

June 30, 2006

RE: Business Opportunity Rule, R511993

522418-70429

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing in response to the proposed New Business Opportunity Rule R511993. The way it is now will be quiet burdensome and a lot of trouble to the network marketing industry and be a burden to the free market trade.

I oppose the 7 day waiting period. It would be like selling the business twice to the same person. It will be an extra burden to the company and to its distributors as it would require extra documentation and follow up.

I oppose the Earnings Disclosure rule because again it is an extra burden on the distributor considering the minimal investment into the business. I also oppose the Previous Litigation Rule because it would have to be stated even if the accused party were found innocent. It would be time consuming & unfair because the distributor would have to disclose to every new business associate.

The network marketing community as I have seen it, is a way for average people to leverage their time and resources to earn additional income and to possible start a new career. Many network companies are publicly traded on Wall St. and are also endorsed by very prominent leaders & authors.

My wife & I have been in network marketing for only 4 months. These are some of the finest people and the finest products that I have ever seen. My participation in network marketing will allow me to have a fuller & more productive retirement.

As it stands now I am opposed to Business Opportunity Rule, R511993.

Thank you,
Best Regards,
Steve & Marlene Bass

PHOTOMAX

