Case 1:15-cv-02115-EGS Document 451 Filed 04/25/16 Page 1 of 104



## FTC, et al. v. Staples, Inc., et al. Closing Argument







#### April 19, 2016



#### Defendants' Bid Data Show Likelihood of Significant Harm to Competition





### Staples Was Office Depot's and OfficeMax's Closest Competitor Before the 2013 Merger



Source: PX0001, ODP & OMX Presentation to FTC, at 021 (Sept. 2013)



Customers Tangibly Benefit From the Head-to-Head Competition Between Staples and Office Depot



See e.g., O'Neill (AEP) Hrg. 341:9-16; Moise (Fifth Third Bank) Hrg. 913:24-914:8; Wilson (Select Medical) Hrg. 1017:12-25; Meester (Best Buy) Hrg. 1204:18-1205:8; Wright (HPG) Hrg. 1896:9-1898:14, 1901:2-16

4



#### Hypothetical Monopolist Test ("HMT") Depends on a Threshold Recapture Rate

• Using 5% price increase, HMT is satisfied if:

 $\frac{Recapture\ Rate}{Profit\ Margin+10\%}$ 

• Profit Margin estimates range = 6% to 6%





- 1. Defendants' bid data show likelihood of significant harm to competition
- 2. Office Depot admitted in 2013 that Staples was its closest competitor
- 3. Customers tangibly benefit from head-to-head competition between Staples and Office Depot
- 4. The hypothetical monopolist test confirms the market is properly defined



- **1. Plaintiffs Properly Defined the Relevant Market**
- 2. Evidence Establishes Significant Increase in Post-Merger Concentration
- 3. Evidence Consistently Predicts a Likelihood of Significant Harm to Competition
- 4. Defendants Failed to Establish That Entry or Expansion Would Be Timely, Likely, or Sufficient
- 5. Defendants Failed to Establish Proposed Fix or Efficiencies Would Save This Merger

## **Plaintiffs Satisfied the Legal Standard**

- Clayton Act § 7 prohibits mergers the effect of which "<u>may</u> <u>be</u> substantially to <u>lessen competition</u>, or to tend to create a monopoly"
  - The standard requires an assessment of the merger's likely impact on immediate and future competition, and "doubts are to be resolved against the transaction" (Brown Shoe, 370 U.S. at 332-33; Elders Grain, 868 F.2d at 906)\*
- FTC "is not required to *establish* that the proposed merger would in fact violate section 7 of the Clayton Act" (Heinz, 246 F.3d at 714; Staples, 970 F. Supp. at 1071)
  - "[T]he government need only show that there is a reasonable probability that the challenged transaction will substantially impair competition" (Sysco, 113 F. Supp. 3d at 22; see also Staples, 970 F. Supp. at 1072)

### 13(b) Preliminary Injunction Standard Is Well-Settled

- Preliminary injunction should issue when it would be in the public interest, as determined by:
  - Weighing the equities, and
  - Considering the FTC's likelihood of success on the merits
- § 13(b) enacted to make preliminary relief "broadly available to the FTC" (Heinz, 246 F.3d at 714; see also Sysco, 113 F. Supp. 3d at 22)
- "The equities will often weigh in favor of the FTC because 'the public interest in effective enforcement of the antitrust laws' was Congress's specific 'public equity consideration' in enacting Section 13(b)" (CCC Holdings, 605 F. Supp. 2d at 35)

Case 1:15-cv-02115-EGS Document 451 Filed 04/25/16 Page 10 of 104

# 1. Plaintiffs Properly Defined the Relevant Market







#### Non-Substitutes Are Clustered for Analytical Convenience

"Thus, whether considered separately or together, the picture of this merger is the same. We, therefore, agree with the District Court's conclusion that in the setting of this case to subdivide the shoe market further on the basis of 'age/sex' distinctions would be 'impractical' and 'unwarranted.""

Brown Shoe, 370 U.S. at 327-28







### Defendants' Admission: Competitive Conditions Differ for Ink and Toner

<ul> <li>Major MPS pr</li> </ul>	ograms for large customers include:		
OEM	Description	Examples of Large Gustomers	
47	HP's printing business, which includes MPS, generated \$23.0 billion in 2014; global MPS business grew by double digits in 2013; more than 3,100 MPS customers globally <sup>1</sup>	Delta Airlines, DirecTV, Keybank, L'Oreal, Merck, The Walt Disney Company	
LEXMARK	MPS segment grew 16% in '14 and 22% in '13; renewal rate for MPS customers was 95% FY'14 and 100% FY '13; 23 MPS contracts with companies listed on Fortune 500 <sup>2</sup>	Associated Bank, Columbia Sportswear, Cox Enterprises, The Home Depot, MainSource Bank, Synovus, Union Bank, United States Department of Agriculture, Unum Group	
xerox	MPS program generated \$3.8 billion globally in 2013 and grew by 7%; Xerox has more than 1,000 MPS customers <sup>3</sup>	Blackrock Financial Management, Groche America, Honeywell, Ingersoll Rand, Leidos, National Grid, Prudential Insurance. Reuters, Schenker Inc., Science Applications Intl Corp (SAIC), United Technologies Corporation (UTC)	
RICOH	MPS program grew 14% in 2013; Ricoh has more than	ADP, Inc., Albert Einstein Medical Center, Apollo Group, Kaplan, Kroger, MasterCard, Sempra	

Source: PX0010, SPLS & ODP Presentation to FTC, at 064 (July 2015)

### Defendants' Admission: Competitive Conditions Differ for Ink and Toner



# Customer Evidence: Competitive Conditions Differ

		Third Party Document	5		
ird Party	Description		PXI	io. / Bates No.	
		FW FTC CID	Follow-up Que	stion on MPS -	msg
		11-18-2015	MPS Proc	luction Cover L	etter.pdf
		FW FTC Fol	low-up Question	n on MPS -	msg
		FY14 MPS spen	nd.xlsx		
			0812.pdf		
		FW F1	CCID Follow-	up Question on	MPS - msg
		R	E FTC CID Fol	low-up Question	on MPS - msg



#### MPS REVENUE



#### MPS sales revenue collected during investigation...

...confirmed in declarations during litigation



#### **Customer Testimony: Competitive Conditions Differ for** Ink and Toner





#### Competitor Testimony: Competitive Conditions Differ for Ink and Toner

		1606		
1	business and the paper business, the whole thing, and we sold			
2	thos	e customers managed print.		
3	Q.	And what size customer has come to you and asked you for		
4	this s	service?		
5	Α.	Well, mostly large and very large accounts are the ones		
6	that are looking for this service.			
7	Q.	Does W.B. Mason partner with anyone to provide these		
8	servi	ces?		
9	А.	We're partnering with HP right now to see if we can do		
10	this.			
11	Q.	So I think you mentioned HP and Xerox provide these		
12	services?			
13	Α.	Yes. We don't have a relationship with Xerox, but we do		
14	with	Hewlett-Packard.		
15	Q.	So there's a number of other providers besides Staples		
16	and	Office Depot that provide these services?		
17	Α.	Yeah. Yeah, there are.		
18	Q.	So have you ever had a customer who stopped buying as		
19	much	toner because they picked up an MPS arrangement?		
20	A.	Oh, we've had a number of them over the years.		
21	Q.	And in your experience do MPS providers like Xerox and HP		
22	win F	RFPs and contracts to provide these services for large		
23	customers?			
24	Α.	Yes, they do.		
25	Q.	And do these MPS providers also provide office supplies		



Q. So there's a number of other providers besides Staples

and Office Depot that provide these services?

