

IN THE MATTER OF
EXXON CORPORATION, ET AL.

Docket 8934. Interlocutory Order, Jan. 31, 1977

With certain provisos Commission adopts paragraph nine of ALJ's Jan. 5, 1977, protective order.

*Appearances**

ORDER

The administrative law judge has certified to the Commission paragraph nine of his January 5, 1977, protective order. The certification requests that the Commission approve and adopt the order provision or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. The Commission has determined to adopt paragraph nine subject to the following provisos: 1) with respect to documents only portions of which have been designated as "confidential" pursuant to the protective order, the Commission's assurance of prior notification will extend only to those portions; 2) in the case of release of a document, or portion of a document, designated as "confidential," in response to an official request from a committee or subcommittee of Congress or to a court in response to compulsory process, the Congressional committee or subcommittee or the court will be advised that the party which supplied the document considers the material to be confidential and the party will be provided ten days' prior notice where possible, and in any event as much advance notice as can reasonably be given.

It is so ordered.

* For reasons of economy, the *Appearances* are not being reproduced herein. Information regarding *Appearances* may be obtained from the Public Reference Branch, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C.

Order

89 F.T.C.

IN THE MATTER OF
CENTURY 21 COMMODORE PLAZA, INC., ET AL.

Docket 9088. Interlocutory Order, Feb. 1, 1977

Commission affirms ALJ's ruling that he has authority to issue an access order.

Appearances

For the Commission: *Sandra M. Wilmore, June S. Katz, Donna H. Greenfield, W. Randolph Smith and Anne E. Dewey.*

For the respondents: *G. F. Richman, Frates, Floyd, Pearson, Stewart, Richman & Greer, Miami, Fla.; W. L. Miller, Stein, Halpert & Miller, Washington, D.C.; Jerome S. Richman, Miami, Fla.; Joel Bennett, Washington D.C.; and Joseph S. Paglino, Intervenor for Commodore Plaza, Miami, Fla.*

ORDER

Respondents have applied for review of the administrative law judge's order of December 28, 1976, granting complaint counsel's motion for an order compelling the granting of access to Morgan's Bay, a body of water contiguous to the beach area leased to owners at respondents' condominium project. Pursuant to Section 3.23(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, the ALJ determined that his ruling that he has authority to issue an access order was appropriate for immediate review. Confining ourselves to the question of the law judge's authority to issue such an order, we affirm.¹

We would add to the law judge's treatment of the issues that respondents' application does not challenge the Commission's statutory authority to issue such an order. Sections 6(a) and 6(g) of the Federal Trade Commission Act authorize the Commission "[t]o gather and compile information concerning, and to investigate from time to time the * * * business, conduct, [and] practices * * * of any corporation engaged in or whose business affects commerce * * *" and "to make rules and regulations for the purpose of carrying out the provisions" of the FTC Act. The latter provision is to be construed in a manner that will "render the statutory design effective in terms of the policies behind its enactment and to avoid an interpretation which would make such policies more difficult of fulfillment, particularly where * * * that interpretation is consistent with the plain language of the statute." *National Petroleum Refiners Ass'n v.*

¹ We, therefore, have not considered respondents' various arguments going to the relevancy of the requested discovery or their suggestion that an access order would be unlawful because a portion of the lake bottom is owned by other private parties.

