

attorneys, for its Complaint alleges:

1. The FTC brings this action under Sections 13(b) and 19 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and Section 814 of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 16921, to obtain temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief against Defendants to prevent them from engaging in unfair and deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and from engaging in unfair, deceptive, and abusive acts or practices in violation of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692-1692p, and to obtain other equitable relief, including rescission of contracts, restitution, disgorgement, and other ancillary equitable relief as is necessary to redress injury to consumers and the public interest resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act and the FDCPA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345, and 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 57b, and 1692*l*. This action arises under 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 1692*l*.

3. Venue in the Central District of California is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

THE PLAINTIFF

4. Plaintiff FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The FTC also enforces the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692-1692p, which prohibits abusive, deceptive, and unfair collection practices.

5. The FTC is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and the FDCPA, and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 56(a)(2)(A), 56(a)(2)(B), 57b, and 1692l(a). Section 814 of the FDCPA further authorizes the FTC to use all of its functions and powers under the FTC Act to enforce compliance with the FDCPA, including the power to enforce the provisions of the FDCPA in the same manner as if the violations were violations of an FTC trade regulation rule. 15 U.S.C. § 1692l.

THE DEFENDANTS

6. Defendant Forensic Case Management Services, Inc., also doing business as Commercial Investigations, Inc., FCMS, Inc., Commercial Recovery Solutions, Inc., and Rumson, Bolling & Associates, is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Van Nuys, California. Forensic Case Management Services transacts, or has transacted, business in this District and throughout the United States.

7. Defendant Specialized Recovery, Inc., also doing business as
Joseph, Steven & Associates, and Specialized Debt Recovery, is a California
corporation with its principal place of business in Ventura, California.
Specialized Recovery transacts, or has transacted, business in this District and throughout the United States.

 8. Defendant Commercial Receivables Acquisition, Inc., also doing business as Commercial Recovery Authority, Inc., and The Forwarding Company, is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Van Nuys, California. Commercial Receivables Acquisition transacts, or has transacted, business in this District and throughout the United States.

9. Defendant David M. Hynes II, also known as David. M. Hynes, Jr., is or has been a manager, officer, director, or principal of all of the Defendants identified in Paragraphs 6-8 above. Specifically, David Hynes is or has been, at times material to this Complaint: the president of Forensic Case Management

Services, Commercial Investigations, Inc., Commercial Receivables Acquisition, and Specialized Recovery; the owner of Specialized Recovery; a director of Forensic Case Management Services, The Forwarding Company, Commercial Receivables Acquisition, Inc., and Specialized Recovery; and the chief executive officer, secretary, chief financial officer, and treasurer of Specialized Recovery and Forensic Case Management Services. David Hynes has also received a salary from Forensic Case Management Services, Inc. since at least 2007. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or had authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. David Hynes resides in this District and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts, or has transacted, business in this District and throughout the United States.

10. Defendant James Hynes is or has been a manager, officer, director, or principal of Forensic Case Management Services and Specialized Recovery. Specifically, James Hynes is or has been, at times material to this Complaint: the chairman, chief financial officer, vice president, treasurer, director, and secretary of Forensic Case Management Services; as well as the president of Specialized Recovery. James Hynes also has received a salary from Forensic Case Management Services, Inc. since at least 2008. At times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or had authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. James Hynes resides in this District and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts, or has transacted, business in this District and throughout the United States.

Defendant Kevin Medley is or has been a manager, officer,
 director, or principal of Forensic Case Management Services and Specialized
 Recovery. Specifically, Kevin Medley is or has been, at times material to this

Page 4 of 21

Complaint: the treasurer and secretary of Specialized Recovery, as well as the president, chief executive officer, or director of Forensic Case Management Services. Kevin Medley has received a salary from Forensic Case Management Services, Inc. since at least 2007. At times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or had authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Kevin Medley resides in this District and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts, or has transacted, business in this District and throughout the United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12. Defendant Heather True is or has been a manager, officer, director, or principal of Forensic Case Management Services. Specifically, Heather True is or has been, at times material to this Complaint: the corporate secretary, treasurer, vice president, chief financial officer of Forensic Case Management Services, as well as a supervisor. True has received a salary from Forensic Case Management Services, Inc. since at least 2007. At times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, she has formulated, directed, controlled or had authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Heather True resides in this District and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts, or has transacted, business in this District and throughout the United States.

