	46.15%	64.18%	86.57%	83.15%	41.94%	80.65%
at least 30 lbs	17.19%	33.33%	41.18%	12.31%	29.85%	62.69%	52.38%	20.97%	50.00%
at least 40 lbs	7.81%	1.59%	10.29%	3.08%	1.49%	38.81%	29.30%	9.68%	24.19%
at least 50 lbs	3.13%	1.59%	5.88%	1.54%	0.00%	19.40%	20.07%	8.06%	14.52%
at least 60 lbs	1.56%	1.59%	2.94%	0.00%	0.00%	5.97%	3.15%	3.23%	4.84%

Table 4 - Communication Resultsfor Dietary Supplement (Cholesterol) and Business Opportunity Ads

	Company assertion 60 points	Single testimonial 60 points No disclosure	Single testimonial 60 points "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials No numbers	Multiple testimonials 54-66 points No disclosure	Multiple testimonials 54-66 points "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials 30-90 points No disclosure	Multiple testimonials 30-90 points "Results not typical"
Sample size	n=66	n=64	n=63	n=65*	n=64	n=66	n=64	n=67
at least 20 points	86.36%	64.06%	77.78%	3.08%	85.94%	83.33%	79.69%	79.10%
at least 30 points	86.36%	60.94%	77.78%	1.54%	85.94%	83.33%	79.69%	77.61%
at least 40 points	86.36%	60.94%	77.78%	1.54%	84.38%	81.82%	67.19%	68.66%
at least 50 points	86.36%	57.81%	76.19%	1.54%	79.69%	81.82%	54.69%	61.19%
at least 54 points	86.36%	57.81%	74.60%	1.54%	70.31%	75.76%	53.13%	61.19%
at least 60 points	86.36%	57.81%	74.60%	1.54%	60.94%	69.70%	53.13%	61.19%
at least 66 points	3.03%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	21.21%	43.75%	56.72%
at least 70 points	3.03%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6.25%	7.58%	43.75%	56.72%
at least 80 points	3.03%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.13%	1.52%	34.38%	34.33%
at least 90 points	1.52%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.56%	1.52%	34.38%	34.33%

Dietary Supplement (Cholesterol Ads)

Business Opportunity Ads

	Company assertion \$2,400	Single testimonial \$2,400 No disclosure	Single testimonial \$2,400 "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials No numbers	Multiple testimonials \$2,200-2,600 No disclosure	Multiple testimonials \$2,200-2,600 "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials \$1,200-3,600 No disclosure	Multiple testimonials \$1,200-3,600 "Results not typical"
Sample size	n=65	n=65	n=68	n=64**	n=61	n=62	n=65	n=64
at least \$800	89.23%	84.62%	69.12%	6.25%	83.61%	83.87%	81.54%	81.25%
at least \$1,200	89.23%	81.54%	69.12%	4.69%	81.97%	82.26%	75.38%	75.00%
at least \$1,600	87.69%	81.54%	69.12%	4.69%	81.97%	80.65%	66.15%	67.19%
at least \$2,000	87.69%	81.54%	69.12%	4.69%	81.97%	80.65%	56.92%	62.50%
at least \$2,200	86.15%	78.46%	69.12%	3.13%	63.93%	61.29%	52.31%	62.50%
at least \$2,400	84.62%	78.46%	67.65%	3.13%	50.82%	48.39%	52.31%	62.50%
at least \$2,600	3.08%	1.54%	0.00%	1.56%	26.23%	24.19%	43.08%	50.00%
at least \$ 2,800	1.54%	1.54%	0.00%	1.56%	1.64%	3.23%	41.54%	48.44%
at least \$ 3,000	1.54%	1.54%	0.00%	1.56%	1.64%	3.23%	41.54%	48.44%
at least \$ 3,200	0.00%	1.54%	0.00%	1.56%	0.00%	1.61%	21.54%	18.75%

- * Of the 65 respondents, 10 replied "yes" to the question "Did or didn't what you just read suggest anything about the number of points by which new users of Cardio Guard can expect to lower their cholesterol?" 46 replied "no," and 9 replied "don't know" or "not sure."
- ** Of the 64 respondents, 4 replied "yes" to the question "Did or didn't what you just read suggest anything about the number of dollars per month new individuals who start a Vending Solutions business can expect to earn?" 55 replied "no," and 5 replied "don't know" or "not sure."

Table 5 - Typicality Results for Dietary Supplement (Cholesterol) and Business Opportunity Ads for those who said "all," "almost all," "most," or "about half"

	Company assertion 60 points	Single testimonial 60 points No disclosure	Single testimonial 60 points "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials No numbers	Multiple testimonials 54-66 points No disclosure	Multiple testimonials 54-66 points "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials 30-90 points No disclosure	Multiple testimonials 30-90 points "Results not typical"
Sample size	n=66	n=64	n=63	n=65*	n=64	n=66	n=64	n=67
at least 20 points	65.15%	35.94%	31.75%	1.54%	57.81%	51.52%	57.81%	52.24%
at least 30 points	65.15%	34.38%	31.75%	0.00%	57.81%	51.52%	57.81%	50.75%
at least 40 points	65.15%	34.38%	31.75%	0.00%	54.69%	50.00%	37.50%	31.34%
at least 50 points	65.15%	32.81%	30.16%	0.00%	53.13%	48.48%	26.56%	20.90%
at least 54 points	65.15%	32.81%	28.57%	0.00%	32.81%	39.39%	25.00%	19.40%
at least 60 points	65.15%	32.81%	28.57%	0.00%	23.44%	30.30%	25.00%	19.40%
at least 66 points	1.52%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	4.69%	6.06%	14.06%	17.19%
at least 70 points	1.52%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.56%	1.52%	14.06%	17.91%
at least 80 points	1.52%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	10.94%	8.96%
at least 90 points	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	10.94%	8.96%