A. Yeah. Yeah, there are.

This is a category that's dominated by the manufacture

Q. So have you ever had a customer who stopped buying as

much toner because they picked up an MPS arrangement?

- A. Oh, we've had a number of them over the years.
- Q. And in your experience do MPS providers like Xerox and HP
- win RFPs and contracts to provide these services for large

customers?

- A. Yes, they do.
- **Q.** And do these MPS providers also provide office supplies like Post-it notes and paper clips?
- A. No. There are other office supply companies that, like us, try to do this stuff.

#### **Expert Testimony: Competitive Conditions Differ for** Ink and Toner



1 2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

A Charles River Associates

5	So, the what I learned was that, I think
starting pol	especially in the last three to five years, these managed
would inclus do, they're	print services have come in where ink and toner is often
overlap, like	purchased by a large customer along from the same company
The reason	that's providing the printer or the copier, that's servicing
especially in print service	them, and maybe some other services. I'm not exactly sure
purchased b	of the scope. And so that is a different space. Okay?
that's provic them, and m	And let me put it differently. The customers have
of the scope	additional choices for ink and toner that are important.
/ additional cl	And so, like I said, you look at the overlaps and then you
And so, like	look at the choices. So since there are significantly
look at the c different cho	different choices, ultimately that did not warrant
aggregation	aggregation into the same cluster or group with core and
paper. We'r gist of it.	paper. We're going to talk about this more, but that's the
BY MS. CE	gist of it.
	-

25 mean by large customer?

## Defendants' Arguments Conflict with Established Market Definition Principles

- No case requires inclusion of non-substitute products in a cluster market
  - Brown Shoe, 370 U.S. at 325 ("The outer boundaries of a product market are determined by the reasonable interchangeability of use or the cross-elasticity of demand between the product itself and substitutes for it")
- Calling ink and toner "consumable office supplies" does not make them substitutes
- Irrelevant that customers buy multiple products through a single contract
  - Not appropriate to require entire set of products sold by hospitals to be in the cluster, even though insurers "typically bargain for all of a hospital's services in a single negotiation." (ProMedica, 749 F.3d at 567-68)

#### No Conflict with FTC's Prior Investigations

- FTC v. Staples/Office Depot (1997)
  - Retail case defined market as sale of office supplies through "office supply superstores" (970 F. Supp. at 1080)
  - MPS services available to large customers today not available to retail consumers
- Office Depot/OfficeMax (2013)
  - FTC investigated all product markets in 2013, and, as in this case, brought NO CHALLENGE with respect to:
    - The sale and distribution of ink and toner to large customers
    - The sale and distribution of adjacent product categories to large customers



#### Relevant Market Properly Defined Around Large Customers

- Relevant market is defined around large customers because they individually negotiate customized prices
  - FTC v. Sysco Corp., 113 F. Supp. 3d at 46
  - Merger Guidelines §§ 3, 4.1.4
- Unrebutted evidence also indicates that large customers have distinct requirements
  - Sysco, 113 F. Supp. 3d at 42-43 (defining a relevant market of "national customers" where national customers had requirements that were distinct from local and regional broadline customers)



#### Defendants Recognize Large Business Customers Are Distinct



Source: PX05183, ODP Pricing Strategy – Winning Solutions Presentations, at 018 (Mar. 2014)

#### Large Business Customers Testified About Their Distinct Needs





A. In our last sourcing event, we have a lot of business requirements and then we have some that we call knockout criteria. And knockout criteria is if they can't meet that particular component, they're no longer considered. So our knockouts were they had to service all 15 states in which we have employees, that was the first one. Another one is to have a customizable web portal, level 3 reporting, and the ability to negotiate both on price and rebate, the ability to lock-in pricing. And then I think our metrics were

So we're looking for an account management team that provides the service on a national basis under a single corporate umbrella. You know, the idea of subcontracting out account management services based on regions or based upon territories to us is less than ideal. It somewhat fragments and



A. Within our locations it is important that our facilities have the ability to get things next day, and actually to the -- the desktop of the site or location. Our storage base in our facilities is typically quite small. So we tend to keep our par levels rather small or low in -- in quantity.

#### Office Supplies Vendors Are Aware of Large Business Customers' Distinct Needs





Sources: PD Morrision Hrg. at 1385:7-21; see also WB Mason Hrg. at 1612:5-1613:5



#### The Hypothetical Monopolist Test Is Unrebutted

#### Hypothetical Monopolist Test ("HMT") Depends on a Threshold Recapture Rate

• Using 5% price increase, HMT is satisfied if:

 $\frac{10\%}{Profit Margin + 10\%}$ 

Profit Margin estimates range = % to %



## 2. Evidence Establishes Significant Increase in Post-Merger Concentration



Market Share Calculations Readily Meet Legal Standard

- "The FTC need not present market shares and HHI estimates with the precision of a NASA scientist" (Sysco, 113 F. Supp. 3d at 54)
- "A reliable, reasonable, close approximation of relevant market share data is sufficient" (H&R Block, Inc., 833 F. Supp. 2d at 72)
- "The market shares, computed by the Commission largely from statistics provided by PPG, are concededly imprecise. Nevertheless, although PPG and Swedlow 'may point to technical flaws in the compilation of these statistics, ... in cases of this type precision in detail is less important than the accuracy of the broad picture presented."" (FTC v. PPG Indus., 628 F. Supp. at 884)



Unreported Leakage Adjustment, 2.2%

# Market Share Estimate Based on Fortune 100 Data Is a Good Proxy

- Fortune 100 sample is unbiased
  - F100 list exists independent of merger—so it does not overrepresent Staples/Office Depot customers
  - Diverse mix of industries
- Months of careful work to collect and process
  - Nearly all of the 19 companies that did not submit usable data have significant purchases with Staples and Office Depot
- Other data corroborate that Fortune 100 market share estimate is representative of Defendants' position in the relevant market



