UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION



In the Matter of

HOECHST MARION ROUSSEL, INC., a corporation,

CARDERM CAPITAL L.P., a limited partnership,

and

ANDRX CORPORATION, a corporation.

Docket No. 9293

RESPONDENT HOECHST MARION ROUSSEL, INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO LIMIT THE TESTIMONY OF PROFESSOR RICHARD FRANK

Respondent Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc. ("HMR") moves this Court *in limine* to limit the introduction of testimony from Professor Richard Frank to the matters raised in his expert report.

On September 11, 2000, Complaint Counsel provided HMR with the expert report of Professor Richard G. Frank. Prof. Frank's report covered a broad range of topics, including the competitive significance of generic pharmaceuticals, the Stipulation and Agreement, market definition, and efficiencies. On September 26, 2000, HMR submitted its expert reports to Complaint Counsel.

On October 18, 2000, in accordance with the Scheduling Order, HMR and Complaint Counsel exchanged rebuttal expert reports. HMR submitted several supplemental reports from its previously disclosed experts to rebut the testimony of Prof. Frank. While Complaint Counsel submitted a "rebuttal" report from a patent expert, no rebuttal report was submitted from Prof. Frank.

Accordingly, HMR seeks an order limiting the introduction of testimony from Prof. Frank to the matters raised in his expert report.

The Court clearly has the authority to limit the testimony of experts, and appropriately does so where that testimony goes beyond that disclosed in mandated pre-trial disclosures. *See Asia Strategic Investment Alliances, LTD. v. General Electric Capital Services, Inc.*, 173 F.R.D. 305, 307 (D. Kan. 1997)(holding that untimely expert testimony beyond the specific opinions and data contained in the original expert report that was provided after the date provided in the Scheduling Order preclude the use of that testimony at trial). The Scheduling Order in this case clearly defined the opportunity that each party had for submission of expert rebuttal reports. HMR utilized this opportunity to provide extensive reports from two of its experts to rebut erroneous information, statements and conclusions contained in Prof. Frank's report. These reports were provided in order to preserve HMR's right to affirmatively address issues raised in Prof. Frank's report that might not have been adequately covered by HMR's experts. HMR understood that a failure to provide a rebuttal disclosure would foreclose its experts from affirmatively addressing issues raised by Prof. Frank.^{1/2}

Inasmuch as Complaint Counsel elected not to provide Respondents with a rebuttal report by Prof. Frank, Complaint Counsel should not be permitted to elicit any testimony or evidence from Prof. Frank during the trial of this matter which goes beyond the scope of his original report.

WHEREFORE, Respondent Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc. respectfully prays that this Court enter an Order directing Complaint Counsel not to elicit any testimony from or present

50018.1

¹/ See In the Matter of the Complaint of Kreta Shipping, S.A., 181 F.R.D. 273, 276 (S.D.N.Y. 1998)("if expert testimony contradicting another expert's analysis were exempt from . . . stringent disclosure requirements, in many cases parties would have no incentive to file rebuttal reports.").

any evidence through Prof. Frank which goes beyond the scope of his affirmative expert report during the trial of this matter.

Dated: November 16, 2000

Respectfully submitted,

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

Mightel L. Koon Paul S. Schleifman Scott E. DuPree

Peter D. Bernstein

600 Fourteenth Street, N.W., Suite 800

Washington, D.C. 20005-2004 Telephone: (202) 783-8400 Facsimile: (202) 783-4211

- and -

One Kansas City Place 1200 Main Street Kansas City, Missouri 64105-2118 Telephone: (816) 474-6550

Facsimile: (816) 421-5547

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of	
HOECHST MARION ROUSSEL, INC., a corporation,	Docket No. 9293
CARDERM CAPITAL L.P., a limited partnership,	
and	
ANDRX CORPORATION, a corporation.	
ORDER GRANTING HOECHST MARION ROUSSEL, INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO LIMIT THE TESTIMONY OF PROFESSOR RICHARD FRANK	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.'s Motion	
in Limine to Limit the Testimony of Professor Richard Frank is hereby GRANTED.	
	D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge
Date: November, 2000	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

HOECHST MARION ROUSSEL, INC., a corporation,

CARDERM CAPITAL L.P., a limited partnership,

and

ANDRX CORPORATION, a corporation.

Docket No. 9293

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, Peter D. Bernstein, hereby certify that on November 16, 2000, a copy of Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.'s Motion *In Limine* to Limit the testimony of Professor Richard Frank was served upon the following persons by hand delivery and/or Federal Express as follows:

Donald S. Clark, Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 172 Washington, D.C. 20580

Richard Feinstein Federal Trade Commission 601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 3114 Washington, D.C. 20580

Hon. D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 104 Washington, D.C. 20580 Markus Meier Federal Trade Commission 601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 3017 Washington, D.C. 20580

Louis M. Solomon (via Federal Express) Solomon, Zauderer, Ellenhorn, Frischer & Sharp 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10111

Peter O. Safir Kleinfeld, Kaplan & Becker 1140 19th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Peter D. Bernstein