

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES



In the Matter of

McWANE, INC.,
a corporation.

PUBLIC

Docket No. 9351

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR NON-PARTY SIGMA CORPORATION TO FILE MOTION FOR *IN CAMERA* TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DESIGNATED HEARING EXHIBITS

1. On or about July 17, 2012, Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) Complaint counsel and counsel for Respondent McWane, Inc. (“McWane”) provided notice by correspondence to non-party SIGMA Corporation (“SIGMA”) that they have designated certain confidential documents, data, and deposition testimony provided by SIGMA during discovery (the “SIGMA Confidential Materials”) for possible introduction as exhibits at the hearing of this matter set to begin on September 4, 2012.

2. Complaint counsel and counsel for McWane have stated that the deadline for SIGMA’s motion for *in camera* treatment of any SIGMA Confidential Materials is Friday, July 27, 2012.

3. SIGMA and its counsel are in the process of reviewing the SIGMA Confidential Materials and identifying those for which SIGMA will seek *in camera* treatment. SIGMA and its counsel require additional time to complete this review and to prepare the requisite showing

under 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b) that certain of the SIGMA Confidential Materials are entitled to *in camera* treatment.

4. Therefore, SIGMA requests that this Court grant it an additional two (2) business days until Tuesday, July 31, 2012 to file a motion for *in camera* treatment.

5. Counsel for SIGMA has conferred with Complaint counsel and counsel for McWane and neither opposes this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew A. White

Matthew A. White

Ballard Spahr LLP
1735 Market Street, 51st Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: (215) 665-8500
Fax: (215) 864-8999
whitema@ballardspahr.com

Attorneys for SIGMA Corporation

Dated: July 25, 2012

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES**

In the Matter of

McWANE, INC.,
a corporation.

PUBLIC

Docket No. 9351

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING NON-PARTY SIGMA CORPORATION'S
UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MOTION FOR IN
CAMERA TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DESIGNATED HEARING EXHIBITS**

On July 25, 2012, non-party SIGMA Corporation ("SIGMA") filed an Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File a Motion for *In Camera* Treatment ("Motion").

Under the Scheduling Order in this matter, the deadline for submitting motions for *in camera* treatment under Rule 3.45(b) is Friday, July 27, 2012. SIGMA seeks an order extending the deadline to July 31, 2012.

SIGMA states that Complaint counsel and counsel for Respondent McWane, Inc., provided notice, by correspondence dated July 17, 2012, that they may seek to introduce into evidence at the hearing of this matter certain documents, data, and deposition testimony of SIGMA. SIGMA further states that it is in the process of reviewing the materials and identifying those materials or documents for which SIGMA will seek *in camera* treatment but that additional time is necessary to complete that process and prepare a motion making the requisite showing under 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b).

SIGMA further states that it has conferred with Complaint counsel and counsel for McWane and that they do not oppose the requested extension of time.

Based on the foregoing, there is good cause to grant SIGMA an extension of time to file a motion for *in camera* treatment. 16 C.F.R. § 3.21(c). Accordingly, the Motion is GRANTED. It is hereby ORDERED that the deadline for non-party SIGMA to file a motion for *in camera* treatment shall be Tuesday, July 31, 2012.

ORDERED: _____

D. Michael Chappell

Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: _____

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES**

In the Matter of

McWANE, INC.,
a corporation.

PUBLIC

Docket No. 9351

Certificate of Service and Regarding Electronic Submission

I certify that on July 25, 2012, I submitted the “Motion for Extension of Time for Non-Party SIGMA Corporation to File Motion for *In Camera* Treatment of Certain Designated Hearing Exhibits,” along with a proposed order, electronically in PDF format using the FTC’s E-Filing System, and also served a copy of the foregoing document in on the following by the method indicated:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-106
Washington, DC 20580

(by e-mail to oalj@ftc.gov and by Federal Express)

Edward Hassi
Geoffrey M. Green
Linda Holleran
Thomas H. Brock
Michael L. Bloom
Jeanine K. Balbach
J. Alexander Ansaldo
Bureau of Competition
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, DC 20580

Complaint Counsel

*(by email to ehassi@ftc.gov; ggreen@ftc.gov; lholleran@ftc.gov; tbrock@ftc.gov;
mjbloom@ftc.gov; jbalbach@ftc.gov; jansaldo@ftc.gov)*

Joseph A. Ostoyich
William Lavery
Baker Botts L.L.P.
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2420

J. Alan Truitt
Thomas W. Thagard III
Maynard Cooper & Gale PC
1901 Sixth Avenue North
2400 Regions Harbert Plaza
Birmingham, AL 35203

Counsel for McWane, Inc.
*(by email to joseph.ostoyich@bakerbotts.com; William.lavery@bakerbotts.com;
atruitt@maynardcooper.com)*

Gregory S.C. Huffman
William M. Katz, Jr.
Nicole L. Williams
Brian W. Stolz
Thompson & Knight LLP
1722 Routh Street, Suite 1500
Dallas, Texas 75201

Counsel for Star Pipe Products, Ltd.,
*(by email to gregory.huffman@tklaw.com; william.katz@tklaw.com;
nicole.williams@tklaw.com; brian.stolz@tklaw.com)*

Richard Gill
Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill
444 South Perry Street
Montgomery, AL 36104

Counsel for Tom Brakefield
(by email to gill@copelandfranco.com)

I also certify that the electronic PDF copy of the foregoing document sent to the Secretary of the Commission via the FTC E-Filing System is a true and correct copy of the original in my possession, which is available for review by the parties and the adjudicator.

/s/ Matthew A. White
Matthew A. White