#### Primary Vendor Relationship Study Confirms F100 Market Share Analysis

#### **Primary Vendor Relationship Shares**

Consumable Office Supplies, 2014





Defendants' Bid Data Corroborate Staples and Office Depot Are Each Other's Closest Competitor







		1378
1	down w	Q. Okay. So does PDME currently serve any large corporate
2	didn't r	
3	werew	customers as a traditional independent local office supplies
4	Q. Rigi	vendor?
5	rule?	A. No, we do not. We don't have the capability. Most
6	A. Yes	independent dealers across the country do not either.
7	Q. Oka	O Olimit And why don't you have the emphility to serve
8	custome	Q. Okay. And why don't you have the capability to serve
9	vendor?	large corporate customers in your traditional role as an
10	A. No,	independent local office supplies vendor?
11	indeper	A. Well, first, major corporate clients that we service in
12	Q. Oka	
13	large cor	our Tier 1 model, they first of all, they want a
14	independ	homogenized program, a program where they're dealing with
15	A. We	one company for all their locations throughout the United
16	our Tier	States.
17	homoge	
18	one con	Second, we can't be cost competitive by buying the
19	States,	program from Essendant or S.P. Richards and then be able to
20		compete against Office Depot or Staples.
21	program	And a
22	compete	e against Office Depot or Staples.
23	Q. Are	you able to offer upfront payments or rebates to
24	large cor	porate customers in your capacity as a traditional
25	independ	lent office supply company?

#### F100 Market Shares Include Off-Contract Spend

- F100 market shares include *all* purchases by the companies of consumable office supplies
- Includes recorded off-contract spend for all companies
- Includes "discretionary leakage" measurement for 26 companies, with imputed estimate for the rest


#### Increase in HHI Is 15 Times the Presumption Threshold

#### **Market Concentration Measures**

Fortune 100 Customers, 2014



Case 1:15-cv-02115-EGS Document 451 Filed 04/25/16 Page 38 of 104

#### 3. Evidence Consistently Predicts a Likelihood of Significant Harm to Competition



"[T]here can be little doubt that the acquisition of the second largest firm in the market by the largest firm in the market will tend to harm competition in that market"

Sysco, 113 F. Supp. 3d at 66

#### Testimony Overwhelmingly Proves Staples and Office Depot Are Large Business Customers' Best Options

- 3 4 5 6

- Q. And what companies can serve large customers with
- \$500,000 a year or more in spend who have a nationwide footprint
- for, you know, pens, and file folders, and Post-it notes, and
- that sort of thing?

8

- A. So in my opinion there are two people who can do that:
- That would be Staples and Office Depot.



Sources: WB Mason Hrg. at 1601:3-8; see also; AEP Hrg. at 225:14, 225:25-226:5; Select Medical Hrg. at 1018:1-4; HealthTrust Hrg. at 1939:16-22; Fifth Third Bank Hrg. at 922:8-14; Best Buy Hrg. at 1205:17-20; McDonald's Hrg at 373:9-15; PD Morrison Hrg. at 1395:5-21; 1384:14-23; PX02122 (Bank of America) Dep. at 149:25-151:25; 155:13-156:11; 156:21-160:7



#### Staples Dominates in Office Depot's Win-Loss Data with 240 Wins





#### Office Depot Dominates in Staples Win-Loss Data with 142 Wins









#### Office Depot/OfficeMax Represented Staples Was Their Closest Competitor





	Re: OfficeMax and Office Depot Receive U.S. FTC Clearance for Proposed Merger
	Methew Blueck weather allaering Procept com* Te: Steve Bebreaux store bebreaux@offcecepct.com*
	Ce: Jairf Histos syst frictals@officadepst.com/- Angela Kultacak Gofficatept (Com-Joe Bodda) «davis pota@officadepst.com/- "genna bower" sglenna bowle@officeatept com-Joe Bodda sjons satisfika@officadepst.com/- Noce-Joe Societati (Jobgerficeatept com-
	Data: Fri, 01 Nov 2013 09:45:04 -0400
	Link oil Staple II for second biggs and mengeliff Matthew Stunick / Office Depot Business Solutions Division
Look out S	Staples!!! Here we come bigger and stronger!!





#### **Staples CEO: "two major companies"**



"Over the past 20 years, we have changed the world. The industry has gone from seven major contract companies (Remember Boise, BT, Office Max, USOP, Corporate Express?) and 15,000 regional players...to two major companies and less than 2,500 regional stationers.

This <u>didn't</u> happen by chance. Staples— and those of you in this room—<u>drove</u> this industry consolidation" Case 1:15-cv-02115-EGS Document 451 Filed 04/25/16 Page 48 of 104

## Staples Knows There Are "only two real choices for customers"



Source: PX04082, SPLS Leadership Summit Presentation, at 029 (Nov. 2013)

### Office Depot Recognizes "only two" Can Serve Large Business Customers Nationwide



#### Staples and Office Depot Compete Fiercely to the Benefit of Large Customers

	From.	
	Tablatha Wassov <abhlatha wasson@officedepot.com<br="">Te:</abhlatha>	
	Warran Avery «warran avery@officedepet.com>	
	Thu, 30 Jan 2014 13:25:32 -0600	
	Model a CPM taking the additional Phi (total 61	a) we can offer ASAP.
	request to	with imm. Mease copy me and Tonya Schultz on this
	Anah	
In our CPM in Dece	mber, we all agreed to a 3% core list sa	wings for the that we did not offer to Jeff. In talking to Mark and
taking cues from Jef savings.	f, I believe I we need to go deeper than Anah to re-do the CPM with that chan	
taking cues from Jef savings.	f, I believe I we need to go deeper than	3%. I think we need to go in with another 3% for a total of 6% core list ge.
taking cues from Jef savings.	f, I believe I we need to go deeper than Anah to re-do the CPM with that chan there already asked Auch to re-do the CPM with the cha Thoughte???	3%. I think we need to go in with another 3% for a total of 6% core list
taking cues from Jef savings.	f, I believe I we need to go deeper than Anah to re-do the CPM with that chan there already asked Anah to re-do the CPM with these cha	3%. I think we need to go in with another 3% for a total of 6% core list ge.
taking cues from Jef savings.	f, I believe I we need to go deeper than Anah to re-do the CPM with that chan	3%. I think we need to go in with another 3% for a total of 6% core list ge.

