BLAINE WELSH

Assistant U.S. Attorney Nevada State Bar No. 4790 333 Las Vegas Blvd, South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702)388-6336 (702)388-6296 (FAX)

ELIZABETH STEIN Trial Attorney Office of Consumer Litigation U.S. Department of Justice 1331 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. 950N Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 307-0486 (202) 514-8742 (FAX)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
V.) CV-S
)
GLOBAL VENDING SERVICES,)
INC., a Nevada corporation;)
NICHOLAS G. CHOMAKOS,)
A/K/A NICK CHOMA,)
individually and as an officer)	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL
of Global Vending Services, Inc., and)	PENALTIES, CONSUMER
MATTHEW A. CAPICCHIONI,) REDRESS, PERMANENT
A/K/A MATT CAPI, individually) INJUNCTION, AND OTHER
and as an officer of Global Vending) EQUITABLE RELIEF
Services, Inc.,)
Defendants.)
)

Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "the Commission"), pursuant to Section 16(a)(1) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 56(a)(1), for its complaint alleges:

1. Plaintiff brings this action under Sections 5(a), 5(m)(1)(A), 13(b), 16(a) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b), 56(a) and 57b, to secure civil penalties, consumer redress, a permanent injunction and other equitable relief for defendants' violations of the FTC's Trade Regulation Rule entitled "Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Franchising and Business Opportunity Ventures" (the "Franchise Rule" or the "Rule"), 16 C.F.R. Part 436, and Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), 1345, and 1355, and 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b), 56(a) and 57b. This action arises under 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

Venue in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada is proper under 28
 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and 1395(a), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

DEFENDANTS

4. Defendant Global Vending Services, Inc. ("Global"), a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business at 4200 West Russell, #111, Las Vegas, Nevada 89118, promotes and sells snack and soda vending machine business ventures. Global transacts or has transacted business in the

District of Nevada.

5. Defendant Nicholas G. Chomakos, a/k/a Nick Choma, is the president of Global. In connection with the matters alleged herein, he resides or has transacted business in the District of Nevada. At all times material to this complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of the corporate defendant, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint.

6. Defendant Matthew A. Capicchioni, a/k/a Matt Capi, is the secretary and treasurer of Global. In connection with the matters alleged herein, he resides or has transacted business in the District of Nevada. At all times material to this complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of the corporate defendant, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint.

COMMERCE

7. At all times relevant to this complaint, the defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in the offering for sale and sale of snack and soda vending machine business ventures, in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

8. The defendants offer and sell snack and soda vending machine business ventures to prospective purchasers. The defendants promote their business ventures through classified ads in newspapers. In their advertisements, defendants make representations about the earnings potential of their business venture, and urge consumers to call defendants' toll-free telephone number to learn more

about the opportunity. For example, defendants' classified newspaper advertisements have stated:

Vending Machines Bus. Opp. -SNACK AND SODA ROUTE. Guaranteed. Great locations. \$100K+ potential. 800-669-9145

9. Defendants do not have a reasonable basis for these earnings representations and have failed to disclose additional information including the number and percentage of prior purchasers known by the defendants to have achieved the same or better results.

10. Consumers who call the defendants' toll-free telephone number are ultimately

connected to defendants, or their employees or agents, who make representations about the earnings potential of the business venture and the actual earnings of prior purchasers. For example, the defendants or their employees or agents have represented that purchasers can consistently expect to make \$120 profit per machine per week.

11. Defendants failed to provide prospective business venture purchasers with an earnings claim document containing information substantiating their earnings claims, failed to have a reasonable basis for the earnings claims at the time that they were made, and/or failed to disclose that materials, which constitute a reasonable basis for the claims, are available.

12. Global does not provide potential purchasers with a basic disclosure document.

THE FRANCHISE RULE

13. The business ventures sold by the defendants are franchises, as "franchise" is defined in Sections 436.2(a)(1)(ii), (a)(2), and (a)(5) of the Franchise Rule, 16 C.F.R. §§ 436.2(a)(1)(ii), (a)(2), and (a)(5).

14. The Franchise Rule requires a franchisor to provide prospective franchisees with a complete and accurate basic disclosure document containing twenty categories of information, including information about the litigation and bankruptcy history of the franchisor and its principals, the terms and conditions under which the franchise operates, and information identifying existing franchisees. 16 C.F.R. § 436.1(a)(1) - (a)(20). The pre-sale disclosure of this information required by the Rule enables a prospective franchisee to contact prior purchasers and take other steps to assess the potential risks involved in the purchase of the franchise.

