

ADVISORY OPINIONS WITH REQUESTS THEREFOR

Interpretation of language contained in an order to file special report regarding purchases of used bakery equipment. (Docket 7880, released July 18, 1975)

Opinion Letter

July 2, 1975

Gordon A. Thomas, Esquire,
Vice President and General Counsel,
ITT Continental Baking Company, Inc.,
P.O. Box 731,
Rye, New York. 10580

Re:ITT Continental Baking Company,
Docket No. 7880.

Dear Mr. Thomas:

In your letter of May 15, 1975, you requested the Commission's opinion as to whether the order entered on November 26, 1974 [84 F.T.C. 1349], requires ITT Continental Baking Company to file a special report informing the Commission of any purchase of used bakery equipment from any concern currently engaged in the production and sale of bread and bread type rolls.

After careful consideration of your request and the purpose of the order, the Commission is of the opinion that acquisition of used bakery equipment of whatever kind from another bakery is the acquisition of an "interest in any concern" engaged in the production and sale of bread and bread type rolls requiring ITT Continental Baking Company to file a special report.

By direction of the Commission.

Letter of Request

May 15, 1975

Mr. Charles A. Tobin
Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C.

* For case before the Commission, see 60 F.T.C. 1183, 84 F.T.C. 1349.

Re: Docket No. 7880

Dear Mr. Tobin:

On November 26, 1974 the Federal Trade Commission issued an order in connection with the above docket number which required this company to file with the Commission a special report in the event it intends to make "any acquisitions of any interest in any concern engaged in the production and sale of bread and bread-type rolls." The words of special import in this order are "interest in any concern." It is our interpretation of that language that it is not intended to cover the purchase by Continental of items of used bakery equipment from another baking company currently engaged in the production and sale of bread.

Inasmuch as this company from time to time will be interested in making used bakery equipment purchases, we would appreciate an acknowledgment from you that our interpretation of the order is correct and that the filing of a special report is not required in that type of a transaction.

We will look forward to hearing from your office in the near future.

Very truly yours,

Gordon A. Thomas
Vice President and
General Counsel

No. 147. Granting of "back-haul" allowances to customers picking up their own orders. (72 F.T.C. 1050)

No. 483. "Backhaul" allowances advisory opinion affirmed. (File No. 683 7026, released December 26, 1973, 83 F.T.C. 1843) Statement of Clarification. (85 F.T.C.1174)

Letter of Reply

October 8, 1975

Honorable Albert Rees
Director
Council on Wage and Price Stability
Executive Office of the President
Washington, D.C. 20506

Dear Director Rees:

This is in reply to your letter of April 1, 1975 relating to the Commission's recent clarification, in a letter to Consumers Union of March 19, 1975, of the legality under Section 2(a) of the Robinson-Patman Act of backhaul allowances.

Your letter suggests that the Commission's clarification will discourage backhaul practices. It was the Commission's intention in the March 19 statement to eliminate confusion over the options available to the delivered price seller. It emphasized that the seller who uses a uniform delivered price can, in addition to the delivered price, offer his customers the option of purchasing f.o.b. his shipping point as long as the optional f.o.b. price is uniform and available to all customers on a nondiscriminatory basis. The Commission sees no reason why this clarification of the available options should discourage backhaul practices.

You further recommend that the Commission should adopt a policy of allowing backhaul allowances equal to the actual cost of transportation to each customer. Such a policy, your letter urges, can be based on the premise that the Robinson-Patman Act does not mandate uniformity as to f.o.b. prices, because that Act permits a seller to offer different prices where justified by different costs.

However, those differences in cost under the Robinson-Patman Act that justify price differentials are limited "* * * strictly to those actual differences traceable to the particular buyer for and against whom the discrimination is granted, to the different methods of serving them, and to the different quantities in which they buy." *House Committee Report No. 2287*, March 31, 1936. The cost justification provision, accordingly, was designed "* * * to leave the test of a permissible differential upon the question: If the more favored customer were sold in the same quantities and by the same methods of sale and delivery as the customer not so favored, how much more per unit would it actually cost the seller to do so, his other business remaining the same?" *Senate Committee Report No. 1502*, February 3, 1936. "There can be no doubt," the Supreme Court has stated, "that the § 2(a) proviso as amended by the Robinson-Patman Act contemplates, both in express wording and legislative history, a showing of actual cost differences resulting from the differing methods or quantities in which the commodities in question are sold or delivered." *United States v. Borden Co.*, 370 U.S. 460, 467 (1962).

It follows, therefore, as the Commission understands these require-

ments, that the Robinson-Patman Act's Section 2(a) cost proviso cannot be interpreted so as to cost justify price differentials between backhaul customers purchasing f.o.b. at the seller's shipping point, if those price differentials represent only the *absence* of delivery cost differentials that *would have been incurred* had the commodities in question in fact been transported to different delivery destinations and, in that contingency, would have occasioned differing cost obligations upon the seller.

To state the matter in another way, if different backhaul customers purchase identical goods in identical quantities and according to exactly the same method of sale and delivery (*i.e.* by pick-up in their own trucks at the seller's shipping point) then, as the Commission see it, no cost differences to the seller, as contemplated under the cost justification provision of Section 2(a) of the Robinson-Patman Act, obtain. In particular, in such transactions, because no transportation of goods by the seller to affect delivery occurs, no delivery cost differentials arise such as would justify differences with respect to the f.o.b. price. Accordingly, f.o.b. price differences, in these circumstances, would not be cost justified under Section 2(a) of the Robinson-Patman Act in the Commission's view.

As the Commission heretofore has indicated, questions would not arise under the laws it administers if sellers using valid uniform zone delivered pricing systems offer to all customers, in lieu of a uniform delivered price, the option of purchasing f.o.b. the seller's shipping point, if that optional f.o.b. price is uniform and available to all customers on a nondiscriminatory basis.

The Commission does not understand that economies would not consistently be realized, through backhauling, by customers with empty trucks returning via their suppliers' factory and/or warehouse shipping points, if those suppliers make uniformly available a nondiscriminatory shipping-point price option. You suggest, in this regard, that geographically distant customers would not be able to afford to make use of such an option if the f.o.b. price is no lower than the seller's uniform zone-delivered price minus his average transportation cost for that zone. However, it appears to us that the only significant costs to the customers of backhauling in this situation are the additional wages, if any, required to be paid to the driver of the backhauling truck for the added time required to accomplish the pickup and the additional fuel cost incurred in traveling full rather than empty. Insofar as these costs were less than the difference between the supplier's delivered price and his f.o.b. price, the customer would be realizing a saving. This would

be apart from any general or public economies such as a reduction in overall fuel requirements.

The Commission has carefully considered the views that you have expressed and sincerely appreciates your concern in this matter. As indicated, however, the Commission is unable to construe the cost justification proviso of Section 2(a) of the Robinson-Patman Act as justifying differing backhaul allowances on the basis of hypothetical, and not actual, delivery cost differences. While the Commission would be happy to take action which would result in the additional reduction of fuel and other costs, it is not empowered to do so except as such may be incident to the proper exercise of its statutory responsibilities and consequently it can encourage backhauling only so far as it is consistent with the Commission's interpretation of the Robinson-Patman Act.

By direction of the Commission.

Correspondence from Council on Wage and Price Stability

April 2, 1975

Charles A. Tobin
Secretary
Room 172
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580

Dear Mr. Tobin:

I have enclosed copies of letters sent today to the members of the Commission by the Director of the Council on Wage and Price Stability. These letters are in response to the Commission's March 19, 1975 letter, about "backhaul" allowances, to the Consumers Union.

Please include these letters in the appropriate public docket at the Commission.

Sincerely,

/S/ Vaughn C. Williams
General Counsel

April 1, 1975

Dear Commissioner -----:

I am writing to express my concern about the Federal Trade Commission's clarification, in a letter to the Consumers' Union issued

on March 19, 1975, and publicly released on March 28, of the legality under Section 2(a) of the Robinson-Patman Act of backhaul allowances offered by a seller, who otherwise offers a uniform zone-delivered price, to customers who provide their own transportation for goods purchased at the seller's warehouse. In my view, it is important that the Commission develop a clear policy to encourage backhaul practices, in order to alleviate the fuel waste and other costs that result from unused backhaul capacity. However, the Commission's March 19 clarification is not such a policy, and may indeed further discourage backhaul.

The March 19 letter requires that the f.o.b. price offered to all backhauling customers be "uniform"—that is, be the same dollar amount in each case. It does not permit a seller to offer backhaul allowances that vary in accordance with the cost of transportation to each customer. This requirement of uniformity places a substantial restraint upon the development of backhauling—a restraint not mandated by the Robinson-Patman Act, which permits a seller to offer different prices where justified by different costs.

Under the Commission's March 19 letter, the uniform f.o.b. price offered to customers who backhaul is not likely to be lower than the seller's uniform zone-delivered price minus his average transportation cost for that zone. Sellers, at least those with substantial dominance in their product markets, cannot be expected to offer a uniform allowance in excess of their average costs. This allowance, however, will only permit backhauling by customers who can provide their own transportation at less than or equal to the seller's average cost. Customers far away enough to incur greater transportation costs will not be able to afford to make use of their empty backhaul capacity. In my view, this status will persist over time.

Backhauling by a seller's more distant customers can most simply be encouraged by a seller's offer of an allowance that is equal to his actual cost of transportation to any particular customer. With such an allowance, any customer who can ship as efficiently as the seller would be encouraged to use his empty truck capacity to do so. While different customers would be paying different prices for the same goods, the difference would only reflect differences in the seller's actual transportation costs to those customers.