13. Defendant Frank E. Lindstrom, Jr. is or has been a manager, officer, director, or principal of Commercial Receivables Acquisition and Forensic Case Management Services. Specifically, Frank Lindstrom is or has been, at times material to this Complaint: the president or chief executive officer of Commercial Receivables Acquisition. Lindstrom also has received a salary from Forensic Case Management Services, Inc. since at least 2007. At times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or had authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Lindstrom resides in this District and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts, or has transacted, business in this District and throughout the United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14. Defendant Lorena Quiroz-Hynes a/k/a Lorena Quiroz and Lorena Hynes is or has been a manager, officer, director, principal, or employee of Forensic Case Management Services. Specifically, Lorena Quiroz-Hynes is or has been, at times material to this Complaint: the secretary and chief financial officer of Commercial Receivables Acquisition. She has received a salary from Forensic Case Management Services since at least 2008. She is also the wife of David Hynes. At times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, she has formulated, directed, controlled or had authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Lorena Quiroz-Hynes resides in this District and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts, or has transacted, business in this District and throughout the United States.

COMMON ENTERPRISE

15. Defendants Forensic Case Management Services, Specialized Recovery, and Commercial Receivables Acquisition ("collectively, the "Corporate Defendants") have operated as a common enterprise while engaging in the unlawful acts and practices alleged below. The Corporate Defendants have conducted the business practices described below through interrelated companies that have had common ownership, officers, managers, business functions, employees, and/or office locations, and have commingled funds. Because the Corporate Defendants have operated as a common enterprise, each of them is jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices alleged below. Individual Defendants David Hynes, James Hynes, Kevin Medley, Heather True, Frank Lindstrom, and Lorena Quiroz-Hynes have formulated, directed, controlled or had authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of the Corporate Defendants that comprise the common enterprise. The common enterprise transacts or has transacted business in this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein have occurred in this District.

COMMERCE

16. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

NATURE OF ENFORCEMENT ACTION

17. This enforcement action is brought to remedy unlawful acts and practices by Defendants in: (1) marketing and providing their third-party debt collection services to creditors, mostly small businesses; and (2) collecting money from alleged debtors, including individuals and small businesses. Defendants have engaged in deceptive, unfair, and abusive practices in almost every facet of their dealings with both their clients and the alleged debtors from whom they try to collect.

18. Marketing themselves as third-party, contingency fee, debt collectors, Defendants have promised small businesses with outstanding debts owed by their customers that Defendants will collect those debts for the business. Among other things, Defendants have represented that they will: (1) not charge a fee unless they successfully collect on the debt, and even then will only charge a single contingency fee that is a pre-determined percentage of the amount collected; and (2) forward any money they collect to the creditor, minus the contingency fee.

19. After obtaining the client's business, however, Defendants often have induced the client to pay "legal fees," representing that they have located some of the alleged debtor's assets but must initiate legal proceedings to obtain the assets. In many instances, however, Defendants did not locate the assets and/or never undertook the promised actions.

20. In addition, in many instances, even if Defendants have successfully collected money from the alleged debtor, Defendants have not forwarded the collected money, minus the agreed-upon contingency fee, to the client.

21. Defendants also have engaged in deceptive and abusive practices in collecting, or attempting to collect, debts. In their collection efforts, Defendants have frequently and unlawfully: (1) harassed and abused alleged debtors with repeated phone calls and profane insults and threats; (2) disclosed debts to third-parties, including co-workers and employers; and (3) falsely threatened legal action, arrest, imprisonment, garnishment, or seizure. In addition, even when alleged debtors have paid off or settled their debts with Defendants, Defendants often have deprived them of the benefits of doing so because Defendants have not forwarded the appropriate payments on to the creditor.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

22. Defendants are third-party debt collectors that collect consumer and commercial debts owed to creditors, mostly small businesses, that hire Defendants for that purpose.

Defendants' Unfair and Deceptive Practices in Dealing with Their Small Business Clients

23. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have marketed their debt collection services to creditors through a variety of means and media, including telephone calls to prospective clients and through a number of Internet websites.

24. Defendants market themselves to potential clients as contingency fee collectors, claiming that they will charge their clients only when they

successfully collect a debt, often using the slogan "no recovery, no fee." Defendants also claim that they will limit their charge to a single fee that generally ranges from 18% to 50% of the amount collected, depending on factors including the age and amount of the debt and whether they must file a collection lawsuit to collect the debt. Implicit in this claim is that any amounts they collect, minus the above-referenced fee, will be forwarded to the client. These representations often are repeated both orally during Defendants' "sales pitch" to the potential client, and in the contract Defendants ask their clients to sign.

25. In fact, even when Defendants have successfully collected a debt owed to a client, Defendants in many cases have not forwarded to the client the full amount of money due to the client, instead keeping the proceeds from the collection efforts for themselves.

