UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES



In the Matter of)	
UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, Respondent.)	Docket No. 9305

ORDER ON TESORO'S RENEWED MOTION FOR IN CAMERA TREATMENT OF RX 173

I.

Pursuant to Commission Rule 3.45(b) and the September 28, 2004 Order On *In Camera* Motion filed by Non-Party Tesoro, Tesoro Petroleum Corporation ("Tesoro") on October 5, 2004, filed a renewed motion for *in camera* treatment of RX 173, which was received by the Office of Administrative Law Judges on October 12, 2004.

II.

In Commission proceedings, requests for *in camera* treatment must show that the public disclosure of the documentary evidence will result in a clearly defined, serious injury to the person or corporation whose records are involved. *In re Kaiser Aluminum & Chem. Corp.*, 103 F.T.C. 500 (1984); *In re H.P. Hood & Sons, Inc.*, 58 F.T.C. 1184, 1188 (1961). That showing can be made by establishing that the documentary evidence is "sufficiently secret and sufficiently material to the applicant's business that disclosure would result in serious competitive injury," and then balancing that factor against the importance of the information in explaining the rationale of Commission decisions. *Kaiser*, 103 F.T.C. at 500; *In re General Foods Corp.*, 95 F.T.C. 352, 355 (1980); *In re Bristol Myers Co.*, 90 F.T.C. 455, 456 (1977).

Indefinite *in camera* treatment is granted only in those "unusual" cases where the competitive sensitivity or the proprietary value of the information will not diminish with the passage of time. *In re Coca Cola Co.*, 1990 FTC LEXIS 364 (Oct. 17, 1990). Examples of documents meriting indefinite *in camera* treatment are trade secrets, such as secret formulas, processes, and other secret technical information, and information that is privileged. *See Hood*, 58 F.T.C. at 1189; *In re R.R. Donnelley & Sons Co.*, 1993 FTC LEXIS 32 (Feb. 18, 1993); *In re Textron, Inc.*, 1991 FTC LEXIS 135 (Apr. 26, 1991). Where *in camera* treatment is granted for ordinary business records, such as business plans, marketing plans, or sales documents, it is

typically extended for two to five years. E.g., In re E.I. Dupont de Nemours & Co., 97 F.T.C. 116 (1981); In re Int'l Ass. of Conf. Interpreters, 1996 FTC LEXIS 298 (June 26, 1996).

The Federal Trade Commission strongly favors making available to the public the full record of its adjudicative proceedings to permit public evaluation of the fairness of the Commission's work and to provide guidance to persons affected by its actions. In re Crown Cork & Seal Co., Inc., 71 F.T.C. 1714, 1714-15 (1967); Hood, 58 F.T.C. at 1186 ("[T]here is a substantial public interest in holding all aspects of adjudicative proceedings, including the evidence adduced therein, open to all interested persons."). A heavy burden of showing good cause for withholding documents from the public record rests with the party requesting that documents be placed in camera. Hood, 58 F.T.C. at 1188. Further, requests for indefinite in camera treatment must include evidence to provide justification as to why the document should be withheld from the public's purview in perpetuity and why the requestor believes the information is likely to remain sensitive or become more sensitive with the passage of time. See DuPont, 97 F.T.C. at 117. Thus, in order to sustain the heavy burden for withholding documents from the public record, an affidavit or declaration demonstrating that a document is sufficiently secret and material to the applicant's business that disclosure would result in serious competitive injury is required. In re North Texas Specialty Physicians, 2004 FTC LEXIS 109, at *2-3 (Apr. 23, 2004). The parties and non-parties have been advised of this requirement. Protective Order, ¶ 13. Requests for in camera treatment shall be made only for those pages of documents or of deposition transcripts that contain information that meets the in camera standard.

III.

Tesoro's renewed motion provides a declaration of Barron W. Dowling, Managing Attorney of Tesoro Petroleum Companies, Inc. ("Dowling Declaration"). Tesoro seeks *in camera* treatment for a period of ten years, at a minimum. As described by the Dowling Declaration, the document for which *in camera* treatment is sought contains sensitive, proprietary information regarding Tesoro's supply needs and production capabilities; public disclosure of this information could cause substantial injury to Tesoro; and, information of this type is only distributed to Tesoro employees and contractors who need to know such information to perform their duties.

A review of the declaration in support of the motion and the document reveals that the information sought to be protected meets the standards for *in camera* treatment. Accordingly, Tesoro's motion is GRANTED. *In camera* treatment, for a period of ten years, to expire on November 1, 2014, is granted to RX 173.

IV.

Tesoro shall inform its testifying current or former employees that *in camera* treatment has been extended to the material described in this Order. At the time that any documents that have been granted *in camera* treatment are offered into evidence or before any of the information

contained therein is referred to in court, the parties shall identify such documents and the subject matter therein as *in camera*, inform the court reporter of the trial exhibit number(s) of such documents, and request that the hearing go into an *in camera* session.

ORDERED:

D. Michael Chappell

Administrative Law Judge

Date: October 12, 2004