While Section 2(a) of the Robinson-Patman Act generally prohibits price differentials for a single product, it expressly permits "differentials which make only due allowance for differences in the cost

of manufacture, sale, or *delivery* * * *." This language can certainly be interpreted to refer to such price differentials as would result from a backhaul allowance measured by actual transportation costs. Additional discriminations may be inherent in the uniform zone-delivered price from which such a backhaul allowance would be deducted. However, that fact makes it no less true that the price differentials resulting from an actual cost allowance would be justified by the differences in the seller's transportation costs to different customers.

Uncertainty about the legality of actual-cost backhaul allowances has significantly impeded the negotiation of backhaul agreements. The Commission's disapproval of actual cost allowances in its March 19 letter will of course further discourage backhaul practices by customers far enough away from a supplier to exceed his average transportation costs. The encouragement of backhaul, on the other hand, would not only save fuel and other costs as noted above, but would also increase competition among suppliers and customers with respect to the transportation of purchased goods. I therefore recommend that the Commission issue a statement that Section 2(a) of the Robinson-Patman Act permits actual cost backhaul allowances.

Sincerely,

/S/ Albert Rees
/S/ Director

Joint venture for the production and marketing of cresols, cresol derivatives and certain other related products. (File No. 753 7007, released November 3, 1975)

Opinion Letter

October 9, 1975

John Bodner, Jr., Esquire
Howrey, Simon, Baker & Murchison
1730 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Re: Advisory Opinion Request of Hercules Incorporated and
Koppers Company, Incorporated, File No. 753 7007

Dear Mr. Bodner:

This is in response to your letter of February 11, 1975 requesting an

advisory opinion concerning a proposed joint venture between Hercules, Incorporated and Koppers Company, Inc. for the production and marketing of cresols, cresol derivatives and certain other related products. You have requested that the Commission approve the venture as consonant with the laws it administers.

The Commission has given careful consideration to your request and the supplemental data provided. On the basis of the information presently available to it, the Commission has concluded that it is unable to approve the joint venture.

Based upon information presently available, the Commission is seriously concerned that a consequence of the joint venture, if consummated, may be substantially to lessen competition in particular, already concentrated, product markets. In the Commission's opinion, substantial anticompetitive effects in such markets may result because the venture, on the one hand, would appear to position an existing major factor in such markets, Koppers, to gain significant further market shares, entrench and solidify its market position and to obtain monopolistic market control, while on the other, the venture would appear to operate substantially to lessen potential competition by eliminating an apparent strong potential competitor, Hercules, from independent entry, or from entry in conjunction with a partner not already significantly present in any of the affected markets.

Acquisitions are proscribed where their effect may be substantially to lessen competition in any line of commerce in any section of the country, 15 U.S.C. §18; *United States v. Penn-Olin Chemical Co.*, 378 U.S. 158 (1964). The elimination of a potential entrant may substantially lessen competition in violation of the Act. *United States v. Penn-Olin Chemical Co., Supra.*

A number of facts lead us tentatively to conclude, based on the information presently available to us, that Hercules is a potential entrant into cresol production generally, and BHT and meta-para cresol production in particular, two markets in which Koppers already has substantial market shares. Not only was Hercules present in the BHT and paracresol markets during the period 1958 to 1972, but the firm appears to possess the technical expertise required for cresol production. Its technical know-how has, from time to time, been offered under license to others. Furthermore, it is clear from your submission that Hercules possesses a new and apparently commercially valuable production process for cresols. The firm has a continuing internal need for one of the venture products, BHT, and has available an idle plant

which can be readily converted to cresol production. The firm's financial resources; interest in investment; investment history; and the market opportunity in cresols, apparent from supply and anticipated demand profiles, are also factors indicating that Hercules stands as a viable independent potential entrant. Hercules, additionally, does not appear to us to be foreclosed from entry because of a lack of any necessary capabilities or skills.

At the same time, Hercules' venture partner, Koppers, is a substantial producer of meta-para cresol in a domestic market of only five producers and the leading domestic producer of BHT with approximately a 33 percent market share in a four producer market. In both of these markets, Hercules appears as a likely potential entrant. The venture accordingly presents serious issues under Section 7 of the Clayton Act.

You have stated that initially the venture will not market any meta-para cresol other than that presently produced by Koppers. However, regardless of whether the venture chooses initially to market such meta-para cresol, the venture would appear to have the effect of increasing Kopper's market power as to meta-para cresol, and would appear to provide Koppers, already a substantial producer, with an opportunity substantially to increase its share of that market any time the venture chooses to do so.

Koppers is the sole domestic producer of MBMC. Joining with Hercules in continued MBMC production and in the production of raw materials for MBMC will be likely to solidify Koppers' position in this market. Because Koppers has a monopoly in MBMC, the proposed venture would appear to raise questions under Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act and Section 2 of the Sherman Act.

A joint venture or merger may substantially lessen competition both in eliminating a potential entrant and by entrenching the position of a firm in an oligopolistic market. *Federal Trade Commission v. Procter & Gamble Co.*, 386 U.S. 568 (1967); *General Foods Corp. v. Federal Trade Commission*, 386 F.2d 936 (3rd Cir. 1967), cert. denied, 391 U.S. 919 (1968). The substitution of a larger more powerful competitor for a smaller already dominant firm may reduce a competitive structure by raising entry barriers and by dissuading smaller firms from aggressively competing. *Federal Trade Commission v. Procter & Gamble Co.*, supra.

The presence of Hercules-Koppers in the relevant markets, substituted for Koppers alone, may entrench the position of Koppers, rigidifying

present oligopolistic structures and raising barriers, both actual and psychological, to entry by others. Koppers' position in the already concentrated BHT and MBMC markets would solidified by vertical integration into raw materials. Firms considering entry would face the combined strength and resources of two major firms instead of Koppers alone. Accordingly, competition could be substantially lessened within the meaning of Section 7 of the Clayton Act not only by the elimination of Hercules as a potential entrant, but also by the entrenchment of Koppers in the BHT, meta-para cresol and MBMC markets.

The competitive consequences outlined in this letter are not conclusive. Nonetheless, based upon the information presently available to the Commission, we are unable to approve the proposed joint venture; accordingly the Commission advises that it will undertake a formal investigation if Hercules, Incorporated and Koppers Company, Inc. enter into said joint venture.

By the direction of the Commission.

*Letter of Request**

February 11, 1975

Dear Mr. Tobin:

Re: Hercules Incorporated-Koppers Company, Inc.'s Proposed
Jointly-Owned Company To Produce Cresols and Cresol
Derivatives

This is a request by Hercules Incorporated and Koppers Company, Inc. to the Commission for an Advisory Opinion under Section 1.1 *et seq.* of the Commission's Rules that the creation and operation of a proposed jointly-owned company by the requesting parties to produce and market cresols and cresol derivatives is permissible under the antitrust laws of the United States.

In support of this request, Hercules and Koppers submit the enclosed memorandum showing that the proposed joint venture for the specialty chemicals involved will benefit both competition and the public interest. The memorandum describes the parties and the chemical products involved, sets forth the nature and basic terms of the joint venture, and then discusses the probable effects on competition of the joint venture.

As further pointed out in the memorandum, Hercules and Koppers

* For reasons of economy, the large volume of supporting materials is not reproduced in this volume. It is available for public inspection in the Division of Legal and Public Records, Room 130, Federal Trade Commission Building, Washington, D.C. 20580.

must decide very soon whether they will consummate the proposed joint venture, and for commercial reasons they wish to make that decision without giving advance notice. Accordingly, we ask that this request be handled with all possible dispatch and that all the information submitted to the Commission by the parties be accorded confidential treatment. If the Commission decides to release any of the submitted information, we request that we receive reasonable notice before the release date.

In order to expedite this matter, Hercules and Koppers on their part stand ready to discuss the memorandum with the staff of the Commission and, if necessary, to provide supplemental information.

We further wish to advise the Commission that the proposed course of action is not currently being followed by the requesting parties and is not the subject of a pending investigation or other proceeding by the Commission or any other governmental agency.

Sincerely yours,

/S/ John Bodner, Jr.

Warranties—Interpretation of obligation under a full warranty to provide installment or replacement materials in event of a defect in an “installed product.” (File No. 763 7001, released December 1, 1975)

Opinion Letter

November 7, 1975

L. A. Pulkrabek, Esquire
Legal Department
Armstrong Cork Company
Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17604
Dear Sir:

This is in response to your letters to the commissioners dated May 29, 1975 concerning the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, Public Law 93-637. You request the Commission's opinion whether a “full (statement of duration) warranty” for what you term an “installed product” must include an obligation to provide installation of replacement materials in the event of a defect.

The Commission has carefully considered the matters set forth in your

letter pertaining to the obligations required under a full warranty. The Commission has treated your letter as a request for an advisory opinion under §§ 1.1-1.4 of the Commission's rules, 16 C.F.R. §§ 1.1-1.4. Section 104 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 2304, provides that a full warranty must, at a minimum, affirm or promise to "remedy" such product "without charge". Section 101(10), 15 U.S.C. 2301(10) defines the term remedy to include, at the warrantor's option, repair or replacement. Replacement is defined in § 101(11), 15 U.S.C. 2301(11), as "furnishing a new consumer product which is identical or reasonably equivalent to the warranted consumer product." Applying this definition to the case of flooring or other products having utility only when installed, the Commission is of the opinion that installation of substitute materials is within the Act's definition of replacement. Uninstalled materials cannot be deemed "identical or reasonably equivalent" to the installed product. Therefore, the consumer could not be charged for such installation.

