



July 6, 2006

RE: Proposed Business Opportunity Rule R511992

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am concerned about the proposed Business Opportunity Rule R511993. If passed in its presented form, I could be prevented from continuing as a Distributor for 3 wonderful, ethical and successful companies. This could destroy my business.

I have been involved in this professional business as an Independent Contractor for more than 5 years and have truly enhanced my life and the lives of many others. We share ideas and a common goal of helping others to become part of an extended family with a goal of conducting a quality business with integrity. Networking really works.

I, originally, started my Network Marketing because of the amazing products. I discovered something I'd never found in any other market area. I also, wanted to earn additional money, at first, to pay for the purchase of these products. Now I have become quite dependent on this extra income to supplement my budget. Please don't undermine or destroy my small business. I've come to depend on it!

Some of the sections in the proposed rule would make it hard or almost impossible for me to sell my natural products and those involving nanotechnology.

The waiting period proposed, will suggest to the public that there's something wrong with me or the company plan. The companies I work with have amazing guarantees and return policies and police themselves beautifully due to the free market and competition philosophy. I don't believe any good would be gained by the seven-day waiting period.

Before I became involved in Network Marketing, I would have been very doubtful of even getting involved if I had been told I had to wait 7 days. This would make me think it was like a 'lemon' law and that I was at risk. I never saw any risk whatsoever when I joined my 1st company.

One of my companies doesn't even sell a sales kit. You can be involved as a Distributor by purchasing a sampling of their great products for \$80. People buy TVs, cars, and many other items that cost much more than that and they don't have to wait seven-days. With this waiting period requirement, the amount of added paper work and reports to the company will be huge. I am a small home business and this burden will hurt or destroy my business. This proposed rule is bad and serves no good purpose.

This proposed rule requires the disclosure of a minimum of 10 prior purchasers nearest to the prospective purchaser. I always provide information of others, but not their names & addresses. I may not even have that many in that locale. Also, identity theft is of great concern. I am very uncomfortable giving out the personal information of individuals (without their approval) to strangers. I am concerned about individuals being subject to sexual or racial harassment so this part can't go in at all, unless the FTC passes an addition to this rule Prohibiting sexual or racial attacks related to this disclosure. In the end the rule must bind the FTC to take direct enforcement action on sexual and racial attacks with a special unit assigned to monitor actions related to the disclosure forms. That may be a type of fix, but someone could be hurt in the process. I believe this rule contributes to this risk.

I have seen many scams on the Internet and in the mail. I've been approached by a number of crooks because of my success. This rule will do nothing to stop them. They hurt my business! This rule will not stop crooks - they violate the current rule all the time. If the current rules would just be enforced. Making rules doesn't change an evil persons plan, but it sure can impede the life and success of the majority who are good, honest and workers with integrity. I am a good American citizen and this proposed rule will hurt me. Thank you and please help me.

Sincerely,



Carol Berger