## Staples and Office Depot Compete Fiercely to the Benefit of Large Customers

This is in regards to a \$7 Million opportunity where we are in a dog fight with Depot. We currently have \$1 Million and stand to gain \$6 Million due to acquisition of acquisition of the Depot (Max) has the former piece of business. You approved us going in at about % Advantage. We have an internal coach who is telling us we can likely secure this with an additional % volume rebate taking the Advantage to %. The SAL has known this acquisition contact for many years and feels

he is shooting us straight. I think it's the right move as the customer likely thinks it's simpler to go with Depot (Max) as they have the lion's share, so less business to implement. I think we've outsold Depot (Max), but unfortunately, the committee making the decision is being led by a guy who is extremely hard cost driven.

Will you approve the additional **Second Second Second Second Second Second** I believe they are nearing a decision so would like to not wait for Friday's Council.

#### I'll approve this. But ODP is getting cheaper by the week.

PX04064

#### Staples and Office Depot Compete Fiercely to the Benefit of Large Customers

	Frem:         Bussell, Gregory - Gregory, Russell/@Staples.com           Sent:         Wethenday, May 06, 2015 7,07 Phl           To:         Helsroth Torr           Subject:         Re of the subject
	Will do Thunks
	Ok - update Editor audit trail
	Seat from my iPad
	> On May 6, 2015, st 4:07 PM, Fussell, Gregory <u>Granon, Bussell@Stater.com</u> > wrote > > Tom:
	<ul> <li>A few weeks ago, we presents</li> <li>to the Deals Council. Our plan was to stay margin neutral by offering a \$200K (going incentive but ration; some price to offset)t, along with a \$50 hard \$top.</li> </ul>
	South signing membra but satisfy prices to block in addition a good hards but. In this meantime, a new Sources Binder for a mander for the membra of the responsitions. We found out that she was the previous contract to hand but that she was the revious and the manager for the membra of the satisfy the satisfy the satisfy the satisfy the satisfy the membra of the satisfy the satis
	In order to keep this away from Office Depot, I believe we should invest the being points of margin to be used to sharpen our pencilon some high visibility items. This will take us from all tak AP, to all tak AP, to all takes should be introduced with the set of a commal XPP howing peopl, it could not due to string sha a kit more.
	a set may draw if you, and an other
harpen our pencil on som	by from Office Depot, I believe we should invest the basis points of margin to be used to be high visibility items. This will take us from a <b>199</b> % A.P. to a <b>1999%</b> A.P. I know it's a fif it goes to a formal RFP involving Depot, it could end up costing us a lot more.



**TODAY: Competition Between Staples and Office Depot Tangibly Benefits Large Business Customers** 

A. Pretty much we felt there was only two players that can meet our needs, Office Depot and Staples, and those are the two that were part of the sourcing event process.

Q. So I think we just mentioned it, but in what ways has AEP

benefited from the competition between Staples and Office Depot?

A. That's correct

A. Primarily, financially. So our sourcing event process,

we're driving for the best value, the best cost; and that's what

we got as a result of that competition.

- **Q.** So you still benefit from competition no matter how big and sophisticated you are?
- A. That is correct.

8 A. Pretty much we felt there was only two players that can



## **TODAY: Competition Between Staples and Office Depot Tangibly Benefits Large Business Customers**

#### AEP: Outcome of 2015 RFP Process: Savings!

	Office Supplies	Paper
Reduction from RFQ process		
Reduction from Demand Process		
Increased savings from Rebate		
Fixed Price for 3 yrs.		
10% off all orders for 90 days		
Savings		

**Total Savings for Office Supplies & Paper** 

"The final step was to conduct a demand negotiation or counter-proposal with Staples and Office Depot. The main issue with Staples was—what the team believed to be deceptive pricing tactics used in the RFQ. Staples refused to accept this point. In the end, the incumbent supplier (OfficeMax, which was acquired by Office Depot) came in with a better cost profile and agreed to more of our demand points."

### TODAY: Competition Between Staples and Office Depot Tangibly Benefits Large Business Customers



Source: PX07159, Fifth Third Bank RFP Evaluation, at 001 (Jan. 2016)



I thought it was odd after the Max/Depot merger that global and large national organizations had basically only two options for office supplies. If this deal is approved that will dwindle to one

I throught it was odd after the Max/Depot merger that global and large national organizations had basically only two options for office supplies. If this deal is approved that will dwindle to one.

For companies wanting savings, new terms, or additional incentives now is the time to ink those details in a long term contract, with Depot.

For companies wanting savings, new terms, or additional incentives now is the time to ink those details in a long term contract, with Depot.

PX07175-001

Office Essentials | Copy & Print | Cleaning | Furniture | Breakroom | Technology | Paper

 To learn more about our indirect supply chain solutions ploase click on this link http://officedenomow.com/view/mail/hID=Y75F93BK4PXTSFNL973C

Kemberts Cuther

## Staples and Office Depot Warned Customers the Merger Would Eliminate the Benefits of Competition

	Office Depot Final RFP Offer	
	From	
	High McKean Chupt mckean@dfloodeptil.com*	
	teacher, omili.es@offordsock.com	
	Delier Tus, 21 Apr 2015 10:43 12: 0400	
	Hi Kan	
	Office Deput is willing to make a best and final offier to secure die Global RFP	
	Office Depoi has been the vendor of choice for the part 5 years for an and we have show have earned the opportunity to present this offer	
	In return for a three year agreement, Office Depot offer \$90,000 sugarg bonar. two payments, \$325,000 at the contriact signing and \$175,000 on the first antiversary date	
	This affar is based on and is conditional to adding the contract of the program.	
	Here are a few things for you to consider in evaluating this offer	
	Thirdball. This stift is then assume. What a line the post face of Differ Depts is approval. Support will have an insure in state     The stift of the state	
1	11 (Josed Annie - Pages (1) will will Stud be god	
two payments, \$325,000	igreement, Office Depot offerse a \$500,000 signing bonus. This will at the contract signing and \$175,000 on the first anniversary date.	
two payments, \$325,000 This offer is based on and	tt the contract signing and \$175,000 on the first anniversary date.	