It should be noted that a full warrantor could impose on the consumer a duty to remove, return, and reinstall a consumer product, if such duty met the test of reasonableness under § 104(b)(1), 15 U.S.C. 2304(b)(1). The duty would be on the warrantor to show that the cost and inconvenience to consumers of such a duty were outweighed by corresponding benefits to individuals or to the public. See "Implementation and Enforcement Policy" for the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 40 Fed. Reg. 25721, 25722 (June 18, 1975).

By direction of the Commission.

Letter of Request

May 29, 1975

Dear _____:

Re: Title I-P. L. 93-637 Consumer Product Warranties

Representatives of Armstrong Cork Company met with Christian S. White of the Commission's staff in mid-April for the purpose of discussing interpretative views of the warranty provisions of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty-Federal Trade Commission Improvement Act. For the most part, Armstrong agrees with Mr. White's interpretation of those provisions of the Act which were discussed. However, there was disagreement in interpretation in an area we consider significant in view of the nature of our business. Mr. White suggested our addressing that issue direct to the Commissioners through appropriate expression of our views. That issue is, whether the new

legislation *requires* warrantors of consumer products which are intended to be installed in the home by an independent third party installer, to provide for removal of defective goods and the installation of replacement goods in order to extend a full warranty on the product.

Armstrong Cork Company, a Pennsylvania corporation, is engaged primarily in the manufacture of resilient flooring, carpeting, and residential and architectural ceilings. Most of the products Armstrong manufactures are intended to be installed in the home, and in public and commercial buildings. The installation of these products is performed by independent flooring, carpeting, and ceiling retailers, contractors, and in some instances, on a do-it-yourself basis. These "installers" are generally several steps removed from the manufacturing process. Most of the products sold by Armstrong, with the notable exception of ceiling systems, are complete in themselves, that is, they have no component parts. Before the consumer has what might be called an "installed product," ready for use, there are two undertakings: one for the product, the other for the installation of that product. In addition to labor, the installation of the product may include use of sundries, underlayment, etc. which may or may not be of Armstrong manufacture.

There are essentially four different situations involving defects in products installed in the home:

(1) A product has been improperly installed; for example, flooring material is ripped or gouged during installation—clearly, in this instance, the dealer whose mechanic was performing the installation must provide the necessary remedy.

(2) The dealer installs defective goods and the defect was apparent at the time of installation; for example, there is an apparent bubble or discoloration, and instead of properly procuring replacement material prior to installation, the mechanic installs the defective material. In those situations, clearly the dealer should provide the remedy to the consumer.

(3) A product defect may not be readily perceived, or is not discovered until after installation. When such a defect becomes apparent, it is Armstrong's policy to undertake either repair of those areas found defective or provide replacement goods. This undertaking is performed without any charge being assessed by Armstrong to the consumer. Currently, in the case of carpet and ceiling materials, and after a specified period in the instance of flooring materials, we do not provide compensation for the labor charges incidental to the removal of the

defective goods or installation of the replacement goods. In regard to our carpet and ceiling materials, we follow general industry practice. In the case of our flooring materials, we currently provide a consumer warranty which we believe does more for the consumer than industry practice. Neither our carpet nor our ceiling materials currently carry a written consumer warranty.

(4) The consumer undertakes to perform the installation of a do-it-yourself product and save the costs incident to a professional installation. Product defect claims may arise from the consumer's failure to follow installation instructions, from non-recommended use, or from defective goods. If the manufacturer who fully warrants his product is required to professionally install replacement goods for the do-it-yourselfer, the consumer receives a better bargain than he initially paid for.

The underlying thread of the Act, as well as the legislative history, is to advise consumers, clearly and conspicuously, of written warranty terms, so that educated decisions can be made in the marketplace. The legislative intent is borne out by the statutory language in Section 102: "* * * to improve the adequacy of information available to consumers, prevent deception, and improve competition in the marketing of consumer products." House Report No. 93-1107 clearly indicates that "The purpose of the legislation is (1) to make warranties on consumer products more readily understood * * *." The legislators were attempting to avoid a situation where "the bold print giveth and the fine print taketh away." We do not read the Act as requiring the manufacturer to assume the costs of installation of replacement goods if he fully warrants only his product and makes it clear that the remedy is to provide replacement goods. The opposite reading would, we believe, result in an unintended substantive change in warranty law and practice for it would preclude a manufacturer from fully warranting its product with the remedy being product replacement and requires the manufacturer either to offer its product with a limited warranty or not provide any written warranty. Considering that installation and installation costs are not within the manufacturer's control and that such costs may approach or even exceed the product costs, it is likely that few manufacturers of installed products will, as a practical matter, be able to offer full warranties after July 3, 1975, at least to the degree theretofore.

Should the Commission not ultimately concur with our interpretation of the Act as expressed above, we believe that the Commission should consider establishing under Section 103(c) or perhaps Section 104(b)(3)

a special category of warranty entitled "FULL (Statement of Duration) WARRANTY - INSTALLATION NOT INCLUDED." Such a category would permit exclusion by the manufacturer of the responsibility for removing the defective product and installing replacement material. Appropriately the consumer would then look to the local dealer—his seller and installer—for a warranty of installation attendant to a product defect. This is clearly the most efficient and least expensive way of handling the problem and properly places upon the installer not only the responsibility to carefully select from whom he purchases, but also avoids the too recurrent theme of the installer avoiding responsibilities by simply passing them along to a remote manufacturer.

The clear legislative purpose and intent under the Act's warranty provisions is to clearly and conspicuously advise the consumer of the terms of written warranties. If the consumer is so advised that purpose is fulfilled.

We would welcome the opportunity to further address ourselves to this matter should you or any of your associates so desire.

Very truly yours,

/S/ L. A. Pulkrabek
Assistant Secretary and
General Manager
Legal Department
Secretary's Office

Compliance advisory opinion as to whether a proposed quantity discount, if implemented, would constitute compliance with the amended Clayton Act Subsection 2(a) Order (72 F.T.C. 412). (Docket No. 8599, released December 5, 1975)

Opinion Letter

November 19, 1975

Thomas E. Quay, Esquire
Secretary and Counsel
William H. Rorer, Inc.
Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034
Re: William H. Rorer, Inc., Docket No. 8599

Dear Mr. Quay:

This is in response to your request on behalf of William H. Rorer, Inc.,

for an advisory opinion concerning whether a proposed quantity discount, if implemented, would constitute compliance with the amended Clayton Act Subsection 2(a) Order in the above-captioned matter. According to your letter of March 13, 1975, and attachments thereto, Rorer proposes granting to all direct-buying retail customers a 3.5 percent discount from the list price of Maalox products with any purchase of \$250 or more of Maalox products at net prices. Rorer bases the proposed discount on a showing of savings in its costs of delivery and sale.

According to your letter, Rorer currently employs a nationally uniform delivered pricing system in the sales of its products. The net price to direct-buying retail customers, according to the letter, is the suggested price from wholesaler to retailer less 15 percent. To maintain the direct account status, however, the retail customer must purchase in terms of net prices at least \$125 of any Rorer products in each order and a total of \$500 for an entire year. The letter adds that Rorer products are delivered to direct-buying retail customers by common carrier from warehouses in Fort Washington, Pennsylvania; Tucker, Georgia; Hammond, Indiana; and San Leandro, California.

The Commission understands that the proposed discount would amount to a 4.12 percent reduction in the net price of Maalox products to participating direct-buying retail customers. According to your letter, the discount would not be applicable to non-Maalox products. Finally, it is understood that the discount would be offered to all direct-buying retail customers.

To determine the savings in the cost of delivery, Rorer focuses on savings in the cost of shipping its products to customers located closest to its points of distribution. Sufficient savings to justify the discount to these customers would arise, according to Rorer, by shipping a typical order containing \$250 worth of Maalox products instead of shipping two or more typical minimum \$125 orders. Rorer reasons, relying on facts previously submitted, that if the discount is qualified to the near-by customers then it would be uniformly cost justified to all customers.

To determine the savings in the cost of sale, Rorer divides its total 1974 operating cost for processing orders by the total number of invoices written to find the average cost of processing an individual order. Rorer contends that by processing an order containing \$250 worth of Maalox products rather than two more minimum \$125 orders it would save the cost of processing at least one invoice.

The Commission after reviewing the materials submitted in support of

the proposed discount hereby advises that, based on the cost justification submitted, the discount would not be uniformly cost justified and would therefore be violative of the above-captioned order. Several erroneous assumptions made in the cost study concerning the costs of delivery and sale require that the justification be rejected.

The cost justification is improperly based on a cost comparison which relies on the cost of handling a minimum \$125 purchase without having established that this size order would be substantially representative of the class of all purchases not earning the discount. A cost justification must reflect the true cost of transacting business and the actual pattern of sales experienced.

The cost justification is in further error because it attempts to qualify the discount in part by savings in the cost of handling the order's undiscounted non-Maalox products. Since these non-Maalox products would not benefit from the proposed discount it is unacceptable to justify the discount with savings attributable to their cost of delivery or processing in the order. The only savings which can be properly considered in supporting a discount on Maalox products are those which would reflect due allowances for differences in the costs of shipping and processing orders for Maalox products in differing quantities. Additionally, the cost justification erroneously assumes that the entire cost incurred in order processing can be the basis for establishing cost savings. Only that portion of the operating cost which varies with the size of orders processed is suitable for consideration in the cost justification. That portion of the cost which depends on the total volume of business transacted without regard to the size of orders on which that business is divided would not be relevant to a cost justification * * *.

