# ANALYSIS OF THE AGREEMENT CONTAINING CONSENT ORDER TO AID PUBLIC COMMENT

In the Matter of Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc. File No. 111-0215

#### I. Introduction

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") has accepted, subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Order ("Consent Agreement") from Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc. ("Valeant"), which is designed to remedy the anticompetitive effects of Valeant's acquisition of certain assets of Sanofi's dermatology unit, Dermik ("Dermik")

The proposed Consent Agreement has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the proposed Consent Agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the proposed Consent Agreement, modify it, or make final the Decision and Order ("Order").

Valeant proposes to acquire certain assets of Sanofi's dermatology unit, Dermik, in a transaction valued at approximately \$425 million ("the Acquisition"). Both parties sell topical pharmaceutical products in the United States. The Commission's Complaint alleges that the proposed acquisition, if consummated, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, in the markets for 1) BenzaClin and 2) topical fluorouracil cream ("topical 5FU"). The proposed Consent Agreement remedies the loss of competition in these markets that would result from the Acquisition. Specifically, under the terms of the Consent Agreement, Valeant would be required to (1) divest all rights and assets related to generic BenzaClin, and (2) grant a perpetual, unrestricted license for the authorized generic of Efudex ("AG Efudex"). Valeant has proposed Mylan Inc. ("Mylan") as the buyer of generic BenzaClin and AG Efudex assets.

#### II. The Products and the Structure of the Market

Valeant's proposed acquisition of Dermik from Sanofi would create a monopoly in the BenzaClin market. Dermik manufactures and markets BenzaClin, which is a topical pharmaceutical product used to treat acne vulgaris, commonly known as acne. BenzaClin is a combination of clindamycin, an antibiotic, and benzoyl peroxide, an antimicrobial. Valeant owns the only Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA") for the generic version of BenzaClin, which it licenses to Mylan. Pursuant to that license, Mylan sells the only generic equivalent of BenzaClin in the United States and Valeant receives the vast majority of royalties from those sales. Currently Dermik's BenzaClin sales account for approximately 50 per cent of sales, while sales of Mylan's generic version account for the other approximate 50 per cent. The Acquisition would create a monopoly in this market.

In addition, Valeant's proposed acquisition of Dermik is likely to result in anticompetitive effects in the market for topical 5FU products. Topical 5FU products are used to treat actinic keratosis ("AK"), which is a pre-cancerous lesion that can result from years of repeated sun exposure. Three branded topical 5FUs are currently on the market, including Valeant's Efudex and Dermik's Carac. There are also two generic versions of Efudex, as well as an "authorized" generic, also sold by Valeant. The price of the generic drugs in this market determines the pricing of branded Carac. Post-acquisition, Valeant's market share in the topical 5FU market would be over 50 per cent. Other treatments for AKs are not viable substitutes for topical 5FUs because they are more costly, less efficacious or impracticable.

## III. Entry

Entry into the manufacture and sale of both BenzaClin and topical 5FU products is difficult, expensive and time consuming. Developing and obtaining U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval for the manufacture and sale of topical pharmaceuticals takes over two years due to substantial regulatory, technological and intellectual property barriers. Furthermore, entry would not be likely because the markets are relatively small, so the limited sales opportunities available to a new entrant would likely be insufficient to justify the time and investment necessary to enter.

## IV. Effects of the Acquisition

The proposed acquisition would cause significant anticompetitive harm to consumers in the U.S. markets for the manufacture and sale of both BenzaClin and topical 5FU products by eliminating actual, direct and substantial competition between Valeant and Sanofi in those markets. With respect to the BenzaClin market, the transaction would combine BenzaClin and its only generic equivalent, eliminating BenzaClin's closest competitor and creating a monopoly. The impact of eliminating the competition between BenzaClin and its only currently-marketed generic equivalent, is highly likely to result in consumers paying higher prices.

In the topical 5FU market, the transaction would give Valeant control over three linked treatments for AK – Dermik's branded Carac and Valeant's branded and AG Efudex products. The combination of these products at Valeant would eliminate head to head competition between Carac and the Efudex AG and is thus likely to result in higher prices for topical 5FUs.

### V. The Consent Agreement

The proposed Consent Agreement effectively remedies the acquisition's anticompetitive effects in the relevant markets by requiring Valeant to (1) divest its ANDA for generic BenzaClin to Mylan, and (2) supply an authorized generic of Efudex, pursuant to a license to Mylan. If approved, Mylan will acquire all rights and assets currently held by Valeant, including any existing inventory. The assets to be transferred include all manufacturing and research and development rights in the divested products.

Mylan is a particularly well-suited acquirer of generic BenzaClin because it has been manufacturing and marketing the product, pursuant to an agreement with Valeant, since it was introduced in August 2009. Mylan is the second-largest generic pharmaceutical manufacturer in the United States, and is well-positioned to replicate the competition that would be lost with the proposed Valeant/Dermik acquisition. Headquartered in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Mylan employs more than 18,000 employees and generated approximately \$5.45 billion in revenue in 2010. Mylan sells approximately 270 products and has a manufacturing facility where BenzaClin is manufactured. It is in the process of upgrading that facility to handle compounds such as 5FU.

Mylan expects to begin manufacturing generic Efudex at that facility in 2013. Until that time, the proposed Consent Agreement contemplates Mylan's purchase of topical 5FU from Valeant pursuant to a supply agreement. In order to ensure that there is no supply interruption, the proposed Consent Agreement would require that Valeant build up a two-year inventory and establish its own manufacturing as a back-up supply until Mylan is able to manufacture Efudex commercially. Valeant would also be required to assist Mylan with developing its manufacturing capabilities and securing the necessary FDA approvals. With these provisions, Mylan will be able to compete in the 5FU market immediately following the divestiture and establish independent manufacturing as soon as practicable.

The Commission has appointed Francis J. Civille as the Interim Monitor to oversee the asset transfer and to ensure Valeant's compliance with the provisions of the proposed Consent Agreement. Mr. Civille has over 27 years of experience in the pharmaceutical industry. He has extensive experience in areas such as pharmaceutical research and development, regulatory approval, manufacturing and supply, and marketing. Mr. Civille will oversee the transfer of Efudex manufacturing technology to the acquirer and ensure that Valeant is diligent in building up the required inventory of the product and establishing its own back-up supply capabilities. In order to ensure that the Commission remains informed about the status of the proposed divestitures and the transfers of assets, the proposed Consent Agreement requires the parties to file reports with the Commission periodically until the divestitures and transfers are accomplished.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed Consent Agreement, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Order or to modify its terms in any way.