## Staples and Office Depot Warned Customers the Merger Would Eliminate the Benefits of Competition

	Team Teamson, active - Carter Frankrightbacks 2007		
	Good morning	nings of OOlex Dynar Marcand & Erns	
Good morning,			
CARTER STORE CHARGE STORE STORE			an 100 - 100
	e FTC is expected to approve the Staple		
strong suggestion that	consider any and all program off	erings from Staples beforehan	d.
transitioning a customize are placed into the queue	more competitive offer than right now, d program onto the Staples platform we e over the next 12-18 months.	ell before thousands of other I	Depot/Max customers
transitioning a customize are placed into the queue Over the last 90 days, I'v savings. Can we investig	d program onto the Staples platform we e over the next 12-18 months. e presented five Fortune 300 organizati gate our options for you and statements to	ell before thousands of other I ions a program that offered ar day before time runs out on of	Depot/Max customers
transitioning a customize are placed into the queue Over the last 90 days, I'v savings. Can we investig competitive offering avai	d program onto the Staples platform we over the next 12-18 months. e presented five Fortune 30 <u>0 organizati</u>	ell before thousands of other I ions a program that offered ar day before time runs out on of	Depot/Max customers
transitioning a customize are placed into the queue Over the last 90 days, I'v savings. Can we investig competitive offering ava Warmest regards,	d program onto the Staples platform we e over the next 12-18 months. e presented five Fortune 300 organizati gate our options for you and statements to	ell before thousands of other I ions a program that offered ar day before time runs out on of	Depot/Max customers
transitioning a customize are placed into the queue Over the last 90 days, I'v savings. Can we investig competitive offering avai	d program onto the Staples platform we e over the next 12-18 months. e presented five Fortune 300 organizati gate our options for you and statements to	ell before thousands of other I ions a program that offered ar day before time runs out on of	Depot/Max customers
transitioning a customize are placed into the queue Over the last 90 days, I'v savings. Can we investig competitive offering ava Warmest regards,	d program onto the Staples platform we e over the next 12-18 months. e presented five Fortune 300 organization gate our options for you and the foot dable? Let's chat when you have a mon	ell before thousands of other I ions a program that offered an day before time runs out on ol ment. Thanks.	Depot/Max customers
transitioning a customize are placed into the queue Over the last 90 days, I'v savings. Can we investig competitive offering ava Warmest regards,	d program onto the Staples platform we e over the next 12-18 months. e presented five Fortune 300 organizati gate our options for you and state too lable? Let's chat when you have a mon	ell before thousands of other I ions a program that offered an day before time runs out on ol ment. Thanks.	Depot/Max customers
transitioning a customize are placed into the queue Over the last 90 days, I'v savings. Can we investig competitive offering ava Warmest regards,	d program onto the Staples platform we e over the next 12-18 months. e presented five Fortune 300 organization gate our options for you and the foot dable? Let's chat when you have a mon	ell before thousands of other I ions a program that offered an day before time runs out on ol ment. Thanks.	Depot/Max customers



#### Large Business Customers Are Concerned About the Proposed Merger

14 15	and a state	Why is that	t important to McDonald's?
16	and lor	Q.	Mr. Cervone, are you concerned about the proposed merger
17	corpor	betw	veen Office Depot and Staples?
18 19	Q. N		Yes, I am.
20	betwee	Q.	Why is that?
21 22	A. 1 Q. V	A.	Because it removes one of the closest competitors to the
23	A. E	othe	er.
24 25	other. Q. A	Q.	And do you believe that's going to affect McDonald's?
1 2 3	A. I of the r likely h	ofth	I do. I believe that when you take all competition out ne marketplace in this case, I believe that it it would
4	Q. V		y have a negative effect on the customers.
5 6 7	custom A. V potenti	Q.	What sort of negative effect do you think it will have on omers?
8	Q. 4	Α.	Well, I would imagine upward pressure on pricing,
9 10	A. Q. V	pote	entially decreased options in terms of product offerings.
11			vholesaler of office products.
12	Q. 1	las a regio	onal or local office supplies vendor backed by

AcDona



Large Business Customers Are Concerned About the Proposed Merger

1898

#### Q. Okay. And is that - are you getting substantial value

- 2 from both Staples and Office Depot in those proposals?
- A. We're getting value from both.
- Q. Okay.

THE COURT: All right. So what happens if they merge?

THE WITNESS: Well, my belief is that if they merge we'll

be in the same situation that -- we'll be in a noncompetitive

Staples, and there's value on the table?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

the merger, though?

environment. We will not have a competitive environment behind

THE COURT: All right. So what happens if they merge?

THE WITNESS: Well, my belief is that if they merge we'll

THE COURT: Could you lock in one of those bids prior to

environment. We will not have a competitive environment behind

which to negotiate with a nationally-capable contracted vendor.

be in the same situation that -- we'll be in a noncompetitive

which to negotiate with a nationally-capable contracted vendor.



Source: HealthTrust Hrg. at 1898:19-23







## No Customers Testified in Support of the Merger

			62
			02



#### Defendants Are the Ones With Blinders On: Elimination of Competition Will Harm Customers



Case 1:15-cv-02115-EGS Document 451 Filed 04/25/16 Page 64 of 104

#### Defendants Cannot Meet Their Burden of Rebutting the Strong Presumption of Anticompetitive Harm

### 4. Defendants Failed to Establish That Entry or Expansion Would Be Timely, Likely, or Sufficient

# Entry or Expansion Will Not Be Timely, Likely, or Sufficient

• Defendants "bear the burden of demonstrating the ability of other distributors to 'fill the competitive void' that will result from the proposed merger." (Sysco, 113 F. Supp. 3d at 80)



- 4 whether entry will be sufficient. Given the magnitude of
- 15 the shares and the bidding data we've seen, it's a pretty
- 6 high hurdle, in my view, for entry to be sufficient. And so
- Insufficient to simply identify other competitors that might possibly expand (H&R Block, 833 F. Supp. 2d at 73-76)
- "[T]he mere fact that new entrants and fringe firms have an intent to compete does not necessarily mean that those firms are significant competitors capable of replacing lost competition" (CCC Holdings, 605 F. Supp. 2d at 59)

## Entry or Expansion Will Not Be Timely, Likely, or Sufficient

- Defendants have failed to establish adequate entry or expansion by: (i) local and regional vendors, (ii) consortia, (iii) manufacturers, or (iv) adjacency vendors
- These firms have trivial shares today creating huge hurdle for sufficient entry:

	Market Share	Primary Vendor Share
WB Mason	0.2%	
Other Regionals	None > 0.3%	None > 0.7%
Consortia	< 0.1%	
Paper Manufacturers	2.5%	
Other Large Manufacturers	< 0.05%	0%
Adjacencies	0.4%	0.2%



#### WB Mason Explained the Difficulties of Expansion





### WB Mason's Expansion Will Not Be Timely, Likely, or Sufficient



INSIDE M-VILLE	outside M-ville
\$1.405 BSales ~ 98990 sales 4 hubs 46 Branches 12 product fram Manu 12 from Essendent 800 trucics ~ 1200 sales people 100000 product cost higher remales 100000 deliver/cost higher remales 100000 deliver/cost 00000 cust HQ	28-29 trucks I Salesperson higher product cost lower rebacks higher delivery cost of customerservice I cust HQ
	0 9000



#### Entry or Expansion Will Not Be Timely, Likely, or Sufficient



	1378
Q.	Okay. So does PDME currently serve any large corporate
cus	tomers as a traditional independent local office supplies
ven	dor?
A.	No, we do not. We don't have the capability. Most
ind	ependent dealers across the country do not either.
Q.	Okay. And why don't you have the capability to serve
larg	e corporate customers in your traditional role as an
inde	ependent local office supplies vendor?
A.	Well, first, major corporate clients that we service in
our	Tier 1 model, they first of all, they want a
hor	nogenized program, a program where they're dealing with
one	e company for all their locations throughout the United
Sta	tes.
	Second, we can't be cost competitive by buying th
pro	gram from Essendant or S.P. Richards and then be able to
con	npete against Office Depot or Staples.
and an inter	against Office Depot or Staples.
increase and	ou able to offer upfront payments or rebates to prate customers in your capacity as a traditional
corpe	have costoring s in your capacity as a traditional

#### Amazon Will Not Be Sufficient to Replace the Lost Competition in the Foreseeable Future



- A. No, not -- not to my knowledge. No.
- Q. Has Amazon Business ever won an RFP for the role as

primary supplier of office supplies?