By direction of the Commission.

Letter of Request

March 13, 1975

Re: New Request for Advisory Opinion Pursuant to FTC Rule
3.61(d); Ref. Docket No. 8599

Dear Sir:

1. As general counsel, I hereby request, on behalf of William H. Rorer, Inc., an advisory opinion pursuant to FTC rule 3.61(d) as to whether the proposed quantity discount, if implemented, would be in compliance with the Commission's Order, as modified, August 21, 1967,

32 *Federal Register* 12844, Docket No. 8599. As modified, the Order's application was limited to competing retail customers.

2. We also understand that the Order, as modified, does not *require* us to seek this advisory opinion from the Commission prior to instituting a quantity discount compatible with the terms of the Order. Nevertheless, Rorer voluntarily elects to seek the Commission's opinion in advance.

3. Rorer proposes to offer only to its retail customers a quantity discount of three and one-half percent (3-1/2%) from the list price on each single order of \$250 (net) or more of its leading antacid product, Maalox (suspension or tablets). There is adequate transportation cost justification for this discount as set forth in the attached schedules (see Index of Schedules). Rorer makes prepaid shipments from four locations: Fort Washington, Pennsylvania; Tucker, Georgia; Hammond, Indiana; and San Leandro, California.

4. Rorer's "list price" is our suggested price from wholesaler to retailer. (see General Price List, Schedule F.) Our price to wholesalers reflects a 20% discount from that "list price;" to retailers who buy on a direct basis, our price reflects a 15% discount. Our proposed 3-1/2% quantity discount to retailers only, on Maalox products only, would provide a direct retail discount of 18-1/2% from the list price. The non-Maalox portion of the order will continue to bear a 15% discount. Rorer additionally offers retailers a 2% discount for prompt payment.

5. Effective January 1, 1975, a retailer, in order to maintain his direct account status, must purchase \$500 (net) worth of Rorer products annually. Each order must be for \$125 (net) or more of our products.

6. Previous studies of transportation savings made and discussed with a representative of the Bureau of Competition of the FTC have revealed that there are three examples that show a *minimum* savings: from our Tucker, Georgia, branch warehouse to Atlanta, Georgia; from our San Leandro branch warehouse to Oakland, California; and from the San Leandro location to Los Angeles, California. Therefore, we have computed transportation savings on the basis of typical orders shipped from our Tucker and San Leandro branches to those locations.

7. Although transportation savings more than justify the proposed 3-1/2% quantity discount, we also assert a cost justification based upon administrative savings to be gained (Schedule E(a) and (b)). It is our contention that the proposed 3-1/2% discount is also justified entirely by this analysis alone.

8. Based upon experience during the last six months of 1974, we know that more than 50% of orders shipped to direct buying retailers contained \$200 (net) or more worth of Maalox products. It is our firm conviction that under our new terms and prices in effect since January 1, 1975, the 50% figure will be valid for orders containing \$250 (net) or more worth of Maalox products.

9. Enclosed in Schedule G are copies of four sets of published tariff schedules applicable to all four of our shipping points, although the examples cited refer only to our Tucker, Georgia and San Leandro, California branches. The tariff schedules are provided in order to facilitate the evaluation of our submission.

10. Schedules A through E, inclusive, contain calculations and data based on a "typical" order which is a competitive trade secret within the meaning of FTC Rule §4.10(a)(2). Therefore, Rorer expressly asserts a claim of confidentiality to Schedules A through E, inclusive. If the Commission renders a favorable advisory opinion, Rorer's implementation of the proposal would, of course, be in strict compliance with all the terms of the Order including prompt notification to the Commission and adequate and regular notice to all retail customers together with reasons and details of the discount.

Very truly yours,

/S/ Thomas E. Quay

Advertising and selling as "new," cars used for emission control tests (85 F.T.C. 1171). (File No. 753 7005, released January 7, 1976)

Opinion Letter

December 4, 1975

Mr. Richard H. Johnson
Acting Assistant Administrator for Enforcement
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D.C. 20460

Re: Sale of Vehicles Used to Perform Emission Control Tests
Required by the State of California

Dear Mr. Johnson:

This is in reply to your letter of May 12, 1975 requesting the Commission's opinion regarding the status "of those vehicles sold in the

State of California which have been tested in accordance with the provisions of the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Assembly Line testing requirements." Your letter indicates that for the 1974 model year, CARB required automobile manufacturers to subject about 20,000 vehicles to an emission control test identical to the "standard Federal testing procedure." It is the Commission's understanding that this standard procedure is the same as that described in your prior letter of September 16, 1974. Your May 12th request further indicates that auto manufacturers also have the option under California law to accumulate any desired mileage on test vehicles prior to testing in order to stabilize emission performance. The question posed is whether vehicles used to accomplish the CARB tests may be advertised and sold as "new."

On March 7, 1975, the Commission responded to your prior request for advice dated September 16, 1974 by advising you that each manufacturer's emission testing could raise unique questions and that the Commission would therefore prefer to respond to individual requests from manufacturers on a case-by-case basis. Your letter of May 12th, including its references to CARB test vehicles, fails to include individualized testing data, and in any event is not from an individual manufacturer. The Commission requires an affected party to make its own advisory opinion request, 16 C.F.R. §1.1, and without the benefit of comprehensive submissions by the real parties in interest the Commission is unable to issue a definitive opinion in elaboration of the March 7th reply.

It has come to the Commission's attention, however, that the March 7th letter has been interpreted in some quarters to constitute a Commission determination that emission test vehicles cannot legally be sold as "new" under any circumstances. Such an interpretation is incorrect, and the Commission wishes to emphasize that its previous reply did not involve any determination that emission test vehicles may or must be sold as either "new" or "used".

By direction of the Commission. Commissioner Hanford dissented, believing that the issuance of an advisory opinion was appropriate under the circumstances.

Letter of Request

May 12, 1975

Dear Mr. Tobin:

On March 7, 1975, the Commission provided an opinion, in response to our request of September 16, 1974, on the right of automobile manufacturers to advertise and sell as "new" those test automobiles used to demonstrate compliance with air pollution control standards.

The opinion responded to the issue as to "whether manufacturers would have the right to advertise and sell any of these test vehicles as 'new' " by stating that the "Commission * * * cannot conclude, as a matter of law, that automobile manufacturers have the right to sell such test vehicles as 'new.' Each manufacturer's testing may raise unique questions. Therefore, the Commission would prefer to defer a more definitive opinion until it receives a request from an auto manufacturer."

In order for us to relate the general opinion to our pending rulemaking, we request your definitive opinion regarding the status of those vehicles sold in the State of California which have been tested in accordance with the provisions of the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Assembly Line testing requirements. In model year 1974, the CARB required that a statistical sample of approximately 20,000 production vehicles (2% of one million vehicles sold in California) be selected and tested by American and foreign manufacturers using the standard Federal testing procedure. The test itself results in the accumulation of approximately 15 miles per vehicle. The CARB procedures permit manufacturers, at their option, to accumulate any desired mileage on vehicles prior to testing to accommodate those particular manufacturers who claim that a new vehicle exhibits erratic emission performance during the first few miles of use until the engine and emission control system settle into more predictable modes. Manufacturers claim that new vehicles of some model lines require mileage accumulation prior to testing. Accordingly, based on CARB information, 20-40 miles are accumulated prior to testing which could result in a total accumulation of 55 miles or 70 miles in the event of a retest. The totals would be 58.2 and 76.4 for 1975 and later models because the FTP is extended for 3.2 miles. One foreign manufacturer accumulates 200 miles on a selected vehicle prior to testing for a total accumulation of 218.2 miles. We are requesting that you provide the Commission's opinion on the status as "new" or "used" of these test automobiles used to demonstrate compliance with air pollution control standards in California.

We would appreciate your response as soon as possible.

Sincerely yours,

/S/ Richard H. Johnson
Acting Assistant Administrator
for Enforcement (EG-329)

TABLE OF COMMODITIES*

	Page
Accessories, swimming pool	615, 885
Advertisement solicitation	102
Advertising agency	1042
Air separation equipment	1241
Aircraft breathing equipment	1241
Anesthetic equipment, inhalation, therapeutic	1241
Animal food	1226
Antiseptic	1398
Appliances, electric	77, 425, 590, 1587
Audio components, high fidelity	590, 930, 938, 981, 988, 995, 1002
Automobile tires	425, 825
Automobiles, new and/or used	777, 1042, 1196, 1531
Automotive products	454, 518, 946
Baby furniture	693
Beard removal products	1079
Bedding	923
Brokers, mortgage loans	279, 303
"Bun Pals" soybean protein concentrate product	287
Burglar gates	1086
Carpeting	784, 845
Cereal, breakfast	831
Chemicals	608, 897, 1226, 1570
Chickens	287
Clothing	425
Coking coal	493
Collection agencies	102, 467, 502, 1098
Commercial advertising	1202
Computer training	319, 860
Condominium units	420
Consumer credit	275, 303, 307, 311, 389, 467, 502, 681
Copiers	364
Copra	1226
Corn wet-milling	1226
Cosmetic, skin preparation	957
Cosmetics	1074, 1079, 1106
Credit cards	1098
Credit reporting agencies	389, 467, 502, 681
Cribs	693
Crude petroleum and natural gas	228, 232, 1570
Data processing training	319, 860
Debt collection agency	109
Delinquent debts	1098
Department stores	337, 1074

*Commodities involved in dismissing or vacating orders are indicated by *italicized* page reference.

