UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

CIVIL NO. 1:97 CV 0131

Plaintiff,

v.

IMAGE SALES & CONSULTANTS, INC., a corporation, and MICHAEL DEWAYNE DAGUE, individually and as an officer of said corporation,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), for rits complaint alleges as follows:

The Commission brings this acti on under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C.
§ 53(b), to obtain preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against the defendants to prevent them fro mengaging in deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and to obtain other equitable relief, including rescission, restitution and disgorgement, as is necessary to redress injury to consumers and the public interest resulting from defendants' violations of the FTC Act.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b)and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 , 1337(a), and 1345.

3. Venue in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana is proper under 15 U.S.C.
§ 53(b), as amended by the FTC Act Amendments of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103-312, 108 Stat. 1691, and 28 U.S.C.
§ 1391(b) and (c).

PLAINTIFF

4. Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission, is independent agency of the United States Governmen created by statute. 15 U.S.C. § 41 et sea. The Commission enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptiv acts or practices in or affecting commerce. Th е Commission may initiate federal district proceedings to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and t secure such other equitable rel ief as may be appropriate in each case, including redress and disgorgement 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

DEFENDANTS

5. Defendant Image Sales & Consult ants, Inc., is an Indiana corporation with its principal places of business at 366 0

East Paulding Road, Fort Wayne, Indiana, 46816. Imag e Sales & Consultants, Inc., also does business as Imag e Marketing Company, ABC Sales & Marketing, Teens Against Drugs & Alcohol, Drug & Alcohol Control Handbook, Fir e Prevention Awareness, Fire Prevention & Safety Coloring

Book, Fire Safety Advisor, Fire Prevention Informer, Fir e Prevention Advisor, Drug Abuse Prevention, Child Safety Digest, Child Safety Activity Guide, Childrens Safet y Network, Childrens Safety Protection, Crime Watch Advisor, Crime Watch Awareness, Crime Prevention n Informer, Police Safety Digest, Labor Digest, and possibly under other d/b/a's. Image Sales & Consultants, Inc., transacts business in this District.

6. Defendant Michael Dewayne Dague is the President and sol e shareholder of Image Sales & Consultants, Inc. At all times material to this complaint, individually or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of the corporate defendant, including the acts and practice set forth in this complaint. He transacts business in this District.

COMMERCE

7. At all times relevant to this c omplaint, defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the e FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

8. Since at least 1994, defendants have engaged in a progra m of misrepresentation targeted at public-spirite d businesses in many states. Defendants solici t

businesses, including many small merchants, participate in defendants' programs by advertising i publications defendants claim to publish and distribute. Defendants represent that advertising sponsorship fo their publications, which have titles such as T Drugs & Alcohol and Fire Prevention & Safety Awareness Coloring BOOK, provides a meaningful opportunity for businesses to support important causes in their communities, such a child safety and preventing drug abuse. As furthe r inducement for businesses to purchase advertising defendants tell businesses that publications containing their advertising will be widely distributed in thei r local communities or that publications containing their advertising will be distributed to local organization specially targeted audiences and within thei r communities.

- 9. Contrary to defendants' representations, publication so containing the businesses' advertisements are not widely distributed in the businesses' community nor provided to local organizations or specially targeted audiences.
- 10. In addition to misrepresenting the distribution of the publications, defendants often obtain payment by misrepresenting to the business that it has already ordered the advertisement. This misrepresentation is conveyed in several ways; in numerous instances: (1)

defendants telephone a business and misrepresent to the business that an advertisement it agreed to place i defendants' publication is prin ted and must be paid for, though the business has never agreed to a n advertisement; (2) defendants obtain the name of а person at the business, and mis represent that the person has previously authorized the advertisement; and (3) defendants convey the misrepresentation advertisement has been ordered by sending an air bil 1 Cash on Delivery (C.O.D.) to the business. У businesses pay the COD and, upon opening the air bill discover an invoice for an advertisement in one o f defendants' publications that they never authorized businesses, convinced by the above misrep Many that they must have pre-approved th resentations advertisement, pay the defendants.

