

Mail Administrator | |
February 11, 2002 9:04 am

To [Redacted]

Subject: Mail System Error - Returned Mail

Attachments: [message/delivery-status](#) 1K

This Message was undeliverable due to the following reason:

The following destination addresses were unknown (please check the addresses and re-mail the message):

SMTP <www.ftc.gov>

Please reply to [Redacted]
if you feel this message to be in error.

----- Original Message -----

From [Redacted]

Date Mon, 11 Feb 2002 09:04:45 -0600

To www.ftc.gov

Subject Do not call registry

I saw your article in our local paper and this time I had to do something. This has been going on for too long and we who pay for our phone service are fed up with telemarketers. The last straw was the other day when I received a call "I have an important call for you" then they went through all this jibberish about phone service. I get these calls at their prime times 6:00PM and 9:45PM. If there is something that can be done I would appreciate it. Why do we have to put up with this kind of harassing? Why do I have to get a private number doesn't the phone company care?

[Redacted]

Mrs. Denise C. Agnew

Dear Federal Trade Commission,

Please add my name to the "Do Not Call" list so I will no longer be bothered by telemarketing sales people.

Mrs. Denise Agnew

PA

OBLATE MISSIONS
oblatemissions.org
1725

Thank-you
Mrs. Denise Agnew

Gary A. Amelio
Attorney at Law

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] h, Pennsylvania [REDACTED]

Feb 11, 2002

FTC

Office of Secretary

I am not only in favor of your rule proposal to restrict telemarketers & establish a no-call registry, but I would like you to go further & ban telemarketing completely. Make it illegal to telemarket

Thank you

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]


B. Androschick

PA

Feb. 9, 2002

Dear Secretary,

This note refers to the proposal to amend the Telemarketing Sales Rule.

I think it's a good idea to have a do not call registry. I also think it would be of great help for it to be illegal for businesses to sell or give phone numbers and/or other information for this purpose. If I need a product I will get it, and don't need to have someone try to jam it down my neck.

I pay for a non published phone number. I get calls. I have started not giving a phone number when asked. This is personal information and is my right to keep it that way. Thank you for letting me

express my feelings,

Sincerely,

Betty Androschick


[REDACTED] NJ
February 13, 2002

F.T.C. Office of the Secretary
Room 159
600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington D.C. 20580

R.E. Telemarketing Rulemaking Comment
F.T.C. File R. 41 101

Please be advised that you have my wholehearted support on this action
and look forward to its coming into effect.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Nicholas", followed by a long horizontal flourish.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] N.J. [REDACTED]
February 13, 2002

F.T.C. Office of the Secretary
Room 159
600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington D.C. 20580

R.E. Telemarketing Rulemaking Comment
F.T.C. File R. 41101

**Please be advised that you have my wholehearted support on this action
and look forward to its coming into effect.**

Sincerely,



more telemarketing
lls to:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

AOK Inc. d/b/a Tops Travel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] NC [REDACTED]

Dear Secretary:

2/14/02

Regarding Telemarketing Rulemaking
— Comment. FTC File # R411001, please
relieve me of the annoyance of telemarketers.
Thank you.

J. Axelrod

Jerome Axelrod

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED], PA [REDACTED]

OH

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, ROOM 159
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20580

FEBRUARY 12, 2002

I AM WRITING REGARDING THE PROPOSED FTC AMENDMENTS TO THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE AND THE "DO-NOT-CALL REGISTRY".

IT'S ABOUT TIME SOMETHING IS GOING TO BE DONE TO TAKE CARE OF MOST OF THE MENACING PHONE CALLS.

I AM DISTURBED NOT ONLY BY THESE CALLS ON MY PERSONAL TIME, BUT ALSO WHILE I AM WORKING AT MY BUSINESS. I MUST GET AT LEAST 2 OR THREE CALLS EACH AND EVERY DAY. CAN YOU IMAGINE HOW AGGRAVATING IT IS?