	Page
Diving boards, swimming pools	608
Drugs	399, 406, 688, 1074, 1079
Duplicating machines	364
Educational material	86, 94
Electric appliances	77, 425, 590, 1587
Electrical products	946
Encyclopedias	86, 94
"Ethion" pesticide	897
Fabrics	283
Fabrics, wool products	962, 966, 970
Facial depilatory	1079
Fertilizers	1570
Filters, swimming pools	608
Flax	1226
Floor coverings	784, 845
Flour	1226
Food products	1, 299, 399, 831, 1026, 1034, 1241
Food stores	709, 1026
Fur products	489, 705
"Furadan" pesticide	897
Furniture	77, 627, 693, 923, 1587
Garden supplies	688
Gates, burglar	1086
Grain	1226
Grocery products	1034
Hair replacement implants	355, 631, 640, 1012
Handrails, swimming pools	608
Hardware	688
Heaters, swimming pools	608
Hobby products	1009
Home improvement products	349, 473
Household goods	425
Housing, real estate	244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 307, 311, 420, 1522, 1526
Income tax preparation	119
Inhalation anesthetic equipment, therapy	1241
Instructions, computer programming	319, 860
Instruments, swimming pools	608
Insurance underwriting	1226
Iron ore	493
Leather wearing apparel	906
Loans	303, 307, 311, 1526
Meat and meat byproducts	287
Medical equipment, pipeline	1241
Metal	1226
Metals, special	1241
Mineral products	399, 406, 1570

	Page
Mobile homes	627
Modeling agency	1202
Molasses	1226
Money lenders	303, 307, 311
Mortgage loans	279, 303, 307, 311, 1526
Motorcycles	974
Motor vehicles	777, 1042, 1196, 1531
Mouthwash preparation	1398
Musical tape products	1514
Natural gas	212, 216, 236, 240
Natural gas and petroleum	196, 200, 204, 208, 220, 224, 228, 232
Oil, crude	1570
Ore	1226
Paint brushes and rollers	1
"Perma-Surg" system	355
Pesticides	897
Petroleum and natural gas	196, 200, 204, 208, 220, 224
Petroleum products	1570
Piping, swimming pools	608
Plastic products	513, 1570
Plastic slip covers	513
"Polyram" pesticide	897
Pools, swimming	349, 473
"Post Grape Nuts"	831
Poultry	287, 1226
Pumps, swimming pool	608
Radios, radio/television/stereo combinations	590
Real estate	417, 913, 1022, 1526, 1570
Reference items, encyclopedias, educational material	94
Reports, consumer credit	681
Residential siding materials	1051
"Rev-up Vitamins"	406
"Rev-up, Vitamins For Men"	399
Rock salt	1226
Rubber products	825
Salt	299, 1226
Schools	319
Shirts, men's sport	1582
Shopping centers, development, construction, and management	709
Siding materials, residential	1051
"Sinox" pesticide	897
Skin preparation	957
Sleeper sofas	923
Snowmobiles	974
Solicitation of advertisements	102
Soybean protein concentrate product: "Bun Pals"	287
Sporting goods and garden supplies	688
Steel products	493
Steps, swimming pool	608

	Page
Stereo equipment and components	590, 930, 938, 981, 988, 995, 1002
Stores, department	337
Swimming pool accessories and products	608, 885
Swimming pools	349, 473, 615, 885
Tables, infant feeding	693
Talent agency	1202
Tape products	1514
Tapes, recording	946
Television sets, furniture and appliances	77, 590
Textile fiber products	699, 1393, 1582
"Thiodan" pesticide	897
Tires, automobile	425, 825
Toiletries and drugs	688, 1106
Tools	425, 946
Toy craft products	1009
Toys	1009
Training, computer operations and programming, data processing	319, 860
Training, truck driver	182, 654, 1062
Travel agency, tours	777
Truck driver training courses	182, 654, 1062
Upholstered furniture	923
Uranium mining	411
Vacuum equipment and instrumentation	1241
Vegetable oil processing	1226
Vehicles, motor, new and used	777, 1196, 1531
Vitamins	399, 406
Vocational training courses for truck drivers and heavy equipment operators ..	182, 654, 1062
Wearing apparel	688
Wearing apparel, leather	906
Welding equipment	1241
Wool products	283, 962, 966, 970, 1382, 1388

INDEX*

DECISIONS AND ORDERS

	Page
Acquiring corporate stock or assets	1, 337, 364, 454, 493, 1241, 1570
Federal Trade Commission Act	364, 454, 1241, 1570
Arrangements, connections, dealings, etc.	493
Advertising falsely or misleadingly ..	86, 94, 182, 287, 299, 319, 349, 355, 364, 399, 406, 411, 473, 513, 590, 608, 615, 631, 640, 654, 693, 715, 777, 784, 825, 831, 845, 860, 885, 897, 906, 923, 930, 938, 946, 957, 974, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1042, 1051, 1062, 1074, 1079, 1106, 1196, 1202, 1382, 1398, 1514, 1531, 1582, 1587
Availability of merchandise and/or facilities ...	364, 615, 885, 1026, 1034, 1106, 1587
Business status, advantages or connections ...	182, 654, 693, 784, 845, 860, 906, 930, 938, 946, 1051, 1062, 1106, 1196, 1202, 1531, 1587
Advertising and promotional services	1106
Business methods and policies	906, 1106, 1587
Connections or arrangements with others ..	182, 654, 946, 1051, 1062, 1202
Exclusive distributor or producer	946
Financing activities	182, 845, 1587
Location	860
Nature	654, 784, 906, 930, 938, 1062
Personnel or staff	654, 693, 860, 1202
Qualifications and abilities	654, 693, 860, 1202
Reputation, success, or standing	1531
Retailer as wholesaler, jobber, factory distributor	693, 930, 938
Service	860, 1202
Size and extent	906, 1196, 1531
Unique or special status or advantage	906, 1196, 1202, 1531
Comparative data or merits	287, 693, 784, 825, 1042
Competitors' products	1042
Composition of goods	699, 784, 1582
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	699, 784, 1382, 1582
Wool Products Labeling Act	699
Connection of others with goods	1051, 1514
Content	287, 1582
Dealer or seller assistance	946, 1106
Demand, business or other opportunities ..	182, 319, 654, 860, 1062, 1106, 1202
Earnings and profits	182, 319, 654, 860, 946, 1062, 1106
Endorsements, approval and testimonials	319, 693
Fictitious or misleading guarantees	349, 473, 608, 615, 777, 885, 946, 1587
Financing	182, 845, 1587
Formal regulatory and statutory requirements	77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 699, 784, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1382, 1522, 1526, 1582, 1587

*Covering practices and matters involved in Commission orders. References to matters involved in vacating or dismissing orders are indicated by *italics*.

	Page
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	699, 784, 845, 1382, 1582
Truth in Lending Act . 77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1587	
Free goods or services	473, 845, 860, 923
Identity of product	1514
Individual attention	946
Individual's special selection or situation	654, 1202
Jobs and employment service	182, 654, 860
Limited offers or supply	1051, 1587
Manufacture or preparation	1582
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	1582
Nature of product or service .. 182, 287, 349, 355, 399, 406, 513, 590, 608, 615, 631, 640, 715, 777, 784, 825, 845, 860, 885, 897, 946, 957, 1012, 1062, 1074, 1196, 1202, 1514, 1531	
Old, reclaimed or reused product being new	777, 1196, 1531
Operation	1106
Opportunities	182, 319, 654, 715, 860, 1062, 1106, 1202
Prices ... 77, 182, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 287, 303, 307, 311, 319, 349, 355, 417, 420, 473, 513, 590, 615, 627, 631, 640, 693, 784, 845, 860, 885, 906, 913, 923, 930, 938, 946, 1012, 1022, 1051, 1196, 1522, 1526, 1531, 1587	
Additional charges unmentioned ... 182, 355, 473, 631, 640, 845, 860, 1012, 1196, 1531, 1587	
Bait	349, 473, 513, 615, 1587
Comparative	287, 473, 590, 784, 885, 906, 923, 1587
Demonstration reductions	615, 885, 1051
Discount savings	349, 473, 590, 615, 693, 923, 1587
Exaggerated as regular and customary	590, 784, 885, 906
Offered by buyer	946
Percentage savings	349, 473, 615, 693, 784, 885, 906, 923, 1587
Retail as cost, wholesale, discounted, etc.	615, 693, 784, 930, 938
Special or test offers	845
Terms and conditions .. 77, 182, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1531, 1587	
Truth in Lending Act .. 77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1587	
Usual as reduced, special, etc. . 349, 473, 615, 784, 845, 885, 906, 923, 1051	
Promotional sales plans 86, 94, 349, 473, 513, 590, 615, 654, 693, 845, 885, 1106, 1514, 1587	
Qualities or properties of product or service . 287, 319, 349, 355, 399, 406, 411, 608, 615, 631, 640, 715, 825, 831, 885, 897, 957, 1012, 1042, 1074, 1398, 1587	
Antiseptic, germicidal	1398
Cleansing, purifying	957
Corrective, orthopedic, etc.	715
Cosmetic or beautifying	355, 631, 640, 957, 1012, 1074
Durability or permanence 349, 355, 608, 615, 631, 640, 885, 1012, 1587	

