FILED

DAVID C. SHONKA Acting General Counsel 2009 MAY 19 PM 2: 21 2 STACY R. PROCTER, Cal. Bar No. 221078 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. G. CALIF LOS ANGELES MARICELA SEGURA, Cal. Bar No. 225999 JENNIFER M. BRENNAN, Cal. Bar No. 225473 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 3 4 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 Los Angeles, CA 90024 Telephone: (310) 824-4343 Facsimile: (310) 824-4380 BY _____ 5 6 e-mail: sprocter@ftc.gov; msegura@ftc.gov; jmbrennan@ftc.gov 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Case no. CV 9-3554 CAS 12 Plaintiff. 13 ٧. COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT 14 DINAMICA FINANCIERA LLC, INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER a California limited liability company; EOUITABLE RELIEF 15 SOLUCIONES DINAMICAS, INC., 16 a California corporation: 17 VALENTIN BENITEZ. an individual; 18 JOSE MARIO ESQUER, 19 an individual; and 20 ROSA ESQUER, an individual 21 Defendants. 22 23 Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for its 24 complaint alleges: 25 1. The FTC brings this action against Defendants Dinamica Financiera 26 LLC, Soluciones Dinamicas, Inc., Jose Mario Esquer, and Valentin Benitez 27 (collectively, the "Defendants") under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade

28

1

2.

11 12

10

7

8

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

///

Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) to obtain preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, and other equitable relief against Defendants for engaging in deceptive acts or practices in connection with the advertising, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of mortgage foreclosure rescue services in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

The FTC brings this action against Defendant Rosa Esquer (the "Fraudulent Transfer Defendant") under the Federal Debt Collection Procedure Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 3001 et seq., to avoid the fraudulent transfer of real property from Defendant Jose Mario Esquer to the Fraudulent Transfer Defendant. The avoidance of this transfer is necessary in the interests of justice to secure funds for redress or disgorgement.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), 1345, and §§ 3001 et seq.
- 4. Venue in the United States District Court for the Central District of California is proper under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

PLAINTIFF

5. Plaintiff **FTC** is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58, as amended. The Commission is charged with, *inter alia*, enforcement of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The Commission is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act, and to secure such equitable relief, including restitution for injured consumers and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, as may be appropriate in each case. 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

DEFENDANTS

- 6. Defendant **Dinamica Financiera LLC** ("Dinamica") is a California limited liability company that has used the addresses 7857 East Florence Avenue, Suite 201, Downey, CA 90240 and 9550 Firestone Blvd, Suite 201, Downey, CA 90241. Dinamica was formed in August 2000 and operated until at least May 2008, when it transferred its business operations to Soluciones Dinamicas, Inc. Dinamica transacts or has transacted business in the Central District of California.
- 7. Defendant **Soluciones Dinamicas, Inc.** ("Soluciones") is a California corporation with it principal place of business at 9550 Firestone Blvd, Suite 201, Downey, CA 90241. Soluciones has been in business since May 2008. Soluciones transacts or has transacted business in the Central District of California.
- 8. Defendant **Jose Mario Esquer** ("Esquer"), an individual, is the founding member and supervisor of Dinamica, and is a manager of Soluciones. Esquer, acting alone or in concert with others, has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of Dinamica and Soluciones, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Esquer transacts or has transacted business in the Central District of California.
- 9. Defendant **Valentin Benitez** ("Benitez"), an individual, is a member and manager of Dinamica, and is a manager and supervisor of Soluciones. Benitez, acting alone or in concert with others, has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of Dinamica and Soluciones, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Benitez transacts or has transacted business in the Central District of California.

FRAUDULENT TRANSFER DEFENDANT

10. Fraudulent Transfer Defendant **Rosa Esquer** is the wife of Defendant Jose Mario Esquer. She is the owner of real property located in South Gate, California. Fraudulent Transfer Defendant Rosa Esquer received real property located in South Gate, California from Defendant Jose Mario Esquer. She resides

in the Central District of California.

COMMERCE

11. The acts and practices of Defendants, as alleged in this Complaint, are in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL BUSINESS PRACTICES

- 12. Since at least January 2006, Defendants have advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and sold mortgage foreclosure rescue services.
- 13. Defendants have advertised and marketed their services by various means, including via Spanish-language radio and magazine advertisements.
- 14. In their advertisements, Defendants offer to assist consumers who are behind on their mortgage payments. One of Dinamica's radio advertisements (translated from Spanish into English) states:

Are you in arrears with your house payments? If you got behind and need some time to continue making the payments, we will negotiate your delay so you can continue making your payments or a new one next month, or six months from now. To buy your house was a wise decision, so do not lose it for lack of information. Keep it! Today you have the opportunity to decide what is best for your family. Decide today before your bank or the collection company decide for you. Your peace of mind and that of your family are most important.

Call today: 1-888-350-3344. Write it down: 1-888-350-3344. 1-888-350-3344. Dinámica Financiera, an option for each situation. Experience and professionalism assure your peace of mind. Call today: 1-888-350-3344. Write it down: 1-888-350-3344.

