1	DANIEL K. CRANE-HIRSCH		
2	Trial Attorney Office of Consumer Litigation Civil Division United States Department of Justice PO Box 386 Washington, DC 20044-0386 Telephone: 202-616-8242 Facsimile: 202-514-8742 daniel.crane-hirsch@usdoj.gov		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	Attorney for Plaintiff United States of America		
8	LINITED STATES DISTRICT COLLDT		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA – PHOENIX DIVISION		
10	,		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Civil Action No.		
12	Plaintiff, )		
13	DIRECT MARKETING ASSOCIATES, CORP .,) and JOHN M. RAINEY, JR.		
14			
15	Defendants.		
	<u> </u>		
16 17	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF		
	INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF		
17	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for		
17 18	INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization		
17 18 19	INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for		
17 18 19 20	INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for its Complaint alleges as follows:		
17 18 19 20 21	INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for its Complaint alleges as follows:  1. Plaintiff brings this action under sections 5(a), 13(b), and 16(a) of the		
17 18 19 20 21 22	INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for its Complaint alleges as follows:  1. Plaintiff brings this action under sections 5(a), 13(b), and 16(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), and 56(a), and		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INJUNCTION, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF  Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for its Complaint alleges as follows:  1. Plaintiff brings this action under sections 5(a), 13(b), and 16(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), and 56(a), and the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1681x, to obtain monetary		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for its Complaint alleges as follows:  1. Plaintiff brings this action under sections 5(a), 13(b), and 16(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), and 56(a), and the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1681x, to obtain monetary civil penalties and injunctive or other relief for Defendants' violations of the FTC Act and		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for its Complaint alleges as follows:  1. Plaintiff brings this action under sections 5(a), 13(b), and 16(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), and 56(a), and the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1681x, to obtain monetary civil penalties and injunctive or other relief for Defendants' violations of the FTC Act and the FCRA.		

U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) - (c) and 1395(a).

3

# **DEFENDANTS**

Venue is proper in this District under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and under 28

Defendant John M. Rainey, Jr. ("Rainey") is an owner and director of

4 5

6

4. Defendant Direct Marketing Associates, Corp. ("DMA") is a for-profit corporation organized, existing, and doing business under the laws of the State of Arizona. Its principal place of business is located at 1829 W. Drake St., Tempe, Arizona 85283. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant DMA has transacted business

7

8 in this district.

5.

3.

9 10

DMA. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting individually or in concert with others, Rainey has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the policies, acts, or

1112

practices of DMA, including the various acts and practices set forth herein. Rainey

13

transacts or has transacted business in this District.

14

6. The acts and practices of Defendants as alleged in this Complaint are and

16

15

have been in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

1718

# THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

19

20

7. The FCRA was enacted in 1970, became effective on April 25, 1971, and has been in force since that date.

21

8. Section 621 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s, authorizes the Commission to use all of its functions and powers under the FTC Act to enforce compliance with the

2223

FCRA by all persons subject thereto except to the extent that enforcement specifically is

24

committed to some other governmental agency, irrespective of whether the person is

25

engaged in commerce or meets any other jurisdictional tests set forth by the FTC Act.

The Defendants in this case are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.

26

27

28

#### **DEFENDANTS' COURSE OF CONDUCT**

- 9. DMA is a marketing company that provides, *inter alia*, direct mail marketing services to automobile dealers located throughout the United States. DMA contracts with the automobile dealers to mail one or more solicitations for the purchase of automobiles to consumers who meet certain demographic and/or credit history criteria. These solicitations are intended to induce consumers to visit the dealers' showrooms to purchase vehicles.
- 10. DMA is responsible for the content of the solicitations that are used in the marketing programs that it offers to dealers. Each dealer, working with DMA, selects the solicitation or solicitations to be used in DMA's mailings for that dealer.
- 11. The solicitations prepared and disseminated by DMA state that a named finance entity, such as "Evergreen Automotive Acceptance," has "pre-approved" the consumer receiving the solicitation for a loan to purchase a vehicle at the automobile dealer identified in the solicitation. In numerous instances these solicitations are signed by Defendant Rainey. The solicitations instruct consumers to contact or visit the automobile dealers identified in the solicitations.
- 12. DMA, in consultation with the dealers, establishes the number of consumers to receive solicitations and the demographic and/or credit history criteria by which the consumers are selected to receive the solicitations. DMA obtains names and addresses of consumers who meet those criteria, prints the sales solicitations for the dealers, stuffs the envelopes, and mails the solicitations to the consumers according to the schedule established with each dealer.
- 13. In many instances, DMA has obtained the names of consumers who meet the selected criteria to receive solicitations from a "consumer reporting agency" as that term is defined in section 603(f) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). The information provided by the consumer reporting agency constitutes a "consumer report" as defined by section 603(d)(1) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1).