Dietary Supplement (Cholesterol Ads)

Business Opportunity Ads

	Company assertion \$2,400	Single testimonial \$2,400 No disclosure	Single testimonial \$2,400 "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials No numbers ¹	Multiple testimonials \$2,200-2,600 No disclosure	Multiple testimonials \$2,200-2,600 "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials \$1,200-3,600 No disclosure	Multiple testimonials \$1,200-3,600 "Results not typical"
Sample Size	n=65	n=65	n=68	n=64**	n=61	n=62	n=65	n=64
at least \$800	50.77%	44.62%	27.94%	4.96%	49.18%	46.77%	46.15%	35.94%
at least \$1,200	50.77%	41.54%	27.94%	3.13%	47.54%	45.16%	40.00%	28.13%
at least \$1,600	49.23%	41.54%	27.94%	3.13%	47.54%	43.55%	26.15%	20.31%
at least \$2,000	49.23%	41.54%	27.94%	3.13%	47.54%	43.55%	16.92%	14.06%
at least \$2,200	49.23%	40.00%	26.47%	1.56%	32.79%	35.48%	16.92%	14.06%
at least \$2,400	47.69%	40.00%	26.47%	1.56%	22.95%	17.74%	16.92%	14.06%
at least \$2,600	1.54%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	11.48%	9.68%	12.31%	10.94%
at least \$ 2,800	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.61%	12.31%	9.38%
at least \$ 3,000	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.61%	12.31%	9.38%
at least \$ 3,200	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.61%	4.62%	3.13%

- * Of the 65 respondents, 10 replied "yes" to the question "Did or didn't what you just read suggest anything about the number of points by which new users of Cardio Guard can expect to lower their cholesterol?" 46 replied "no," and 9 replied "don't know" or "not sure."
- ** Of the 64 respondents, 4 replied "yes" to the question "Did or didn't what you just read suggest anything about the number of dollars per month new individuals who start a Vending Solutions business can expect to earn?"
 55 replied "no," and 5 replied "don't know" or "not sure."

Table 6 - Opinion Resultsfor Dietary Supplement (Cholesterol) and Business Opportunity Ads

	Company assertion	Single testimonial 60 points No disclosure	Single testimonial 60 points "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials No numbers	Multiple testimonials 54-66 points No disclosure	Multiple testimonials 54-66 points "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials 30-90 points No disclosure	Multiple testimonials 30-90 points "Results not typical"
Sample size	n= 66	n= 64	n= 63	n= 65	n= 65	n= 66	n= 64	n= 69
at least 10 points	84.85%	87.50%	87.30%	80.00%	84.62%	84.85%	76.56%	91.30%
at least 20 points	84.85%	81.25%	71.43%	56.92%	81.54%	75.76%	70.31%	82.61%
at least 30 points	84.85%	64.06%	50.79%	29.23%	76.92%	66.67%	37.50%	71.01%
at least 40 points	84.85%	40.63%	34.92%	18.46%	66.15%	51.52%	25.00%	46.38%
at least 50 points	46.97%	34.38%	31.75%	10.77%	53.85%	42.42%	4.69%	33.33%
at least 60 points	37.88%	21.88%	22.22%	4.62%	21.54%	19.70%	3.13%	18.84%
at least 70 points	4.55%	4.69%	0.00%	1.54%	4.62%	0.00%	1.56%	10.14%

Dietary Supplement (Cholesterol Ads)

Business Opportunity Ads

	Company assertion	Single testimonial \$2,400 No disclosure	Single testimonial \$2,400 "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials No numbers	Multiple testimonials \$2,200-2,600 No disclosure	Multiple testimonials \$2,200-2,600 "Results not typical"	Multiple testimonials \$1,200-3,600 No disclosure	Multiple testim onials \$1,200-3,600 "Results not typical"
Sample size	n= 65	n= 65	n= 68	n= 64	n= 62	n= 63	n= 67	n= 66
at least \$400	80.00%	75.38%	79.41%	62.50%	80.65%	87.30%	86.57%	69.70%
at least \$800	64.62%	63.08%	64.71%	39.06%	75.81%	74.60%	65.67%	48.48%
at least \$1,200	49.23%	53.85%	39.71%	20.31%	62.90%	57.14%	52.24%	28.79%
at least \$1,600	35.38%	35.38%	27.94%	14.06%	46.77%	47.62%	28.36%	13.64%
at least \$2,000	18.46%	30.77%	25.00%	12.50%	38.71%	39.68%	19.40%	9.09%
at least \$2,400	12.31%	29.23%	17.65%	7.81%	9.68%	17.46%	5.97%	7.58%
at least \$2,800	1.54%	1.54%	1.47%	3.13%	4.84%	6.35%	2.99%	7.58%