I AM ALSO ANGRY FOR ALL THE ELDERLY PEOPLE WHO GET THESE CALLS. MY MOTHER IS ONE OF THEM. THE WOMAN THAT TAKES CARE OF MY MOTHER HAS HER HANDS FULL EVERY MINUTE OF EACH DAY. YET, SHE HAS TO GO RUNNING TO THE PHONE ONLY TO FIND OUT IT'S A TELEMARKETER. I THINK ABOUT THE ELDERLY THAT HAVE A HARD TIME GETTING UP AND WALKING - TRYING TO ANSWER THESE RIDICULOUS CALLS. AND WE HAVE THE FACT THAT ALL LOT OF THE ELDERLY FALL PREY TO THESE SALES GIMICKS ONLY TO HAVE WHAT LITTLE MONEY THEY HAVE AVAILABLE TAKEN BY SLICK SALES PITCHES.

SURE THERE ARE LEGITIMATE COMPANIES USING TELEMARKETERS, BUT I AM SURE THERE ARE MANY MANY THAT ARE NOT - THEY ARE JUST SCAMS. OPENING THE DOOR TO THESE LEGITIMATE COMPANIES HAS ALSO OPEN OUR HOMES TO THE CROOKS. I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE RIGHT TO KEEP THESE CROOKS FROM COMING INTO MY HOME.

WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO CALL TO REGISTER ON THE "DO-NOT-CALL REGISTRY". YES, I'D LIKE TO GET VERIFICATION THAT MY NUMBER IS ON THE REGISTRY. I'D LIKE MY NUMBER TO REMAIN ON THE LIST TILL I TAKE IT OFF. AS TO THE QUESTION OF "ALL OR NOTHING" I REALLY DON'T CARE IF PEOPLE ARE GIVEN AN OPTION TO RESTRICT DAYS OR TIMES, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO RESTRICT THE CALLS "ALL THE TIME" FOR ETERNITY!

IT SHOULD BE EASY TO FILE A CLAIM AGAINST AN OFFENDER TOO. I CAN JUST HEAR THE EXCUSES ALREADY - "SORRY, BUT WE WERE NOT AWARE THAT YOU WERE LISTED ON THE DO-NOT-CALL REGISTRY," "YOUR NUMBER WAS NOT LISTED. . . . I AM SURE THESE COMPANIES ARE ALREADY FIGURING OUT WAYS TO GET AROUND THE NEW RULES YOU ARE PROPOSING EVEN BEFORE THEY ARE WRITTEN. QUESTIONS ARE COMING IN MY MIND - HOW ARE WE GOING TO PROVE THAT A COMPANY HAS CALLED AFTER OUR NUMBER IS LISTED IN THE REGISTRY? OF COURSE, WE WANT TO SEE THEM FINED: BUT HOW IS THE CLAIM PROCESS GOING TO WORK WITHOUT LOOPHOLES?

I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO BE ABLE TO RESTRICT "ALL" CALLS - EVEN THOSE FROM BANKS, TELEPHONE COMPANIES, AIRLINES, INSURANCE COMPANIES, CREDIT UNIONS, POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS AND POLITICAL FUND RAISERS THAT HAVE NO INTERSTATE BUSINESS. WHY CANT WE RESTRICT THESE TOO, OR AT LEAST WAVE THE OPTION. IF I WANT THEIR SERVICE, I WILL CALL THEM.

EFFECT ON CONSUMERS- I THINK THIS "DO- NOT- CALL REGISTRY" WILL SAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE LOTS OF MONEY. THEY WONT BE SPENDING IT ON SERVICES AND PRODUCTS THEY REALLY DONT NEED. CANT WE GO BACK TO - IF I NEED SIDING, I'LL CALL OR GO VISIT A PLACE THAT SELLS SIDING. IF I **NEED MY** ROOF REPAIRED, I'LL CALL THE ROOFER.

IMPACT ON FIRMS TO COMPLY WITH NEW RULING - WHO CARES. THESE COMPANIES PUT THEMSELVES IN THIS POSITION. THEY ARE DRIVING MOST OF **US** CRAZY AND BEEN ALLOWED TO INVADE **OUR PRIVACY FOR TOO** LONG. LET THEM ADVERTISE IN THE PAPER, MAGAZINES, TV, RADIO, ETC. FROM WHICH MEDIUMS WE HAVE THE CHOICE TO READ OR LISTEN TO THEM WHEN THEY CALL OUR **HOMES**, WE HAVE NO CHOICE.