A. Yeah. Can you -- can you explain w A. No.

17 Q. Is that not a term that you use?

Q. Does Amazon Business currently act as

supplier of office supplies to an enterprise I

A. No, not -- not to my knowledge. No

Q. And you -- you understand I'm -- I'm a

Amazon Business supplies the needs of a co

of -- when I say primary supplier, you're far

18 A. We -- we've used it.

call a primary?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16 term?

19 Q. What does it mean to you?

20 A. It means to us, the way that we've defined it is

21 supplying all of -- or, the primary selection that a 22 customer would need for office supplies. It would be the --

23 what's also called the head items or the items that they buy

24 in, most frequently, in bulk.

25 Q. So when you said head items --

Sources: Amazon Hrg. at 544:8-10; 551:11-13



72
## Amazon Business Lacks Key Features Large Customers Require

- Currently, Amazon Business:
  - DOES NOT offer product curation (Amazon Hrg. at 529:5-531:5; 555:25-556:7)
  - DOES NOT offer
  - DOES NOT offer customer-specific pricing (Id. at 540:21-541:4; 842:9-24)
  - DOES NOT offer desktop delivery (Id. at 551:9-10)
  - DOES NOT allow for automatically recurring weekly deliveries (Id. at 648:23-649:11)
  - DOES NOT negotiate contracts (Id. at 543:21-23)
- This is why Amazon Business participates in RFPs "only in a limited way," often responding only to a request for a quote for a limited number of items (Id. at 546:18-547:12)

(Id. at 849:4-12)

## Amazon Business Faces Significant Challenges Even for the Features It Is Working On

Twice in the last several months, Amazon Business



- Certain features in development are limited
  - Beta testing <u>limited</u> solution to allow customers to see pricing they negotiate with third-party sellers





## Amazon Business Model Is at Odds With Large Customer Requirements

- Before the launch of Amazon Business, Amazon sold office supplies, and Amazon targeted business customers, first through smallparts.com and then through Amazon Supply (Amazon Hrg. at 522:25-526:17)
- Amazon Business is a B2B marketplace that is hosted on the Amazon.com website (Id. at 521:14-522:4)
- The marketplace allows third parties to sell products directly. Amazon does not control pricing, volumes or delivery terms of third-party sellers, which provide half of the products sold on Amazon Business (Id. at 539:14-541:4)





## Amazon Does Not, and Has No Plans to, Control Third-Party Seller Pricing



- our	
about	And I want to go back to pricing. We've talked a
might	little bit about customer-specific pricing. But you agree,
little t	sir, don't you, that to the extent that third-party sellers
sir, de	are the sellers of the products on Amazon, that Amazon does
are th	not control the pricing that those sellers set?
not cc	A. Correct. In no way. The sellers own their own prices
A. C	and their own offers.
and t Q. Ar	Q. And that accounts for about half of the products that
are sc	are sold for Amazon Business?
А. н	A. Half of the sales on Amazon Business.
Q. H.	Q. Half of the sales, meaning dollars, right?
А. П	A. That's correct.
Q. 0	Q. Okay. And then as to the products that Amazon sells
direct	directly, Amazon itself does not fund any discounting; is
that c	that correct?
Q. A	A. That's correct.
	Q. And so that means that if the vendor that sells you the
produ	843
the cu correct	product does not give you a low enough price, you can't give
A. T	the customer a low enough price that it must be asking for,
Q. At	correct?
А. т	A. That's correct.
Q. Ye	Q. And you have no plans to change that?
partic	A. That's correct.
A. N	Q. You have no plans to force the third parties to offer
Q. O	particular prices?
specia	A. No, we'll never do that. No.

## Evidence Shows Amazon's Expansion Will Not Be Timely, Likely, or Sufficient

\* Amazon <u>HAS NOT</u> won a single RFP to be a primary vendor to a large business customer



- \* Amazon <u>DOES NOT</u> and <u>WILL NOT</u> control third party sellers' pricing, volumes, or delivery terms
- \* Amazon DOES NOT and WILL NOT

x

they WILL NOT expand in a

manner that would be timely, likely, or sufficient

### Large Business Customers Require a Track Record



"And if a company doesn't have a track record of doing that, unless some – unless the other option is significantly worse, you're probably not going to go there. You want something proven. I think any consumer does."

### The risks of using a new vendor are:



### Large customers ask for references because:



BEG

"they want to make sure that what you said in this RFP, that you've actually done this someplace before." "[Without a track record] It's a problem. And we were, and it took us a lot of years to get there, a lot of years. It's very difficult, and, like, if you're in a marketplace to get your first hospital, to get your first university, to get your first of any kind of a customer, that's a battle to break into that -- that part of the market, it's really tough to do. Because you can't use any references."



### Large Business Customers Require a Track Record



shoes of an Office Depot any time soon. First of all,

Office Depot and Staples have been in this space for at

least 25 years, so they've perfected it. Their web presence

enterprise client. And, you know, in life, as in business,

first you want to crawl, then you want to walk, then you

want to run. Dealing with these large enterprise customers

is a full-out Olympic sprint.

18 enterprise client. And, you know, in life, as in business,

So they don't have the experience. And in life,

whether in business or just your general life, experience is

everything. And they don't have the other ancillary

### **Case Law Supports: Expansion Will Be Insufficient**

#### FTC v. Sysco Corp., 113 F. Supp. 3d at 73-78 (D.D.C. 2015) ٠

- *Divestiture* of 11 "strategically located" *distribution centers*
- Already established competitor,
- CEO with d
- Backed by Imost \$500 million

in

- Projected 20% share in relevant market after 5 years
- FTC v. CCC Holdings, Inc., 605 F. Supp. 2d 56-59 (D.D.C. 2009) •
  - Already established competitor in relevant market
  - changer" Offering Π пe dl
  - Had dou .
  - re ar pr
  - Merger "would free [competitor's] hands to compete as he wishes"
  - But was projected to have only a small fraction of the merging parties' • market shares within several years

S

ar

## 5. Defendants Failed to Establish Proposed Fix or Efficiencies Would Save This Merger



# The Proposed Divestiture Will "kill Tier 1 supplier diversity"

"[The proposed divestiture to Essendant] will not create another competitor in the marketplace."