26. Moreover, in many cases, after clients have retained Defendants to collect clients' past-due accounts on a contingency basis, Defendants have sought and obtained additional fees from the clients.

27. Defendants frequently have contacted clients and claimed that Defendants have located the alleged debtor's assets – in some cases a specific kind of asset or amount of money – and will secure those assets through legal proceedings if the client pays Defendants an additional fee (variously referred to as, among other things, a "legal fee," a "filing fee," a "court fee," a "processing fee," or an "attorney's fee"). The requested fee, which is in addition to the contingency fee described in paragraph 24, ranges in amount from several hundred to several thousand dollars. Further, Defendants often have promised or guaranteed that they will recoup this fee for the client, in addition to the amount of the underlying debt, through the promised legal action. 28. In fact, in many cases where Defendants have claimed to have identified or located assets, Defendants have not done so or have not identified or located the amount of assets or money that they had claimed. Moreover, in many cases, after receiving the additional fee described in Paragraph 27, Defendants have failed to take legal action against the alleged debtor and thus have failed to recoup this fee for the client.

29. In addition, after receiving additional fees from a client, Defendants in many instances have gone back to the client repeatedly and claimed that they need even more fees for legal action to collect on one or more delinquent accounts.

30. In many of these cases, however, Defendants neither undertook the promised legal action to collect the debt nor refunded the fees paid by the client.

Defendants' Unfair, Deceptive, and Abusive Collection Practices

31. Once a client engages Defendants to collect a debt, Defendants use telephone calls and dunning letters to contact the individual or small business that allegedly owes the debt, to try to collect payment from them.

32. In many instances, in collection calls or in dunning letters, Defendants have threatened that, unless Defendants receive prompt payment, they will have the alleged debtor arrested, or will seize, garnish, attach, or sell the alleged debtor's property or wages. In fact, after Defendants have made these threats, they have not sought to have the alleged debtor arrested or seized, garnished, attached or sold any of the alleged debtor's property or wages, even if payment was not made.

33. In many cases, Defendants have told alleged debtors that legal action against them has been taken or will be taken shortly. For example, one of Defendants' form dunning letters states that "[t]he only choice you leave us is to transfer this account to our legal department so that it may send this account to our attorney who will enforce our rights through the courts," and

Page 10 of 21

"[i]f we have not received the payment within 7 calendar days of the date of this letter, our legal department will proceed by sending this account to counsel for filing suit."

34. Another of Defendants' form dunning letters used on numerous occasions threatens that Defendants "are therefore recommending to our client that they immediately institute legal action to secure the payment of this outstanding account."

35. A third form letter used by Defendants warns, "UNLESS YOU IMMEDIATELY REMIT THE AMOUNT OWED, BE PREPARED TO BE SERVED WITH LEGAL PROCESS AND A COMPLAINT FOR A COURT APPEARANCE AS DEFENDANT IN THIS ACTION AGAINST YOU."

36. In many instances, Defendants' letters also state that alleged debtors whom Defendants or their clients sue will be responsible for paying the cost of legal fees and other expenses incurred by Defendants or their client. For example, one of Defendants' form dunning letters states that, "[i]n the event that litigation is begun prior to receiving payment, you will be responsible for all expenses associated herewith."

37. In fact, after Defendants have threatened legal action, Defendants in many cases have not filed any such action to collect the debt. In addition, because they have not filed an action, obtained a judgment, and received an award of costs, Defendants cannot hold alleged debtors liable for any expenses or legal fees that they or their clients incur.

38. On numerous occasions, Defendants have called alleged debtors repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, harass, or abuse. For example, Defendants have: (a) continued to call alleged debtors even after being told, either orally or in writing, to stop; (b) continued to call alleged debtors even after being told that the person with whom they are speaking is not the alleged debtor whom Defendants are attempting to contact; (c) immediately

Page 11 of 21

called back alleged debtors right after the alleged debtor has terminated the previous call; and (d) called alleged debtors multiple times per day or multiple times over an extended period of time.

39. In many instances, Defendants have used obscene or profane language or language the natural consequence of which is to abuse the hearer or reader. For example, Defendants have screamed at alleged debtors, and used abusive and profane language against them. For example, Defendants have called alleged debtors "deadbeat," "sheepf**ker," "goatf**ker," "white trash," "piece of crap," "crackerhead," "scumbag," "lowlife," and "a**hole."