- 11. If a business refuses to pay for unordered advertising, defendants frequently threaten to turn the business' s bill over to a collection agency for collection action, or threaten to take legal action to collect payment. Many businesses are convinced by these threats and pay the bill.
- 12. Defendants' representations have caused individua l businesses to pay hundreds and even thousands of dollars for advertising in defendants' publications.

COUNT ONE

- 13. In numerous instances, in connection with the offerin g for sale and sale of advertisements, defendants hav e represented, expressly or by implication, that:
 - (a) the proceeds from the advertisements will b e used for a local, civic purpose, or to defray the cost of printing and distributing th e publications locally; and
 - (b) the publication in which the ad vertising is to appear will receive widespread loca l distribution, or will be distributed t o community organizations or specially targeted audiences within business's loca 1 the community.
- 14. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances:
 - (a) the proceeds for the advertisements are no to used for a local, civic purpose, or to defray the cost of printing and disseminating the publications locally; and
 - (b) the publication in which the ad vertising is to appear does not receive widespread loca 1 distribution and is not distributed to community organizations or specially targeted

audiences within the business's loca l community.

15. Therefore, defendants' representations set forth i reparagraph 13 are false and misleading and constitut deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT TWO

- 16. In numerous instances, in connection with the offering for sale and sale of advertisements, defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that the business or a named person acting on behalf of the business previously authorized placement of the advertising in defendants' publications.
- 17. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, the busines so or a named person acting on behalf of the business dinot previously authorize placem ent of the advertising in defendants' publications.
- 18. Therefore, defendants' representation set forth in paragraph 16 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT THREE

- 19. In numerous instances in connection with the offering for sale and sale of advertisements, defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that defendant so incurred the cost of printing the publication in reliance on the business's authorization of and promise to pay for the advertisement.
- 20. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, defendants have not incurred the cost of printing the publication i reliance on the business's authorization of and promise to pay for the advertisement.
- 21. Therefore, defendants' representations set forth i n paragraph 19 are false or misleading and constitut e deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT FOUR

- 22. In numerous instances in connection with the offering for sale and sale of advertisements, defendants have sent businesses C.O.D. packages containing bills for such advertisements and have threatened to take action to collect payment of such bills, thus representing, expressly or by implication, that businesses have ordered the advertisements billed to them by defendants.
- 23. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, businesses have not ordered the advertisements billed to them b y defendants.

24. Therefore, defendants' representations set forth i n paragraph 22 are false or misleading and constitut e deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

CONSUMER INJURY

25. Defendants' violations of Section 5 of the FTC Act, a set forth above, have caused and continue to cause substantial injury to consumers, namely the businesse set defrauded. Absent injunctive relief by this Court , defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

26. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empower s this Court to issue a permanent injunction agains t defendants' violations of the FTC Act and, in the exercise of its equitable juris diction, grant such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of the FTC Act, including restitution and disgorgement of unjust enrichment.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this section, as authorized by Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C.

§ 53(b), and pursuant to its own equitable powers:

- 1. Award the Commission all temporary and preliminary injunctive and ancillary relie for that may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action, and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including, but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions, appointment of a receiver, and an order freezing each defendant's assets.
- 2. Permanently enjoin the defendants fro m violating the FTC Act as alleged in thi s complaint;
- 3. Award all relief that the Court find somecessary to remedy the defendants' violations of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, including, but not limited to, the refund of monies paid and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and
- 4. Award the Commission the costs of bringin g this action, as well as any other equitable e relief that the Court may deter mine to be just and proper.

DATE:	Res	spect.fully	z submitted,

STEPHEN CALKINS General Counsel Federal Trade Commission

JOHN M. MENDENHALL Acting Director

Cleveland Regional Office Federal Trade Commission

BRINLEY H. WILLIAMS

Ohio Bar No. 0011793

DANA C. BARRAGATE

Ohio Bar No. 0065748

GERALD C. ZEMAN

Ohio Bar No. 0055386

MICHAEL MILGROM

Ohio Bar No. 0012959

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Federal Trade Commission

668 Euclid Avenue, Suite 520-A

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3006

(216) 522-4210

FAX: (216) 522-7239

- 11 -