	Page
Economizing or saving	1042
Medicinal, therapeutic, healthful, etc. 399, 406, 411, 715, 957,	1398
Non-toxic	897
Nutritive	287
Preventive or protective	1074, 1398
Rejuvenating	957
Renewing, restoring	1074
Quality of product or service	957
Quantity;	
In stock	1026, 1034, 1587
Offered	845, 1587
Refunds, repairs, and replacements	946
Results	182, 399, 406, 411, 631, 640, 654, 860, 1012, 1062, 1398
Safety	355, 631, 640, 715, 825, 831, 897, 946, 974, 1012, 1074, 1079, 1106
Investment	946, 1106
Product	825, 897, 974, 1074, 1079
Sample, offer or order conformance	946
Scientific or other relevant facts	86, 94, 182, 287, 299, 319, 349, 355, 399, 406, 411, 473, 513, 590, 608, 615, 631, 640, 654, 693, 715, 777, 784, 825, 831, 845, 860, 885, 897, 906, 923, 930, 938, 946, 957, 974, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1042, 1051, 1062, 1074, 1079, 1196, 1202, 1398, 1514, 1531, 1582, 1587
Scientific tests	957, 1042
Services	946
Source or origin	1382, 1514, 1587
In general	1514
Maker or seller, etc.	1382
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	1382
Wool Products Labeling Act	1382
Place...Domestic products as imported	1587
Special or limited offers	473, 906, 923, 1051, 1587
Success, use or standing	1106
Surveys	860, 1587
Terms and conditions	86, 94, 182, 590, 615, 777, 845, 906, 946, 1202, 1531
Insurance coverage	1531
Tests and investigations	957, 1042
Trademark registration or use	784
Type or variety	946, 1587
Unique nature or advantages	1106
Value	860, 1106, 1587
Aiding, assisting and abetting unfair or unlawful act or practice	715
Claiming or using endorsements or testimonials falsely or misleadingly ...	319, 693
Doctors and medical profession	693
Health authorities, hospitals, nursing profession, etc.	693
Clayton Act:	
Sec. 2-Discriminating in price; Sec. 2(a) Illegal price differentials	1106
Sec. 7-Acquiring corporate stock or assets	337, 454, 493, 1241, 1570
Coercing and intimidating:	
Customers or prospective customers	1051
Customers or prospective customers of competitors	845
Delinquent debtors	425, 467, 502, 688, 1098
Distributors	981, 988, 995, 1002, 1106
Collecting, assembling, furnishing or utilizing consumer reports	389, 681
Confidentiality, accuracy, relevancy, and proper utilization	389, 681

	Page
Fair Credit Reporting Act	389, 681
Formal regulatory and/or statutory requirements	389, 681
Fair Credit Reporting Act	389, 681
Combining or conspiring:	
To control allocations and solicitation of customers	1106
To control marketing practices and conditions ...	364, 709, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1106
To enforce or bring about resale price maintenance	981, 988, 995, 1002
To enhance, maintain or unify prices	709, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1106
To exchange future price information	981, 988, 995, 1002
To limit distribution or dealing to regular, established or acceptable channels or classes	709, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1106
To restrain or monopolize trade	364, 709
To restrain competition in buying	709
To terminate or threaten to terminate contracts, dealings, franchises, etc.	981, 988, 995, 1002
Concealing, obliterating or removing law required and informative mark- ing: Textile fiber product tags or identification	699
Contracting for sale in any form binding on buyer prior to specified time period:	
Contracting for sale in any form binding on buyer prior to end of specified time period ..	182, 319, 355, 473, 615, 631, 640, 845, 860, 885, 1012, 1062, 1202, 1587
Corrective actions and/or requirements	77, 86, 94, 102, 109, 182, 283, 319, 349, 355, 364, 389, 399, 406, 411, 425, 467, 473, 493, 502, 513, 590, 615, 631, 640, 654, 681, 688, 693, 709, 715, 784, 825, 845, 860, 885, 897, 923, 930, 938, 946, 957, 966, 970, 974, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1042, 1051, 1062, 1074, 1079, 1086, 1098, 1106, 1196, 1202, 1382, 1388, 1398, 1514, 1531, 1587
Arbitration	364
Corrective advertising	355, 631, 640, 1012, 1398
Destruction of records and/or data	693
Disclosures ...	86, 94, 102, 182, 283, 319, 355, 364, 411, 425, 467, 473, 502, 615, 631, 640, 654, 709, 715, 784, 845, 860, 885, 897, 923, 930, 938, 946, 957, 966, 970, 974, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1042, 1051, 1062, 1074, 1079, 1086, 1098, 1106, 1196, 1202, 1382, 1388, 1514, 1531, 1587
Displays, in-house	77, 1026, 1034, 1196, 1587
Employment of independent agencies	493
Furnishing information to media	513, 590, 784, 845, 897, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1587
Maintain records ..	102, 109, 182, 319, 349, 389, 399, 406, 411, 425, 467, 513, 590, 615, 631, 640, 654, 681, 688, 693, 784, 825, 860, 885, 930, 938, 946, 974, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1051, 1062, 1074, 1079, 1086, 1098, 1202
Advertising substantiation	513, 615, 825, 974, 1074
Complaints	102, 182, 513, 654, 930, 938, 1062, 1098
Records, in general ...	109, 319, 349, 389, 399, 406, 411, 425, 467, 590, 631, 640, 688, 693, 784, 860, 885, 946, 974, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1051, 1079, 1086, 1202
Records, sales	681
Maintain means of communication	86, 94
Recall of merchandise, advertising material, etc.	693
Refunds, rebates and/or credits	86, 94, 845, 860, 1062, 1086, 1106, 1587
Release of general, specific, or contractual constrictions, requirements, or restraints	364, 654, 946

	Page
Renegotiation and/or amendment of contracts ...	364, 860, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1062
Vacate court action(s)	425, 467, 688
Cutting off access to customers or market:	
Contracts restricting employees' rights to work for self or competitor	364
Cutting off supplies or service:	
Threatening disciplinary action or otherwise	981, 988, 995, 1002
Dealing on exclusive and tying basis	364
Federal Trade Commission Act	364
Delaying or withholding corrections, adjustments or action owed .	86, 94, 182, 473, 654, 845, 885, 930, 938, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1051, 1062, 1106, 1202, 1587
Delaying or failing to deliver goods or provide services of facilities ..	930, 938, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1051, 1202, 1587
Discriminating between customers	1106
Discriminating in price under Section 2, Clayton Act:	
Price discrimination under 2(a):	
Customer classification	1106
Terms and conditions	1106
Discriminating in price under Section 5, Federal Trade Commission Act:	
Lease versus sale	364
Dismissal orders	518, 1226
Disparaging competitors and their products—Competitors' products:	
Materials	364
Quality	364
Results	364
Safety	693
Disparaging products, merchandise, services, etc.	109, 349, 473, 513, 615, 885, 1051, 1587
Enforcing dealings or payments wrongfully	102, 688, 709, 1587
Failing to maintain records	473, 513, 590, 654, 699, 784, 825, 845, 860, 906, 923, 930, 938, 1106, 1393, 1582, 1587
Adequate	473, 513, 590, 654, 784, 845, 860, 906, 923, 1106, 1587
Formal regulatory and/or statutory requirements	825, 845, 930, 938, 1393, 1582
Fair Credit Reporting Act:	
Collecting, assembling, furnishing, or utilizing consumer reports	389, 681
Confidentiality, accuracy, relevancy, and proper utilization	389, 681
Formal regulatory and statutory requirements	389, 681
Federal Trade Commission Act:	
Acquiring corporate stock or assets	1, 364, 454, 493, 1241, 1570
Advertising falsely or misleadingly	77, 86, 94, 182, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 287, 299, 303, 307, 311, 319, 349, 355, 364, 399, 406, 411, 417, 420, 473, 513, 590, 608, 615, 627, 631, 640, 654, 693, 699, 715, 777, 784, 825, 841, 845, 860, 885, 897, 906, 913, 923, 930, 938, 946, 957, 974, 1012, 1022, 1026, 1034, 1042, 1051, 1062, 1074, 1079, 1106, 1196, 1202, 1382, 1398, 1514, 1522, 1526, 1531, 1582, 1587
Aiding, assisting and abetting unfair or unlawful act or practice	715
Claiming or using endorsements or testimonials falsely or misleadingly ...	319, 693
Coercing and intimidating ...	425, 467, 502, 688, 845, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1051, 1098, 1106
Collecting, assembling, furnishing or utilizing consumer reports	389, 681
Combining or conspiring	364, 709, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1106

