

IN THE MATTER OF
 TYSONS CORNER REGIONAL SHOPPING CENTER, ET
 AL.

MODIFYING ORDER IN REGARD TO ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE
 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT

Docket 8886. Decision, June 10, 1975-Modifying Order, Oct. 21, 1975

Order modifying an earlier order dated June 10, 1975, 85 F.T.C. 987, 40 F.R. 36560, issued against a New York City department store chain by expanding the order with the addition of Paragraph III(C) to permit respondent to negotiate to include agreements in its shopping center leases which would provide that a W&J Sloane furniture specialty store shall be the only tenant in the center primarily engaged in the sale of furniture, home furnishings, and related accessories; and by modifying Paragraph IV(B) to allow respondent to limit distribution of copies of the order to those developers of shopping centers in which respondent is a tenant operating a store containing 50,000 square feet or more.

Appearances

For the Commission: *Anthony Low Joseph, David I. Wilson and Maynard F. Thomson.*

For the respondents: *Irving Scher, Weil, Gotshal & Manges, New York City for City Stores Company.*

ORDER REOPENING PROCEEDING AND MODIFYING ORDER TO
 CEASE AND DESIST

By petition dated Oct. 2, 1975, City Stores Company has requested the Commission to modify its order of June 10, 1975, in two respects, described below. The Bureau of Competition has filed an answer objecting to the requested modification.

Respondent requests initially that a new subparagraph III(C) be added which would permit it to negotiate to include agreements in its shopping center leases which would provide that a W&J Sloane furniture specialty store shall be the only tenant in the center primarily engaged in the sale of furniture, home furnishings, and related accessories. (Such clauses would not prevent the competitive sale of these items by tenants such as department stores for whom such sale was not their principal activity.)

We agree with respondent's contention that the agreements it contemplates were not at issue in the litigated case, and under the circumstances the requested narrow modification is appropriate. It should be noted that this change is not intended to signify the Commission's approval of such agreements, but merely to exempt them