IMPACT ON INDUSTRY- AGAIN, THAT IS NOT THE CONSUMER'S PROBLEM. THERE ARE MANY MANY COMPANIES THAT DO NOT USE THESE TACTICS TO SELL THEIR GOODS OR SERVICES. THERE SHOULD NOT EVEN BE A TELEMARKETING **INDUSTRY** - IT'S LIKE A BOIL ON THE FACE OF OUR SOCIETY.

I REALLY DO NOT LIKE BEING NASTY OR MEAN TO ANYONE; BUT I WILL MAKE A CONFESSION. **WHEN** I PICK UP THE PHONE AND FIND THAT IT IS A TELEMARKETER, I GET SO **ANGRY I CANNOT EVEN SPEAK** (TRYING TO CONTROL WHAT I MIGHT SAY). I'M NOT POLITE ANYMORE, AND USUALLY JUST HANG UP. **SOMETIMES** I'LL SAY SOMETHING, AND I KNOW THE TONE OF MY VOICE REALLY SENDS A CLEAR MESSAGE. BUT LET ME TELL YOU, I DONT LIKE BEING LIKE THAT; I DONT LIKE MY BLOOD PRESSURE GOING SO HIGH **WHEN** I GET ONE **OF** THESE CALLS. I DONT LIKE THE AGRAVATION, THE INTERRUPTION, THE INTRUSION. I DONT LIKE THE INVASION OF MY PRIVACY - PLEASE PUT AN END TO IT.

AND PLEASE DONT FORGET TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT ALL THE FAXES THAT **ARE COMING** THROUGH UNSOLICITED. I AM SICK OF THESE TOO. SURE SOME OF THEM GIVE "FAX REMOVAL NUMBERS", BUT SOMETIMES THESE DONT **WORK**. I STILL GET REPEATED FAXES FROM THE SAME COMPANIES. WHY SHOULD THESE COMPANIES BE **ALLOWED TO DO THIS**. THEY ARE USING MY TIME AND MY PAPER, MY MACHINE. WHAT GIVES THEM THE RIGHT TO DO THIS? YOUR "DO-NOT-CALL REGISTRY" SHOULD INCLUDE THESE COMPANIES TOO.

HERE ARE JUST A FEW OF THE COMPANIES THAT HAVE BEEN SENDING ME FAXES REGULARLY:

STOCKTALK JOURNAL
INTERNATIONAL GRAPHIC FILMS
MARKET NEWS ALERT
CHERYL STEVENS PROMOTIONS
AMERICAN NOTARY SEMINARS
THE MONEY LINE REPORT
POWERDATA
THE INVESTORS REPORT
OTC INVESTOR'S EDGE
THE INDEPENDENT INVESTOR'S FINANCIAL FORUM
INVESTOR'S ALERT

ISN'T THERE SOMETHING THAT CAN BE DONE TO STOP THIS? MY RIGHTS ARE BEING VIOLATED,
AND I DON'T LIKE IT.

PLEASE MAKE THE NECESSARY CHANGES TO EXISTING RULES, OR CREATE NEW RULES TO TAKE
CARE OF THIS PROBLEM THAT AFFECTS EVERYONE OF US.

SINCERELY,



SUSAN BAILEY BRUC

[REDACTED]
OH [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] PA [REDACTED]

February 7, 2002

FTC
Office of the Secretary, Rm. 159
600 Penna Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20580

Ladies or Gentlemen:

We are in favor of your proposal for a national telemarketing do-not-call list. We consider unsolicited calls an invasion of our privacy. Please continue your efforts on our behalf.

Yours truly,

John F. & Rita A. Bailey

[REDACTED]

RE: TELEMARKETING RULEMAKING
- COMMENT. FTC # R 411001

February 4, 2002


Office of the Secretary
Room 159
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, D.C. 20580

Gentlemen :

I am sick and tired of telemarketing calls. Sometimes, I receive as many as fifteen (15) a day. I am for anything to be done to stop these calls from ever happening. They are so annoying, I cannot begin to tell you. Hopefully, something can be done about them.