	Page
Concealing, obliterating or removing law required and informative marking	699
Contracting for sale in any form binding on buyer prior to specified time period ..	182, 319, 355, 473, 615, 631, 640, 845, 860, 885, 1012, 1202, 1587
Corrective actions and/or requirements ..	77, 86, 94, 102, 109, 182, 283, 319, 349, 355, 364, 389, 399, 406, 411, 425, 467, 473, 493, 502, 513, 590, 615, 631, 640, 654, 681, 688, 693, 709, 715, 784, 825, 845, 860, 885, 897, 923, 930, 938, 946, 957, 966, 970, 974, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1042, 1051, 1062, 1074, 1079, 1086, 1098, 1106, 1196, 1202, 1382, 1388, 1398, 1514, 1531
Cutting off access to customers or market	364
Cutting off supplies or service	981, 988, 995, 1002
Dealing on exclusive and tying basis	364
Delaying or withholding corrections, adjustments or action owed ...	86, 94, 182, 473, 654, 845, 885, 930, 938, 981, 988, 995, 1002, 1051, 1062, 1106, 1202, 1587
Discriminating between customers	1106
Discriminating in price under Section 2, Clayton Act-Price discrimination under 2(a)	1106
Discriminating in price under Section 5, Federal Trade Commission Act ...	364
Disparaging competitors and their products:	
Competitors	693
Competitors' products	364
Disparaging products, merchandise, services, etc. ..	109, 349, 473, 513, 615, 885, 1051, 1587
Enforcing dealings or payments wrongfully	102, 688, 709, 1587
Failing to maintain records	473, 513, 590, 654, 699, 784, 845, 860, 906, 923, 930, 938, 1106, 1393, 1582, 1587
Furnishing false guaranties	1009, 1382, 1393, 1582
Furnishing means and instrumentalities of misrepresentation or deception	319, 608, 654, 693
Importing, manufacturing, selling or transporting flammable wear, and/or other merchandise	283
Interlocking directorates unlawfully	196, 200, 204, 208, 212, 216, 220, 224, 228, 232, 236, 240, 1241
Invoicing products falsely	489, 699, 784, 966, 1382, 1388, 1393, 1582
Maintaining resale prices	981, 988, 995, 1002, 1106
Misbranding or mislabeling ..	283, 699, 705, 784, 845, 962, 966, 970, 1382, 1388, 1393, 1514, 1582, 1587
Misrepresenting business status, advantages or connections ...	102, 109, 119, 182, 502, 654, 693, 784, 845, 860, 906, 930, 938, 946, 1051, 1062, 1098, 1106, 1196, 1202, 1531, 1587
Misrepresenting goods ...	86, 94, 102, 109, 119, 182, 283, 287, 299, 319, 349, 355, 399, 406, 411, 473, 513, 590, 608, 615, 631, 640, 654, 693, 699, 705, 715, 777, 784, 825, 841, 845, 860, 885, 897, 906, 913, 923, 930, 938, 946, 957, 960, 970, 974, 1009, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1042, 1051, 1062, 1074, 1079, 1106, 1196, 1202, 1382, 1388, 1393, 1398, 1514, 1531, 1582, 1587
Misrepresenting prices	77, 94, 182, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 349, 355, 417, 420, 473, 513, 590, 615, 627, 631, 640, 693, 784, 845, 860, 885, 906, 913, 923, 930, 938, 946, 1012, 1022, 1051, 1196, 1522, 1526, 1531, 1587
Misrepresenting promotional sales plans ...	86, 94, 319, 349, 473, 513, 590, 615, 654, 845, 885, 1106, 1514, 1587
Misrepresenting services	119, 946, 1202, 1587

	Page
Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure	77, 86, 94, 102, 119, 182, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 283, 299, 303, 307, 311, 319, 355, 411, 417, 420, 425, 467, 473, 489, 502, 590, 615, 627, 631, 640, 654, 688, 699, 705, 777, 784, 845, 860, 885, 897, 913, 930, 938, 960, 962, 970, 1012, 1022, 1026, 1034, 1051, 1062, 1079, 1086, 1106, 1196, 1202, 1382, 1388, 1393, 1514, 1522, 1526, 1582, 1587
Offering unfair, improper and deceptive inducements to purchase or deal .	119, 182, 319, 349, 355, 399, 406, 473, 513, 615, 631, 640, 693, 715, 777, 825, 841, 860, 897, 906, 946, 957, 962, 970, 974, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1042, 1051, 1062, 1079, 1106, 1196, 1202, 1398, 1514, 1587
Packaging or labeling of consumer commodities unfairly and/or decep- tively	1009
Securing agents or representatives by misrepresentation	946, 1106
Securing information by subterfuge	119
Securing orders by deception	102, 1514
Securing signatures wrongfully	1051, 1587
Simulating another or product thereof	109, 1098, 1587
Threatening suits, not in good faith	109, 467, 502, 1098, 1587
Using deceptive techniques in advertising	86, 94, 841, 860, 906, 1042, 1514
Using misleading name:	
Goods	608, 860, 906, 1582, 1587
Vendor	784, 1587
Using patents, rights or privileges unlawfully	364
Fur Products Labeling Act:	
Composition	705
Formal regulatory and statutory requirements	489, 705
Invoicing products falsely	489
Misbranding or mislabeling	705
Misrepresenting goods	705
Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure	489, 705
Furnishing false guaranties:	
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	1382, 1393, 1582
Furnishing means and instrumentalities of misrepresentation or deception	319, 608, 654, 693, 1009
Packaging deceptively—Oversized containers	1009
Importing, manufacturing, selling or transporting flammable wear, and/or other merchandise	283
Interlocking directorates unlawfully	196, 200, 204, 208, 212, 216, 220, 224, 228, 232, 236, 240, 1241
Interlocutory orders ..	76, 318, 387, 388, 425, 585, 606, 607, 650, 652, 653, 685, 686, 687, 844, 895, 896, 1090, 1093, 1094, 1105, 1192, 1193, 1195, 1224, 1530, 1568, 1569
Invoicing products falsely	489, 699, 784, 966, 1382, 1388, 1395, 1582
Fur Products Labeling Act	489
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	699, 784, 1382, 1393, 1582
Wool Products Labeling Act	966, 1382, 1388
Maintaining resale prices:	
Contracts and agreements	981, 988, 995, 1002, 1106
Cutting off supplies	981, 988, 995, 1002
Price schedules and announcements	981, 988, 995, 1002, 1106
Refusal to sell	981, 988, 995, 1002
Systems of espionage	981, 988, 995, 1002
Requiring information of price cutting	981, 988, 995, 1002

	Page
Spying on and reporting price cutters, in general	981, 988, 995, 1002
Misbranding or mislabeling:	
Advertising and promotion	845, 1514
Composition	283, 699, 705, 784, 845, 962, 966, 970, 1382, 1582
Fur Products Labeling Act	705
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	699, 784, 845, 1382, 1582
Wool Products Labeling Act	283, 699, 962, 966, 970, 1382
Content	845, 962, 966, 970, 1388, 1393, 1582
Formal regulatory and statutory requirements	283, 699, 705, 784, 845, 962, 966, 970, 1382, 1388, 1393, 1582
Fur Products Labeling Act	705
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act ..	699, 784, 845, 1382, 1393, 1582
Wool Products Labeling Act	283, 699, 962, 966, 970, 1382, 1388
Identity	1382, 1514
Nature	962, 966, 1514
Scientific or other relevant facts	845, 962, 966, 970, 1514, 1582
Source or origin	1382, 1587
Maker or seller	1382
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	1382
Wool Products Labeling Act	1382
Place...Domestic product as imported	1587
Misrepresenting oneself and goods:	
Business status, advantages or connections:	
Authorities and personages connected with	1202
Business methods, policies, and practices	109, 502, 845, 906, 1106, 1202
Connections and arrangements with others ..	109, 182, 654, 946, 1051, 1062, 1202, 1587
Customer connection	1106
Financial condition	1202
Financing activities	182, 845, 1587
Identity	1098
Individual or private business as professional person, association or guild	1098
Nature ...	102, 109, 119, 182, 654, 784, 860, 906, 930, 938, 1062, 1202, 1587
Operations generally	102
Personnel or staff	119, 654, 693, 860, 946, 1098, 1202, 1587
Qualifications	119, 654, 693, 860, 1202
Reputation, success or standing	1531
Retailer as wholesaler, jobber, or factory distributor	930, 938
Services	860, 1202, 1587
Size, extent, or equipment	102, 906, 1196, 1531
Unique status or advantages	906, 1196, 1202, 1531
Goods:	
Availability of advertised merchandise and/or facilities	615, 885, 1026, 1084, 1106, 1587
Comparative data or merits	287, 693, 784, 1042
Composition	283, 705, 966, 970, 1382, 1582
Fur Products Labeling Act	705
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	1382, 1582
Wool Products Labeling Act	283, 966, 970
Condition of goods	1531
Content	287, 966, 970, 1388, 1393, 1582
Dealer or seller assistance	946, 1106

	Page
Demand for or business opportunities	182, 319, 654, 860, 1062, 1106, 1202
Earnings and profits	182, 319, 654, 860, 946, 1062, 1106, 1202
Endorsements	319, 693
Formal regulatory and statutory requirements	283, 699, 705, 784, 845, 913, 970, 1382, 1388, 1393, 1582, 1587
Fur Products Labeling Act	705
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	699, 784, 845, 1382, 1393, 1582
Truth in Lending Act	845, 913, 1587
Wool Products Labeling Act	283, 699, 970, 1388
Free goods or services	473, 845, 923
Guarantees	119, 349, 473, 608, 615, 777, 885, 1587
History of product	1531
Identity	1514
Individual attention	946
Individual's special selection or situation	654, 1202
Jobs and employment	182, 319, 860
Nature	102, 109, 287, 349, 355, 590, 608, 615, 631, 640, 654, 715, 777, 825, 845, 860, 885, 897, 946, 957, 966, 1012, 1042, 1074, 1196, 1202, 1514, 1531, 1587
By misleading trade or corporate name	608
Old, secondhand, reclaimed or reconstructed as new	777, 1196, 1531
Opportunities in product or service	319, 654, 715, 1062, 1202
Packaging deceptively	1009
Qualities or properties	287, 349, 355, 399, 406, 411, 608, 615, 631, 640, 825, 885, 897, 957, 1012, 1042, 1074, 1398
Quality	319, 1587
Quantity	845, 1587
Refunds	119, 946
Results	355, 399, 406, 411, 631, 640, 654, 860, 1012, 1106, 1398
Sample, offer, or order conformance	102, 946
Scientific or other relevant facts	86, 94, 102, 119, 182, 287, 299, 319, 349, 355, 399, 406, 411, 473, 513, 590, 608, 615, 631, 640, 654, 693, 715, 777, 784, 825, 831, 845, 860, 885, 897, 906, 923, 930, 938, 946, 957, 966, 970, 974, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1042, 1051, 1062, 1074, 1079, 1196, 1202, 1398, 1514, 1531, 1587
Source or origin	1382, 1587
Maker or seller	1382
Place...Domestic products as imported	1587
Special or limited offers	473, 923, 1051, 1587
Success, use, or standing	1106
Surveys	860
Terms and conditions	86, 119, 590, 615, 777, 1531, 1587
Insurance coverage	1531
Sales contract	1531, 1587
Tests, purported	957, 1042
Unique nature or advantages	1106, 1202
Value	860, 1106
Prices:	
Additional costs unmentioned	182, 355, 631, 640, 845, 860, 1012, 1196, 1531, 1587
Bait	473, 513, 615, 885, 1587
Comparative	590, 784, 923, 1587
Demonstration reductions	473, 615, 885, 1051