One of Soluciones' radio advertisements (translated from Spanish into English) states:

Are you late on your house payment? Is the increase in gasoline taking money away from you being able to make your payments? Has the value of your house dropped so much you don't know what to do? Relax. Your house is very important. But your tranquility and that of your family is more important. If for any reason you've gotten behind and you need time to continue your payments, we will negotiate your delay in order for you to continue with

your payments or make a single reduced payment. And up to six months from today. We will look for the best option your bank or collections company can offer you. Thousands have already qualified to reduce their payment. Call today! 1-888-350-3344. Write it down! 1-888-350-3344. 1-888-350-3344. Soluciones Dinámicas. An option for each situation. Experience and professionalism guarantee your tranquility.

One of Dinamica's magazine advertisements (translated from Spanish into English) states:

8

6

7

Behind on your house payments? We can help, call today Consultation without commitment

10

Dinamica Financiera, LLC "A solution to every situation"

12

11

Call free 1-888-350-3344 562-923-6408

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 15. Consumers who call Defendants' phone numbers are typically advised to come into Defendants' office for a consultation.
- 16. During in-person sales consultations, Defendants promise to save consumers' homes from foreclosure.
- 17. During in-person consultations, Defendants promise to obtain mortgage loan modifications, typically resulting in reduced mortgage payments.
- 18. Defendants charge consumers an up-front fee equivalent to each consumer's monthly mortgage payment for their services. These payments are typically in the thousands of dollars.
- 19. Since January 2006, consumers have paid Defendants at least \$3.3 million for their services.
- 20. In numerous instances, foreclosure proceedings are initiated against consumers' homes after consumers hire Defendants.
- 21. In numerous instances, consumers' homes are scheduled to be sold at trustees' sales after consumers hire Defendants.

- 22. In numerous instances, consumers who retain Defendants' services ultimately lose their homes. Other consumers save their homes only through their own efforts and not because of any service provided by Defendants.
 - 23. Defendants do not stop foreclosure in all or virtually all instances.
- 24. Defendants do not obtain mortgage loan modifications in all or virtually all instances.

DEFENDANT JOSE MARIO ESQUER'S FRAUDULENT TRANSFER

- 25. On or about August 30, 2008, Defendant Jose Mario Esquer and Fraudulent Transfer Defendant Rosa Esquer transferred real property located in South Gate, California (ID#1)¹ from "Jose Mario Esquer and Rosa Esquer, husband and wife, as joint tenants" to "Rosa Esquer, a married woman as her sole and separate property." The transfer was a gift, with the grantor having received nothing in return.
 - 26. This transfer was made:
 - a. with an actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a creditor; or
 - b. without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the transfer or obligation, and
 - Jose Mario Esquer was engaged or was about to engage in a business or a transaction for which his remaining assets were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or
 - ii. Jose Mario Esquer intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that he would incur, debts beyond his ability to pay as they became due.

¹This address has been redacted pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.4. The relevant personal identifiers are listed in the "Personal Data Identifiers Reference List," filed concurrently herewith under request for permanent seal.

VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT

- 27. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits unfair or deceptive acts and practices in or affecting commerce.
- 28. Misrepresentations or omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices pursuant to Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

Count 1

- 29. In numerous instances, Defendants have represented to consumers, expressly or by implication, that Defendants will obtain a mortgage loan modification or stop foreclosure in all or virtually all instances.
- 30. In truth and in fact, Defendants do not obtain a mortgage loan modification or stop foreclosure in all or virtually all instances.
- 31. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 29 are false and misleading and constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL DEBT COLLECTION PROCEDURE ACT

Count 2

- 32. Defendant Jose Mario Esquer transferred real property to Fraudulent Transfer Defendant Rosa Esquer.
 - 33. This transfer was made:
 - a. with actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a creditor, in violation of Section § 3304(b)(1)(A) of the Federal Debt Collection Procedure Act ("FDCPA"); or
 - b. without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for this transfer and (i) Jose Mario Esquer was engaged or was about to engage in a business or a transaction for which his remaining assets were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (ii) Jose Mario Esquer intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have

believed that he would incur, debts beyond his ability to pay as they became due, in violation of Section § 3304(b)(1)(B) of the FDCPA.

CONSUMER INJURY

34. Consumers have suffered and continue to suffer substantial monetary loss as a result of Defendants' unlawful acts or practices. In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of the unlawful practices set forth in this Complaint. Absent injunctive relief from this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers and harm the public interest.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

- 35. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of the FTC Act. The Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award other ancillary relief, including, but not limited to, rescission of contracts and restitution, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, to prevent and remedy injury caused by Defendants' law violations.
- 36. The FDCPA authorizes this Court to set aside fraudulent transfers, grant remedies against the assets transferred or other property of the transferee, or grant any other relief the circumstances may require. 28 U.S.C. § 3306(a).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), the FDCPA, 28 U.S.C. §§ 3001-3308, and the Court's own equitable powers, requests that the Court:

- 1. Award Plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action, and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief;
- 2. Permanently enjoin Defendants from violating the FTC Act as alleged herein;
 - 3. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to

consumers resulting from the Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, including, but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains;

- 4. Award such relief against Fraudulent Transfer Defendant Rosa Esquer as the Court finds necessary to secure funds for final relief, including an order setting aside the transfer of real property to the Fraudulent Transfer Defendant; and
- 5. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional equitable relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Dated: May 19, 2009 Respectfully Submitted,

DAVID C. SHONKA Acting General Counsel

/s/ Stacy R. Procter STACY R. PROCTER MARICELA SEGURA JENNIFER M. BRENNAN

Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 Los Angeles, CA 90024 Telephone: (310) 824-4343 Facsimile: (310) 824-4380