



Helen B. Jones
GNLD Network Marketing Distributor

May 19, 2006

Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room H-135 (Annex W)
Re: Business Opportunity Rule, R511993
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580

RE: Business Opportunity Rule, R511993

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am writing in response to the proposed New Business Opportunity Rule R511993. From what I understand, if this is not modified (drastically), it will bring about a significant burden to the network marketing industry – of which I am a part. I realize that the FTC exists to help monitor things in the business arena and protect consumers and potential business investors from being taken advantage of, but this proposed Rule has the potential, I believe, to choke the very life out of the businesses of millions of people.

I have been in network marketing for 14 years and have met some of the most honest, hard-working, and wonderful people through this company. The products have changed my health for the better in remarkable ways, after I had spent years (and much too much money) trying in vain to get help through the medical profession. And I only spent \$55 to become a distributor and begin reaping the many personal benefits and gaining in so many ways, far beyond physical health improvement. Being a part of this industry has had more positive impact on my life as a whole than anything else other than my decision to become a Christian.

Please do not allow this Rule to go forward as it is! It would be an unreasonably burdensome load to one of the few remaining opportunities for Americans of every class and education and financial status. Through network marketing, there is hope for turning hard work into the realization of the greatest dreams one can dream. It is a vehicle for those willing to work hard to achieve their goals, and it takes so little financial investment that it almost seems ludicrous to me that this Rule has even been proposed. I realize that I don't know all of the reasons behind the FTC's decision to seek the passage of this Rule, but I have no doubt that, no matter what honorable intentions brought about this proposal, it would do much more harm than good.

Sincerely,


Helen B. Jones