	Page
Exaggerated as regular and customary	693, 784, 885, 906
Reductions for prospect referrals	349
Retail as cost, etc., or discounted	693, 784, 845, 906, 923, 930, 938
Terms and conditions ... 77, 94, 182, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 615, 627, 845, 913, 946, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1531, 1587	
Truth in Lending Act .. 77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1587	
Usual as reduced or to be increased 349, 473, 615, 784, 845, 885, 906, 923, 1051	
Promotional sales plans 86, 94, 319, 349, 473, 513, 590, 615, 654, 845, 885, 1106, 1514, 1587	
Services—Terms and conditions	119, 946, 1202, 1587
Modified orders	589, 613, 841, 921, 1194, 1231, 1235
Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure:	
Composition	283, 705, 845, 962, 966, 970, 1382, 1582, 1587
Fur Products Labeling Act	705
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	845, 1382, 1582
Wool Products Labeling Act	283, 962, 966, 970, 1382
Content	784, 962, 966, 970, 1393, 1582
Formal regulatory and statutory requirements 77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 283, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 489, 590, 627, 699, 705, 845, 913, 962, 966, 970, 1022, 1051, 1086, 1382, 1388, 1393, 1522, 1526, 1582, 1587	
Fur Products Labeling Act	489, 705
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	699, 845, 1382, 1393, 1582
Truth in Lending Act .. 77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1587	
Wool Products Labeling Act	283, 699, 962, 966, 970, 1382, 1388
Identity	473, 615, 885, 1202, 1514, 1587
Instruments' sale to finance companies	845, 1587
Limitations of product	1587
Nature	102, 654, 784, 860, 897, 962, 966, 1062, 1196, 1202, 1514, 1587
Notice of third party sale of contract	1587
Old, used, or reclaimed as unused or new	1196
Prices 182, 355, 631, 640, 777, 845, 860, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1062, 1196, 1587	
Additional prices unmentioned 182, 355, 631, 640, 777, 845, 860, 1012, 1062, 1196, 1587	
Qualities or properties	355, 631, 640, 1012
Quality, grade or type	845, 1587
Risk of loss	1106
Safety	355, 631, 640, 897, 1012, 1079
Sales contract, right-to-cancel provision .. 182, 319, 355, 473, 615, 631, 640, 654, 860, 885, 913, 1012, 1051, 1062, 1086, 1106, 1202, 1587	
Commencing contractual obligations prior to end of cooling-off period	1051
Scientific or other relevant facts 86, 94, 102, 119, 182, 299, 319, 355, 411, 425, 467, 473, 502, 590, 615, 631, 640, 654, 688, 784, 845, 860, 885, 897, 930, 938, 962, 966, 970, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1051, 1062, 1079, 1196, 1202, 1514, 1582, 1587	

	Page
Source or origin	1382, 1587
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	1382
Wool Products Labeling Act	1382
Maker or seller	1382
Terms and conditions 77, 86, 94, 119, 182, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 355, 417, 420, 473, 590, 615, 627, 845, 885, 913, 1022, 1051, 1086, 1202, 1522, 1526, 1587	
Sales contract	182, 355, 885, 1051, 1086, 1587
Truth in Lending Act .. 77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1587	
Offering unfair, improper and deceptive inducements to purchase or deal:	
Earnings and profits	182, 319, 860, 946, 1062, 1106, 1202
Free goods	473
Guarantee, in general	119, 349, 473, 615
Guarantee—statutory:	
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	1582
Job guarantee and employment	182, 860
Limited offers or supply	1587
Money back guarantee	946
Opportunities in product or service	182, 319, 715, 860, 1062, 1106, 1202
Returns and reimbursements	119, 946
Sales assistance	946
Sample, offer, or order conformance	946
Scientific or other relevant facts ... 119, 182, 349, 355, 399, 406, 473, 513, 615, 631, 640, 693, 715, 777, 825, 831, 860, 897, 906, 946, 957, 962, 970, 974, 1012, 1026, 1034, 1042, 1051, 1062, 1074, 1079, 1196, 1202, 1398, 1514, 1582, 1587	
Undertakings, in general	1106
Opinions, statements by Commissioners 1, 54, 124, 158, 316, 317, 427, 437, 449, 523, 567, 568, 569, 596, 663, 718, 771, 790, 814, 1117, 1178, 1248, 1340, 1404, 1485, 1535, 1550	
Packaging or labeling of consumer commodities unfairly and/or deceptively ...	1009
Securing agents or representatives by misrepresentation:	
Demand or business opportunities	1106
Earnings	946, 1106
Exclusive territory	946
Sample, offer or order conformance	946
Scientific or other relevant facts	946, 1106
Terms and conditions	946
Securing information by subterfuge	119
Securing orders by deception	102, 1514
Securing signatures wrongfully	1051, 1587
Simulating another or product thereof—Court documents	109, 1098, 1587
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act:	
Advertising falsely	699, 784, 845, 1382, 1582
Composition	699, 784, 845, 1382, 1582
Formal regulatory and statutory requirements	699, 784, 845, 1382, 1393, 1582
Furnishing false guaranties	1382, 1393, 1582
Guarantee - statutory	1582
Identity	1382
Invoicing products falsely	699, 784, 1382, 1393, 1582
Maker or seller, etc.	1382
Manufacturer or preparation	1582

	Page
Misbranding or mislabeling	699, 784, 845, 1382, 1393, 1582
Misrepresenting - goods	699, 784, 845, 1382, 1393, 1582
Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure	699, 845, 1382, 1393, 1582
Offering unfair, improper and deceptive inducements to purchase or deal	1582
Source or origin	1382
Using misleading name - goods	1582
Threatening suits, not in good faith:	
Delinquent debt collection	109, 467, 502, 1098, 1587
Threatening infringement suits, not in good faith	502
Truth in Lending Act:	
Advertising falsely	77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1587
Demand, business or other opportunities	319
Earnings and profits	319
Endorsements, approval and testimonials	319
Formal regulatory and statutory requirements	77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 411, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1587
Misrepresenting goods	913
Misrepresenting prices ..	77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1587
Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure ..	77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1587
Opportunities in product or service	319
Sales contract, right-to-cancel provision	319, 1587
Scientific or other relevant facts	319
Terms and conditions ..	77, 244, 248, 252, 256, 260, 266, 271, 275, 279, 303, 307, 311, 319, 417, 420, 473, 590, 627, 845, 913, 1022, 1051, 1522, 1526, 1587
Unfair methods or practices, etc., involved in this volume: <i>See Federal Trade Commission Act</i>	
Using deceptive techniques in advertising	86, 94, 831, 860, 906, 974, 1042, 1514, 1587
Labeling depictions	1514
Television depictions	831
Using misleading name:	
Goods;	
Composition	1582, 1587
Textile Fiber Products Identification Act	1582
Nature	860
Qualities or properties	608
Vendor;	
Nature, in general	784, 906
Personnel or staff	1587
Using patents, rights or privileges unlawfully	364
Wool Products Labeling Act:	
Advertising falsely	699, 1382
Composition	283, 699, 962, 966, 970, 1382
Formal regulatory and statutory requirements ..	283, 699, 962, 966, 970, 1382, 1388
Invoicing products falsely	966, 1382, 1388

	Page
Maker or seller	1382
Misbranding or mislabeling	283, 699, 962, 966, 1382, 1388
Misrepresenting goods	283, 699, 966, 970, 1388
Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure ..	283, 699, 962, 966, 970, 1382, 1388
Source or origin	1382

INDEX

LIST OF ADVISORY OPINIONS

	File Numbers	Page
Interpretation of language contained in an order to file special report regarding purchases of used bakery equipment. (Docket 7880, released July 18, 1975)	(D. 7880)	1618
No. 147. Granting of "back-haul" allowances to customers picking up their own orders. (72 F.T.C. 1050)		
No. 483. "Backhaul" allowances advisory opinion affirmed. (File No. 683 7026, released December 26, 1973, 83 F.T.C. 1843)		
Statement of Clarification. (85 F.T.C. 1174)	(683 7026)	1619
Joint venture for the production and marketing of cresols, cresol derivatives and certain other related products. (File No. 753 7007, released November 3, 1975)	(753 7007)	1624
Warranties—Interpretation of obligation under a full warranty to provide installment or replacement materials in event of a defect in an "installed product." (File No. 763 7001, released December 1, 1975)	(763 7001)	1628
Compliance advisory opinion as to whether a proposed quantity discount, if implemented, would constitute compliance with the amended Clayton Act Subsection 2(a) Order (72 F.T.C. 412). (Docket No. 8599, released December 5, 1975)	(D. 8599)	1632
Advertising and selling as "new," cars used for emission control tests (85 F.T.C. 1173). (File No. 753 7005, released January 7, 1976)	(753 7005)	1636