


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES



\_\_\_\_\_  
In the Matter of )  
 )  
 )

UNION OIL COMPANY OF )  
CALIFORNIA, )  
Respondent. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

DOCKET NO. 9305

**ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR *IN CAMERA* TREATMENT**

**I.**

On January 19, 2005, the parties filed a joint stipulation ("Joint Stipulation"), through which the parties agreed to offer into evidence deposition designations identified in Appendix 1 to JX 6. The parties indicate that the deposition testimony is in lieu of live testimony and seek *in camera* treatment for specific portions of the proposed deposition testimony.

**II.**


The Order on Non-parties' Motions for *In Camera* Treatment, issued on October 7, 2004, sets forth the standard by which requests for *in camera* treatment are evaluated. The parties seek *in camera* treatment for narrowly tailored portions of deposition testimony from non-parties. The Joint Stipulation demonstrates that the portions of the deposition testimony for which *in camera* treatment is sought contain information that is related to material or testimony for which *in camera* treatment has previously been granted. The non-parties, from whom the deposition testimony was taken, have previously demonstrated, through affidavits or declarations, that the testimony for which *in camera* treatment is sought contains confidential information and that the disclosure of such information could cause real and serious damage to the competitive positions of the non-parties.

Accordingly, the request for *in camera* treatment is GRANTED. *In camera* treatment, for a period of five years, to expire November 1, 2009, is granted to the following portions of the following depositions:

Exhibit No.	Deposition	<i>In Camera</i> Portions
CX 7043	Boone, Mark (6/24/03)	Page 55, Line 2 - Page 59, Line 7

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Deposition</b>	<b><i>In Camera</i> Portions</b>
CX 7047	Hancock, Robert (6/27/03)	Page 48, Line 7 - Page 49, Line 15
CX 7048	Hancock, Robert (9/5/03)	Page 175, Line 24 - Page 177, Line 5 Page 183, Line 10 - Line 24 Page 185, Line 1 - Page 187, Line 4 Page 211, Line 20 - Page 216, Line 8 Page 216, Line 24 - Page 227, Line 6 Page 247, Line 3 - Page 248, Line 16
CX 7050	Ibergs, Victor (8/18/03)	Page 42, Line 17 - Page 44, Line 6
CX 7052	Jacober, David (8/20/03)	Page 38, Line 12 - Page 39, Line 7 Page 43, Line 9 - Line 25
CX 7058	Millar, Robert F. (6/24/03)	Page 45, Line 20 - Page 46, Line 16
CX 7075	Wood, John L. (8/27/03)	Page 59, Line 17 - Line 20 Page 63, Line 17 - Page 65, Line 16 Page 66, Line 7 - Line 19
CX 7077	Youngman, Gary (6/25/03)	Page 50, Line 20 - Page 52, Line 19 Page 54, Line 2 - Page 57, Line 6 Page 62, Line 23 - Page 64, Line 1
CX 7078	Youngman, Gary (8/7/03)	Page 52, Line 15 - Page 53, Line 10 Page 54, Line 2 - Page 62, Line 6 Page 62, Line 13 - Page 63, Line 5 Page 66, Line 17 - Page 78, Line 13 Page 78, Line 23 - Page 79, Line 23 Page 81, Line 2 - Line 9 Page 89, Line 19 - Page 92, Line 25 Page 101, Line 17 - Page 104, Line 10 Page 112, Line 11 - Page 114, Line 10

ORDERED:

  
D. Michael Chappell  
Administrative Law Judge

Date: January 19, 2005