

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Federal Trade Commission,

Plaintiff,

v.

ERG Ventures, LLC, et al.,
Defendants.

3:06-CV-00578-HDM-VPC

**FINAL ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND
MONETARY JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT TIMOTHY P. TAYLOR**

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or the “Commission”), filed its complaint against defendants ERG Ventures, LLC and d/b/a ERG Ventures, LLC2, Media Motor, Joysticksavers.com, and PrivateinPublic.com; Elliott S. Cameron, individually and d/b/a ERG Ventures, LLC2, Media Motor, Joysticksavers.com, and PrivateinPublic.com; Robert A. Davidson, II, individually and d/b/a ERG Ventures, LLC2, Media Motor, Joysticksavers.com, and PrivateinPublic.com; Garry E. Hill, individually and d/b/a ERG Ventures, LLC2, Media Motor, Joysticksavers.com, and PrivateinPublic.com; and Timothy P. Taylor, individually and d/b/a Team Taylor Made for injunctive and other equitable relief in this matter pursuant to

1 Sections 5 and 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (“FTC Act”), 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a),
2 53(b), on October 31, 2006. The Court ordered an *ex parte* Temporary Restraining Order on
3 November 1, 2006, and a Preliminary Injunction was entered on November 29, 2006. On May
4 26, 2007, the Commission filed an Amended Complaint. Defendant Taylor failed to answer or
5 otherwise respond to the Commission’s Amended Complaint, and as a result on June 29, 2007
6 the Clerk entered a default against Defendant Taylor. Thereafter, the Commission moved for a
7 default judgment against Defendant Taylor. Having reviewed the Commission’s motion for
8 default judgment, its memorandum of points and authorities in support thereof, as well as the
9 declarations attached to the Commission’s motion which establish the total revenue Defendant
10 Taylor received from his unlawful acts **IT IS ORDERED** as follows:

- 11 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this case, and it has
12 jurisdiction of all parties hereto pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), and 28
13 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345;
- 14 2. Venue is proper as to all parties in the District of Nevada pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
15 § 53(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c);
- 16 3. The activities alleged in the Complaint are in or affecting “commerce” as that
17 term is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44;
- 18 4. The facts that the FTC has stated in its complaint, if true, would state a claim
19 upon which relief may be granted under Sections 5(a) and 13(b) of the FTC Act,
20 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b);
- 21 5. This Order, however, shall have no preclusive effect as to any action brought by
22 any other state or federal law enforcement agency;
- 23 6. This Order is remedial in nature and no portion of any payments paid herein shall
24 be deemed or construed as payment of a fine, damages, penalty, or punitive
25 assessment; and
- 26 7. Entry of this Order is in the public interest.