Thank you for your efforts in getting them stopped.

Sincerely,


R.M. Barnett

 KS

*FTC Office of the Secretary
Room 159
600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20580*

HI

*Would you please put me on the list ~~of~~ do not call list .
Telemarketing Rulemaking
Comment. FTC File No R411001*

Thank You

Joseph Bello

*[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] PA [REDACTED]*

Federal Trade Commission
Room 159
Office of the Secretary
600 PA Ave, NW
Wash, DC 20580

Telemarketing Rulemaking-Comment
FTC File No. R 411001

Please BAN all telemarketing.

It is an invasion of privacy,
an intrusion of personal peace.

Please implement the national

"DO NOT CALL" list of

consumers, ASAP.

Thank you!

Tina Bodevstare

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED], PA [REDACTED]

[Handwritten signature]

Federal Trade Commission

Room 159

Office of the Secretary

600 PA Ave, NW

Wash, DC 20580

Telemarketing Rulemaking-Comment
FTC File No. R 411001

Please BAN all telemarketing.

It is an invasion of privacy,

an intrusion of personal peace.

Please implement the national

"DO NOT CALL" list of

consumers, ASAP.

Thank you!

Ms. Jacqueline Bodentine

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED], Pa. [REDACTED]

Telephone Preference Service

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Farmingdale, New York 11735

Office of the Secretary
Room 159
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington D.C.20580

I am requesting your assistance in removing our names from all telemarketer's call lists.

Thank you, in advance.

David and Sandra Brancheau

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] **Alabama** [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

321-972-0032

321-972-0032
321-972-0032
321-972-0032

321-972-0032

321

321-972-0032

Ref: Telemarketing Rulemaking Comment FTC File No. R1 1001

To Whom It *May* Concern:

that provide for a national "Do Not Call List" and fines for businesses that violate it. I pay for my telephone for my own and my family's private use. I do not fund it as a convenience for intrusive businesses.

Sincerely,



My Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] PA [REDACTED]

GAYLEN BRAY

2-13-2002

I think the teller/marketers have made good people become very rude - with good reason.

In Sept. of 2001 I received a bill from a J & J. On it was a charge for 2 calls which they should have amounted to less than \$ 2.00 - They had charged me over 20.00 each + another 2 charges (same call for \$ 2.90 each. I tried for 2 months to get a call through each time ending up with a recording. Sincerely my granddaughter did get through and there reason was I request them to dial, which I never do. I went with another co. and for the last two months I have had them call me 10 times. Waiting my business. After the first call I ask them to



Take me off of their ~~no~~ calling
list. I have received 9 more calls
since-

This is almost more than any
one person can take. This is
what I call harassment - what
can be done?

Sincerely
Mrs. W. R. Brooks

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] n.e. [REDACTED]

Feb. 13, 2002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am tired of the telemarketing intrusion into my home. I "opt out" every chance I get, and I still get at least ten calls per week. I know of no one who wants these calls. I want my name to be first on a "DO NOT CALL" registry.

Jean Buddy
4

Dear Sirs:

We think the FTC proposal to stop telemarketing with a "Do-not-call" List is just fine.

We have an unlisted telephone number and we still get these calls.

Our family knows not to call us after 8:30 P.M. unless it is an emergency.

At our ages these calls at all hours are annoying & upsetting. We support this "Do Not Call".

Helen & Robert Buchwalter

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] PA
[REDACTED]

Feb. 11, ma

FTC
Office of the Secretary
Room 159
600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20580

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Telemarketing Rulemaking
Comment FTC File # R411001.

I would very much like to see telemarketing end. We get a dozen calls each week that are annoying and many times telemarketers are rude. I would like our name on a do not call list.

Sincerely,

Robert Chesterton

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] NJ [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]


[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] N. [REDACTED]
February 13, 2002

F.T.C. Office of the Secretary
Room 159
600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington D.C. 20580

R.E. Telemarketing Rulemaking Comment
F.T.C. File R. 41 101

Please be advised that you have my wholehearted support on this action
and look forward to its coming into effect.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Karen M. [unclear] of [unclear]". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned below the word "Sincerely,".