# **COUNT I**

3

2

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

### VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT

- 14. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce, including false or misleading statements or representations in advertising or sales solicitations.
- 15. Through the means described in Paragraphs 9 to 13, Defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that the consumers receiving the solicitations have been pre-approved for automobile financing.
- 16. In truth and in fact, the consumers receiving the solicitations have not been pre-approved for automobile financing. The finance companies identified in DMA's solicitations, such as "Evergreen Automotive Acceptance," are not functioning finance companies, are not registered or licensed as required by law in numerous states where DMA has disseminated solicitations, and have not made financing available to the consumers who received the solicitations. Defendants had no arrangement or agreement with any other party to pre-approve the consumers for automobile financing.
- Therefore, the representations set forth in Paragraph 15 were, and are, false 17. or misleading, and constitute deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce in violation of section 5(a) of the FTC Act 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

#### COUNT II

## VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

18. Section 604 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b, prohibits consumer reporting agencies from providing consumer reports to third parties except for certain enumerated "permissible purposes." Section 604(c) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(c), establishes a permissible purpose in connection with the making of a "firm offer of credit or insurance." That term, also known as a "prescreened offer," is defined in section 603(l) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(l), as an offer that will be honored (subject to certain exceptions) if the consumer, based on information in his or her consumer report, is determined to meet the criteria pre-specified by the offeror.

19.

20.

6

9

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

that DMA would serve as the processor of the information received from the consumer reporting agency on behalf of a finance company, which would use that information to make firm offers of credit to consumers.

Section 604(f) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(f), prohibits any person

In the course of their business, Defendants have obtained consumer reports

from using or obtaining consumer reports from a consumer reporting agency unless (a)

reporting agency is authorized to provide reports under the FCRA, and (b) each such

purpose is certified in accordance with section 607 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681e.

from a consumer reporting agency by representing that they have a permissible purpose

under section 604(c) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b, to obtain those reports, namely,

the reports are obtained for a permissible purpose, i.e., a purpose for which the consumer

- 21. Defendants did not have a permissible purpose to obtain consumer reports. The reports were not used to make firm offers of credit as set forth in section 604(c) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(c). DMA does not provide financing, and the finance companies identified by Defendants were not functioning finance businesses, did not provide loans to consumers receiving the solicitations, and were unable to honor the offers made to consumers in the solicitations. Defendants had no arrangement or agreement with any other party to ensure that consumers who met pre-set criteria would receive an offer of credit.
- 22. By obtaining information from a consumer reporting agency without having a permissible purpose for which the information was authorized to be furnished,

  Defendants have violated section 604(f) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(f).
- 23. Pursuant to section 621(a)(1) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s(a)(1), the acts and practices alleged herein also constitute unfair or deceptive acts or practices in violation of section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

### INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR FTC ACT VIOLATIONS

24. Defendants have violated section 5 of the FTC Act as described above. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive

relief and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of the FTC Act.

# CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

- 25. Section 621(a)(2)(A) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s(a)(2)(A), authorizes the Court to award monetary civil penalties of not more than \$2,500 per violation of the FCRA in the event of a knowing violation, which constitutes a pattern or practice of violations. Defendants' violations of the FCRA, as alleged in the Complaint, were knowing and constitute a pattern or practice of violations.
- 26. Each instance in which Defendants procured a consumer report in violation of section 604(f) of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(f), constitutes a separate violation of the FCRA for the purposes of assessing monetary civil penalties under section 621 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s. Plaintiff seeks monetary civil penalties for every separate violation of the FCRA.
- 27. Under section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), this Court is authorized to issue a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants from violating the FCRA.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 1681s, and 1691c, and pursuant to this Court's own equitable powers:

- 1. Enter judgment against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff for each law violation alleged in this Complaint;
- 2. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FCRA and the FTC Act by Defendants;
- 3. Award Plaintiff monetary civil penalties from Defendants for each violation of the FCRA as alleged in this Complaint;
  - 4. Order Defendants to pay the costs of this action; and

1	5. Award Plaintiff such additional relief as the Court deems just and prope		
2			
3		Respectfully submitted,	
4	Dated: March 25, 2010	Attorneys for United States of America	
5		TONY WEST Assistant Attorney General	
6	Of Counsel:	DENNIS BURKE United States Attorney District of Arizona	
7	JESSICA RICH Acting Associate Director Division of Privacy and Identity Protection		
8		EUGENE M. THIROLF, Director	
9 10 11	REBECCA E. KUEHN Assistant Director Division of Privacy and Identity Protection	KENNETH L. JOST, Deputy Director Office of Consumer Litigation  /s Daniel K. Crane-Hirsch DANIEL K. CRANE-HIRSCH Trial Attorney	
12	WILLIAM HAYNES		
13	KATRINA A. BLODGETT Attorneys	United States Department of Justice Office of Consumer Litigation	
14	Division of Privacy and Identity Protection	PO Box 386 Washington, DC 20044-0386	
15	Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW	Telephone: 202-616-8242 Facsimile: 202-514-8742	
16	Washington, DC 20580 daniel.crane-hirsch.@usdoj.gov	daniel.crane-hirsch.@usdoj.gov	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

Case 2:10-cv-00696-LOA Document 1 Filed 03/26/10 Page 7 of 7