- 15. The Franchise Rule additionally requires that a franchisor:
 - (a) have a reasonable basis for any oral, written, or visual earnings claim it makes,
 16 C.F.R. § 436.1(b)(2), (c)(2) and (e)(1);
 - (b) disclose, in immediate conjunction with any earnings claim it makes, and in a clear and conspicuous manner, that material which constitutes a reasonable basis for the earnings claim is available to prospective franchisees, 16 C.F.R. § 436.1(b)(2) and (c)(2);
 - (c) provide, as prescribed by the Rule, an earnings claim document containing information that constitutes a reasonable basis for any earnings claim it makes, 16 C.F.R. § 436.1(b) and (c); and
 - (d) clearly and conspicuously disclose, in immediate conjunction with any generally disseminated earnings claim, additional information including the number and percentage of prior purchasers known by the franchisor to have achieved the same or better results, 16 C.F.R. § 436.1(e)(3)-(4).

16. Pursuant to Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 57a(d)(3), and 16 C.F.R. § 436.1, violations of the Franchise Rule constitute unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

VIOLATIONS OF THE FRANCHISE RULE

<u>COUNT I</u>

Basic Disclosure Violations

17. Paragraphs 1 through 16 are incorporated herein by reference.

18. In connection with the offering of franchises, as "franchise" is defined in Section 436.2(a) of the Franchise Rule, the defendants have violated Section 436.1(a) of the Rule and Section 5(a) of the FTC Act by failing to provide prospective franchisees with accurate and complete basic disclosure documents as prescribed by the Rule.

COUNT II

Earnings Disclosure Violations

19. Paragraphs 1 through 16 are incorporated herein by reference.

20. In connection with the offering of franchises, as "franchise" is defined in Section

436.2(a) of the Franchise Rule, the defendants have violated Sections 436.1(b)-(c) of the Rule and Section 5(a) of the FTC Act by making earnings claims to prospective franchisees while, *inter alia*,: (1) lacking a reasonable basis for each claim at the times it is made; (2) failing to disclose, in immediate conjunction with each earnings claim, and in a clear and conspicuous manner, that material which constitutes a reasonable basis for the claim is available to prospective franchisees; and/or (3) failing to provide prospective franchisees with an earnings claim document, as prescribed by the Rule.

COUNT III

Advertising Disclosure Violations

21. Paragraphs 1 through 16 are incorporated herein by reference.

22. In connection with the offering of franchises, as "franchise" is defined in Section 436.2(a) of the Franchise Rule, the defendants have violated Section 436.1(e) of the Rule and Section 5(a) of the FTC Act by making generally disseminated earnings claims without, *inter alia*, disclosing, in immediate conjunction with the claims, information required by the Franchise Rule including the number and percentage of prior purchasers known by the defendants to have achieved the same or better results.

CONSUMER INJURY

23. Consumers in the United States have suffered and will suffer substantial monetary loss as a result of defendants' violations of the Franchise Rule and the FTC Act. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers and harm the public interest.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

24. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and other ancillary relief, including consumer redress, disgorgement and restitution, to prevent and remedy any violations of any provision of law enforced by the Federal Trade Commission.

25. Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, § 45(m)(1)(A), as modified by Section 4 of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. § 2461, as amended, and as implemented by 16 C.F.R. § 1.98(d) (1997), authorizes this Court to award civil penalties of not more

than \$11,000 for each violation of the Franchise Rule occurring after November 20, 1996. The defendants' violations of the Rule were committed after that date and with the knowledge required by Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(m)(1)(A).

26. Section 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b, authorizes this Court to grant such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers or other persons resulting from defendants' violations of the Franchise Rule, including the rescission and reformation of contracts, and the refund of money.

27. This Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief to remedy injury caused by the defendants' violations of the Franchise Rule and the FTC Act.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests that this Court, as authorized by Sections 5(a), 5(m)(1)(A), 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b) and 57b, and pursuant to its own equitable powers:

1. Enter judgment against the defendants and in favor of the plaintiff for each violation alleged in this complaint;

2. Permanently enjoin the defendants from violating the Franchise Rule and the FTC Act;

 Award plaintiff monetary civil penalties from each defendant for every violation of the Franchise Rule;

4. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from the defendants' violations of the Franchise Rule and the FTC Act, including but not limited to,

rescission of contracts, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains; and

5. Award plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional

relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

DATED: _____, 2002.

OF COUNSEL:

EILEEN HARRINGTON Associate Director for Marketing Practices Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C. 20580

COLLEEN ROBBINS Attorney Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C. 20580 PHONE: (202) 326-2548 FAX: (202) 326-3395

FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

ROBERT D. McCALLUM, JR. Assistant Attorney General Civil Division

> Daniel G. Bogden United States Attorney

BLAINE WELSH Assistant U.S. Attorney Nevada Bar No. 4790 333 Las Vegas Blvd, South, Ste 5000 Las Vegas 89101 PHONE: 702-388-6336

FAX: 702-388-6336

EUGENE M. THIROLF Director Office of Consumer Litigation

ELIZABETH STEIN Trial Attorney Office of Consumer Litigation U.S. Department of Justice 1331 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, # 950N PHONE: 202-307-0486 FAX: 202-514-8742

Page 10 of 10