"[The proposed divestiture] will put several of the Tier 1 dealers out of the business permanently"

"[The proposed divestiture will] kill Tier 1 supplier diversity within the office product space ultimately."



# Defendants' Efficiencies Claims <u>Do Not</u> Rebut the Presumption

- No court has ever found that efficiencies rebutted presumption
- Defendants must present "proof of extraordinary efficiencies" to rebut the presumption of likely anticompetitive effects (Heinz, 246 F.3d at 720)
- Defendants failed to established efficiencies save this merger



- The risk that the transaction will not occur is a "private equity" (Heinz, 246 F.3d at 727 n.25; Whole Foods, 548 F.3d at 1041; CCC Holdings, 605 F. Supp. 2d at 76)
- Courts "must afford [private equities] little weight, lest we undermine section 13(b)'s purpose of protecting the 'public-at-large, rather than the individual private competitors." (Heinz, 246 F.3d at 727 n.25)
- "[T]he risk that the parties will abandon the merger rather than proceed to an administrative trial on the merits is, however, 'at best, a private equity' which cannot overcome the significant public equities weighing in favor of a preliminary injunction." (Sysco, 113 F. Supp. 3d at 87)

Case 1:15-cv-02115-EGS Document 451 Filed 04/25/16 Page 85 of 104



### Appendix



## The Relevant Market Is the Line of Commerce That Would Be Affected by the Merger

"This is what we do in merger analysis, we look at where the firms overlap and are significant and where there are fewer choices. As I study this, you know, it shifted away from retail because there's more competition there. It shifted away from ink and toner or furniture. So it's honing in on where the problem is. And sure, that's going to go along with high shares. Okay. That's what we do all the time. This notion that that's somehow gerrymandering or rigging it, I just -- that's why -- that's just nonsense to me, okay. That is not correct."



CRA Charles Rive Associates



### The Evidence Shows Paper Is Properly Included in the Cluster

### **Consumable Office Supplies Market Shares: Core v. Paper**

Fortune 100 Customers, 2014

Supplier	<b>Consumable</b> Office Supplies	Core	Paper
Staples	47.3%	48.4%	46.2%
Office Depot	31.7%	38.3%	25.2%
Other Suppliers	21.0%	13.3%	28.6%
Staples + Office Depot	79.0%	86.7%	71.4%

## **Grinnell Does Not Support Defendants' Contention**

- No single use
  - Grinnell agreed with Plaintiffs that the entire accredited central station business was a single market (Grinnell, 384 U.S. at 4)
  - There is not a single use for ink and toner and other consumable office supplies
- No package/bundle
  - Defendants' evidence here shows that companies buy different products; there is no set of products everyone buys – they are individual products
  - Promedica rejected argument that all products must be considered together when they were negotiated in same contract

# Product Market Need Not Include All Products Sold by the Merging Parties

- Staples: consumable office supplies, <u>but excluding</u> capital goods (computers, fax machines, and other business machines) and office furniture
- ProMedica: inpatient general acute care hospital services, <u>but excluding</u> inpatient tertiary services, inpatient obstetrics services, and outpatient services; (see also OSF Healthcare (Rockford II))
  - ProMedica (6<sup>th</sup> Cir.) explicitly rejects Defendants' theory that all products/services sold under contract define a product market

## Defendants' Documents Recognize Large Business Customers Are Distinct

&	Staples offers fast, accurate and efficient delivery by operating one of the most extensive and technologically-advanced distribution networks in the industry. Through our strategically-located fulfillment centers
olesaler oendence	18.1 What is your standard shipment and delivery time? (Please detail out variances for all countries) Attach a file.
	Minimized Wholesaler Dependence
	Staples' inventories throughout our network of fulfillment centers represent the most popular brands that customers demand. Our product inventories represent more than 95% of the items sold everyday. As a result, we have been able to minimize our dependence on wholesaler product inventories, resulting in higher fill rates and
Procureme	customers demand. Our product inventories represent more than 95% of the items sold everyday. As a result, we have been able to minimize our dependence on wholesaler product inventories, resulting in higher fill rates and more competitive pricing since we are not paying an inflated cost for the product.
	customers demand. Our product inventories represent more than 95% of the items sold everyday. As a result, we have been able to minimize our dependence on wholesaler product inventories, resulting in higher fill rates and more competitive pricing since we are not paying an inflated cost for the product.



### Defendants' Documents Recognize Large Business Customers Are Distinct

#### Compliance Savings

### Compliance

One of the easiest ways to manage costs is simply to support compliance to your Staples program. Prior to program launch and on an ongoing basis, our Field Marketing team will work with to conduct communication campaigns to drive greater awareness and acceptance of your Staples program.

We also help support program compliance through our extensive Staples retail network. With more than 1,500 convenient U.S locations to choose from, your end-users can make same day, emergency purchases without going outside your established office supply program.

#### **Order Size**

Minimizing Small Orders

Small, inefficient orders can add significant program costs by increasing the number of POs, invoices and deliveries you must process.

### Monitoring

23.4 The sole purpose of insuring all pricing and discount structures agreed to through the RFP and subsequent award and agreement with the selected supplier are met and the program remains at optimal levels throughout the term. In addition, supplier agrees to pay to Dryden an annual commodity management fee for the term of the agreement.





### Defendants' Documents Recognize Large Business Customers Are Distinct

#### Demand Management

Staples will analyze the current product usage and develop a core product list that offers immediate savings. Your Strategic Account Manager will regularly review your core list to identify continuous opportunities where Staples can offer better value on the products you use most. Our industry-leading buying power and strong supplier relationships give us the flexibility to find the most cost-effective solutions for your needs.

### Itemized Prices on Core Products

16.4 Outline best practices for pricing core items throughout the world. LONG ANSWER + ATTACHMENT

To drive the highest end-user participation, Core pricing should be global in scope, but country- specific, based on each participating countries' laws, regulations, product selection, required services, operational costs and marketcompetitive pricing.