40. In many cases, Defendants also have threatened to use violence or other criminal means to harm the physical person, reputation, or property of alleged debtors. For example, Defendants' have threatened to "f**k [an alleged debtor's] mother in her bed," to kill a consumer's dog, and to physically harm an alleged debtor. And on at least two occasions, while attempting to collect debts owed to funeral homes, Defendants have threatened to desecrate the bodies of alleged debtors' deceased children if the debt was not paid.

41. For example, Defendants, using the trade name Rumson, Bolling & Associates, attempted to collect from a consumer who was unable to pay the balance due for her daughter's funeral. During the calls, Rumson, Bolling & Associates told her they were going to dig her daughter up and hang her from a tree, if she did not pay the debt. They also threatened to have her "dog arrested shoot him up and ... eat him," and to send the sheriff to her home to arrest her. And they called her vulgar names, including "deadbeat piece of crap crackerhead" and "white trash." They also threatened to kill her: "are you going to pay this bill right now ... or am I going to have to kill you?"

42. Defendants, using the trade name Rumson, Bolling & Associates, also attempted to collect a debt owed by another alleged debtor to a funeral home. This individual fell behind on her debt to a funeral home after both of

Page 12 of 21

her sons died within a week of each other. Defendants called her a "deadbeat," and asked how she would feel if her son's body was dug up and dropped outside her door.

43. Defendants also have on many occasions disclosed debts to alleged debtors' coworkers, employers, neighbors, and other third parties, revealing the existence of the debt, its amount, and/or its nature.

44. In numerous instances, Defendants have represented to alleged debtors that payments made by them to Defendants would be applied in full or partial satisfaction of their debt, when, in fact, Defendants did not forward the appropriate payment to the client or otherwise credit the payment against the original debt. These practices have exposed alleged debtors to possible efforts by the creditor or its agents to continue collecting the debt, including through lawsuits, and to the posting of inaccurate negative information on the alleged debtor's credit reports.

VIOLATION OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT

45. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."

46. Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act. Acts or practices are unfair under Section 5 of the FTC Act if they cause substantial injury to consumers (small businesses or individuals) that consumers cannot reasonably avoid themselves and that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition. 15 U.S.C. § 45(n).

COUNT ONE

47. In numerous instances, in connection with soliciting debt collection business from potential clients, Defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that, if Defendants collect from alleged debtors any amounts owed, Defendants will forward the collected amount, minus a specified contingency fee for debt collection services, to the client.

In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, when Defendants have 48. collected money from alleged debtors, Defendants have not forwarded to their client the full amount of money to which their client was entitled, *i.e.*, the collected amount minus the specified contingency fee.

Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 47 49. are false or misleading and constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT TWO

In numerous instances, after a client has given past due accounts to 50. Defendants for collection, Defendants have contacted the client and represented, expressly or by implication, that:

- Defendants have identified or located at least some of the (a) alleged debtor's assets, which can be used toward the satisfaction of the alleged debt;
- Defendants will undertake certain legal actions, such as the (b) filing of a collection lawsuit, the garnishment of wages, or seizure of assets, if the client pays Defendants a fee to initiate those actions; or
- Collection of at least some of the money owed on the (c) alleged debt is guaranteed if the client pays Defendants a fee to initiate the specified legal action(s).

In truth and in fact, in numerous instances in which Defendants 51. have made the representations set forth in Paragraph 50:

> Defendants have not identified or located the assets that they (a) represented that they had identified or located;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- (b) Defendants have not undertaken the represented legal action(s) after receiving the requested fee from the client; or
- (c) Even though Defendants guaranteed that they would collect at least some of the money owed on the alleged debt if the client paid the requested fee, and received the requested fee from the client, the client never has received any money toward the satisfaction of the alleged debt.

52. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 50 are false or misleading and constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT THREE

53. In numerous instances, in connection with the collection of debts, Defendants have represented to alleged debtors, including individuals and small businesses, expressly or by implication, that:

(a) They will be sued unless they promptly pay Defendants;

- (b) Nonpayment of a debt will result in the arrest or imprisonment of the alleged debtor, or seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of the alleged debtor's property or wages;
- (c) They will be liable for paying the Defendants' or the creditor's legal fees and costs if Defendants file a lawsuit to collect the debt; or
- (d) Any payments they make to Defendants will be applied in whole or partial satisfaction of their debt.

54. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances:

 (a) Defendants have not sued or caused to be sued the alleged debtors whom they threatened to sue;

- (b) Nonpayment of a debt has not resulted in the arrest or imprisonment of the alleged debtor, or seizure, garnishment, attachment or sale of the alleged debtor's property or wages;
- (c) The alleged debtors have not been held liable forDefendants' or the creditor's legal fees and costs; and
- (d) Payments alleged debtors made to Defendants have not been applied in whole or partial satisfaction of their debt, because Defendants have not remitted those payments to the creditor.

55. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 53 are false or misleading and constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

56. In 1977, Congress passed the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692-16920, which became effective on March 20, 1978, and has been in force ever since that date. Section 814 of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692*l*, provides that a violation of the FDCPA shall be deemed an unfair or deceptive act or practice in violation of the FTC Act.

57. Defendants are "debt collectors" as defined in Section 803(6) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

58. A "consumer," as defined in Section 803(3) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), "means any natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay any debt."

59. A "consumer debt," as defined in Section 803(5) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5), "means any obligation or alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment."

COUNT FOUR

In numerous instances, in connection with the collection of 60. consumer debts, Defendants have communicated with third parties for purposes other than acquiring location information about a consumer, without having obtained directly the prior consent of the consumer or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, and when not reasonably necessary to effectuate a post judgment judicial remedy, in violation of Section 805(b) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).

Pursuant to Section 814(a) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692l(a), 61. the acts and practices alleged in Paragraph 60 also constitute unfair acts or practices in violation of the FTC Act.

COUNT FIVE

62. In numerous instances, in connection with the collection of consumer debts, Defendants have engaged in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse a person, in violation of Section 806 of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692d, including, but not limited to:

- Using or threatening to use violence or other criminal means (a) to harm the physical person, reputation, or property of any person, in violation of Section 806(1) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(1);
- Using obscene or profane language or language the natural (b) consequence of which is to abuse the hearer, in violation of Section 806(2) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(2); and
- Causing a telephone to ring or engaging a person in (c) telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass a person at the number called, in violation of Section 806(5) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5).

1

2

3

4

5

63. Pursuant to Section 814(a) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692*l*(a), the acts and practices alleged in Paragraph 62 also constitute unfair acts or practices in violation of the FTC Act.

COUNT SIX

64. In numerous instances, in connection with the collection of consumer debts, Defendants have used false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means, in violation of Section 807 of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, including, but not limited to:

- (a) Falsely representing or implying that nonpayment of a debt will result in the arrest or imprisonment of a person or the seizure, garnishment, or attachment of a person's property or wages, when such action is not lawful or when Defendants have no intention of taking such action, in violation of Section 807(4) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(4);
- (b) Threatening to take action that is not lawful or that Defendants do not intend to take, such as filing a lawsuit, in violation of Section 807(5) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(5);
- (c) Falsely representing or implying to consumers that they will be responsible for paying Defendants' or the creditor's legal fees and costs associated with litigation if Defendants cause a lawsuit to be filed to collect the debt, in violation of Section 807(10) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10); and
- (d) Falsely representing or implying to consumers that any payments they make will be applied in whole or partial satisfaction of their debt, in violation of Section 807(10) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).

Page 18 of 21

65. Pursuant to Section 814(a) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692*l*(a), the acts and practices alleged in Paragraph 64 also constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of the FTC Act.

CONSUMER INJURY

66. Individuals and small businesses nationwide have suffered or will suffer substantial injury as a result of Defendants' violations of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act and the FDCPA. In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts or practices. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

67. Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and 57b, and Section 814(a) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692*l*(a), empower this Court to grant injunctive and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of any provision of law enforced by the FTC. The Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, to prevent and remedy any violation of any provision of law enforced by the FTC.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission, pursuant to Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and Section 814(a) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692*l*(a), and the Court's own equitable powers, requests that the Court:

1. Award Plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including, but not limited to, a temporary

Page 19 of 21

2.	Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the
	FTC Act by Defendants;
3.	Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the
	FDCPA by Defendants;
4.	Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury
	resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act and the
	FDCPA, including but not limited to, rescission or reformation of
	contracts, the refund of monies paid, restitution, and the
	disgorgement of ill-gotten gains by Defendants; and
5.	Award plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such
	other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and
	proper.
Dated: Sept	ember 12, 2011 Respectfully submitted, WILLARD K. TOM General Counsel WILLARD K. TOM General Counsel CHRISTOPHER T. KOEGEL SEENA D. GRESSIN REBECCA M. UNRUH Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., NJ-3158 Washington, DC 20580 (ph) 202-326-2761 (Koegel) (ph) 202-326-2761 (Koegel) (ph) 202-326-3768 ckoegel@ftc.gov; sgressin@ftc.gov runruh@ftc.gov RAYMOND E. McKOWN Federal Trade Commission 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 700 Los Angeles, CA 90024 P: (310) 824-4343; F: (310) 824-4380 rmckown@ftc.gov
	Page 20 of 21

restraining order, preliminary injunction, and other ancillary relief;