BISHINT COMPLETENTIAL

WLS SWIC DOOLS





### F100 Market Shares Include Off-Contract Spend

- Evidence indicates that large customers have high contract compliance rates for consumable office supplies
  - Buy much better on-contract than at retail or online
  - F100 purchasing data revealed that 78% of a customer's purchases went to the customer's single largest vendor
  - Customers also testified that they value contract and direct employees to purchase consumable office supplies through the contract vendor



### In the Record: Customers Highly Value Their Contracts

- Q. From start to finish, about how long did the 2015 sourcing event for office supplies take?
- A. About six months.
- Q. And what about contract negotiations after that?
- A. That took about nine months.
- Q. Total of about 15 months?
- A. Correct.

Q Why is it that Bank of America uses an RFP process to enter into its contracts? A One, we've got a corporate policy around it. It yields for us competitive – the necessary competitive due diligence in the marketplace so that we're getting the greatest value for the quality that we're looking for.

**Q.** So switching gears and talking about the arrangement that you have with Staples as your single primary office supplies vendor, why did you -- why did Health Trust decide to choose only one primary office supplies vendor?

A. Well, there's -- first and foremost is when you can aggregate all your members' volume and award that volume to a single vendor, you're going to secure best pricing. But there's also a lot of efficiencies associated with a single nationally-capable contracted vendor for office supplies.

Sources: AEP Hrg. at 200:24-201:5; PX02122 (Bank of America Dep.) at 24:6-12; HealthTrust Hrg. at 1929:8-16





### In the Record: Large Business Customers Are Highly Compliant To Their Contract; They Already Negotiated the Best Prices!

**Q.** Mr. Meester, I now want to change gears and ask you a few questions about Best Buy's purchases of general office supplies and copy paper under the Office Depot contract. First off, does Best Buy prefer that its employees purchase general office supplies and copy paper through the Office Depot contract?

- A. Yes, definitely.
- **Q.** And why is that?

A. Because the costs are significantly lower than if they were to buy retail or buy someplace else off-contract.

**Q.** Now, based on those reviews of the data, what have you learned about McDonald's purchasing of office supplies from vendors other than Office Depot?

A. We've learned that there's -- what I would say is limited spending in other sources. We did have a little bit with Staples from one particular office that we've addressed. We've had very little from other what you would call "traditional retailers," and really limited online retailer activity.



Does Select Medical prefer that its employees purchase its general office supplies and copy paper through the Office Depot contract?

A. Yes.

**Q.** Now, why is that?

A. The -- there are a few reasons. One being consistency and use of the products that are available through our catalog,

getting the best overall price point, as we talked about, core products versus noncore products. We want to channel them to using the core products that give us the best price point; along with, as I had mentioned, the threshold for once we hit an annual spend level and go above that, we have an opportunity to obtain additional rebate dollars from the current vendor.



### Defendants Incorrectly Assert That Shapiro's Opinion Was "Predetermined" and Based on No Data



CRA Charles River Associates A. Yes. We have a lot of that from the Fortune 100 sample where they're giving us -- in fact, it includes a wider range of products, and so we a lot of MPS services there as well.
Q. So did this presentation and the industry study reference, did it inform your views and your developing

understanding that ink and toner was subject to different competitive conditions, even before the complaint was filed? A. Yes. Yes, this is just the sort of thing I would be looking at at that phase and did look at.

A. This is an example, Your Honor, of just the number of documents from one Fortune 100 company. That in response to this, in terms of their vendors and then purchases, you can see some of the other names here of other vendors and where counsel has highlighted what would be the MPS. So, yes, we were certainly getting a lot of that during the fall in the Fortune 100 discovery process. y's MPS customers are Fortune 1000." goes along with what I think you've heard, at the MPS is really maybe best suited to operations, and sometimes as a desktop andle things differently. So it's widely - well, you can see, by Fortune 1000 companies. suggests a lot of this big number is, in o into the large customers that we're So, that's -- that tells me that this is not t all. And so -- and since we know they're ad toner in many -- most of the cases with ignificant competitive difference between nd the other main buckets that we're the cluster, which -- namely, paper and core

have this testimony and information from the inufacturers. And then, likewise, did you e in the records from the customers MPS adoption was happening? ave a lot of that from the Fortune 100 sample giving us -- in fact, it includes a wider icts, and so we a lot of MPS services there as

now what about defendants themselves, have ation in the record indicating that ink and 2781

## Staples and Office Depot Recognize They Are Each Other's Closest Competitor



### Case Law Imposes Heavy Burden on Defendants Given the Strength of Plaintiffs' Prima Facie Case

- "[B]ecause the proposed merger would eliminate head-tohead competition between the number one and number two competitors in the market for national customers, the merger is likely to lead to unilateral anticompetitive effects in that market. Evidence of probable unilateral effects strengthens the FTC's prima face case that the merger will lessen competition in the national customer market." (Sysco, 113 F. Supp. 3d at 65-66)
- Defendants must "produce evidence that 'show[s] that the market-share statistics [give] an inaccurate account of the [merger's] probable effects on competition' in the relevant market." (Heinz, 246 F. 3d at 715)
- The stronger the prima facie case, the more evidence defendants must present to rebut the presumption (Sysco, 113 F.Supp.3d at 23)



- Regional vendors, consortia, manufacturers, and adjacency vendors have no plans to expand
- And they face significant barriers even if they planned to, including:
  - Defendants' high retention rates for large customers
  - Purchasing scale disadvantages
  - Distribution scale disadvantages
  - Time and expense of developing IT and other capabilities required by large customers
  - Reputational barriers

Case 1:15-cv-02115-EGS Document 451 Filed 04/25/16 Page 100 of 104



### **Amazon Business' "Enterprise Catalog"**





- Defendants provided ZERO evidence at the hearing to demonstrate that either party passed on cost savings to customers following the ODP/OMX Merger and Corporate Express Merger
  - Neither ODP's CFO nor Staples's CFO could identify whether cost savings generated in the prior transactions actually resulted in lower prices to consumers (PX02148 (Hare (ODP) Dep. 127:14-128:2); PX02010 (Hare (ODP) IH 108:15-109:21); PX02127 (Komola (Staples) Dep. 16:15-22, 108:12-24))
- Defendants provided ZERO evidence that any efficiencies would be passed through to customers this time either
  - The reasons to lower the price to sell more to a customer or to retain a customer – are "much less of a concern after the merger" (Shapiro Hrg. at 2451:10-2452:3)
  - "[T]hey're going to have all these customers already after the merger and they're not going to have the same incentive to give them these up-front payments. So I don't see why they would do much pass through" (*Id.* at 248:20-2449:7)

Case 1:15-cv-02115-EGS Document 451 Filed 04/25/16 Page 102 of 104



Sources: PX03008 (TriMega Decl.) ¶¶ 22, 4

Case 1:15-cv-02115-EGS Document 451 Filed 04/25/16 Page 103 of 104



Sources: PX03008 (TriMega Decl.) ¶¶ 14, 15





Source: McDonald's Hrg. at 484:14-485:5